



Transcript of Proceedings:

Grievance of First Officer Michael Danford, ATL 18-14

AIR LINE PILOTS ASSOCIATION, INT'L
and
DELTA AIR LINES CO.

Volume Three
October 30, 2020

(866) 787-6774 | depos@storycloud.co | www.storycloud.co

VIRTUAL ARBITRATION

GRIEVANCE OF FIRST OFFICER MICHAEL DANFORD

CASE NO. 18-14

BETWEEN

AIR LINE PILOTS ASSOCIATION, INT'L

AND

DELTA AIR LINES CO.

VOLUME THREE

OCTOBER 30, 2020

REPORTED BY:

DAMIEN STONEBERGER

STORYCLOUD

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES

ARBITRATOR:

Mark Burdette

FOR THE COMPANY, DELTA AIR LINES CO.:

THOMAS J. KASSIN, ESQ.
SARAH F. AUFDENKAMPE, ESQ.
FORD HARRISON
271 17th Street NW, Suite 1900
Atlanta, Georgia 30363
404-888-3800
tkassin@fordharrison.com

CHRIS PUCKETT, ESQ.
DELTA AIR LINES, INC.
1010 Delta Blvd.
Department 943
Atlanta, GA 30354
404-715-1152
Chris.B.Puckett@delta.com

Also Present for the Company:

Brian J. Pickett, Company Board Member
Mike J. Doyle, Company Board Member
Patrick Burns, Company Representative

FOR THE UNION,
DELTA MEC AIR LINE PILOTS ASSOCIATION, INT'L:

LEE SEHAM, ESQ.
SEHAM SEHAM MELTZ PETERSEN
199 Main Street
White Plains, New York 10601
914-997-1346
lseham@ssmplaw.com

RACHEL SAMUDA, ESQ.
AIR LINE PILOTS ASSOCIATION, INT'L
100 Hartsfield Centre Parkway
Suite 800
Atlanta, GA 30354
404-763-5198
Rachel.Samuda@alpa.org

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES, CON'T

Also Present for the Union:

- Emilio Marcos, Contract Administration
Committee Chairman
- Kevin Morris, Union Board Member
- Steve Mayer, Union Board Member

Also Present:

- Michael Danford, Grievant
- Emily Zavis, Remote Technician

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	Appearances.....	431
4		
5	COMPANY WITNESSES	
6	JAMES GRAHAM	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Kassin	436
8	Cross Examination by Mr. Seham	454
9	Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Kassin	486
10	UNION WITNESSES	
11	GREGORY SKIPPER, M.D.	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Seham	495
13	Cross Examination by Mr. Kassin.....	541
14	Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Seham	577
15	DAVID DODGE	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Seham	598
17		
18	ARTIS TODD	
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Seham	610
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Arbitration Proceedings - October 30, 2020

1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3		COMPANY EXHIBITS	
4	Exhibit 2	Letter of termination	452
5	Exhibit 3	USDTL, LabCorp results	489
6	Exhibit 5	Notice to terminate	447
7	Exhibit 6	Mr. Danford's grievance	448
8	Exhibit 7	Grievance denial	451
9	Exhibit 18	Dr. Skipper's article	562
10	Exhibit 19	Dr. Skipper's presentation	570
11		UNION EXHIBITS	
12	Exhibit 24	Article	526
13	Exhibit 25	Food & Drug of Lab Tests	524
14	Exhibit 31	MedTox Lab Report	480
15	Exhibit 35	FAA memo	614
16	Exhibit 36	Ms. Todd's letter	615
17	Exhibit 55	Dr. Skipper's CV	495
18	Exhibit 56	MRO functions	499
19	Exhibit 57	MRO evaluation	506
20	Exhibit 58	MRO Guidance Manual	534
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Arbitration Proceedings - October 30, 2020

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, VOLUME THREE
OCTOBER 30, 2020

THE REPORTER: On the record at 9:35 a.m.

THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Hold on, there he is.
Okay. I got it now. Thank you very much Damien. Mr.
Kassin, proceed.

MR. KASSIN: Sure. Captain Graham, this is Tom
Kassin speaking. Good morning, sir. And you are
appearing before the Delta Airlines Pilot System Board
of Adjustment. Our neutral chairman is Arbitrator Mark
Burdette and he will swear you in.

THE ARBITRATOR: Would you raise your right hand,
please, Captain? Do you swear or affirm that the
testimony you're about to give in this case will be the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. GRAHAM: I do.

THE ARBITRATOR: Thank you very much. And do you
have anybody else in the room with you?

MR. GRAHAM: I do not.

THE ARBITRATOR: Do you have any documents in front
of you that are not part of the Exhibits in this case?

MR. GRAHAM: Nope. I have the -- the Company
Exhibit book in front of me is the only thing.

THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Thank you very much. Mr.

1 Kassin, you may proceed.

2 JAMES GRAHAM,
3 having been first duly sworn, testifies as follows:

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. KASSIN:

6 Q. Captain Graham, please state your full name
7 for the record.

8 A. James Cole Graham.

9 Q. Captain Graham, were you the Delta Airlines
10 official who made the decision to terminate Mr.
11 Danford's employment?

12 A. Yes, I was.

13 Q. What was your position with Delta at the
14 time?

15 A. I was Vice President Flying Operations and
16 Chief Pilot.

17 Q. And as such, who did you report to?

18 A. I reported to Captain Steve Dickson, senior
19 vice president of flight operations.

20 Q. And before we get into some of the specifics
21 of the case. I have some general background questions
22 to you about the Delta and ALPA DPAC program. In your
23 position as the vice president of flying and chief
24 pilot for Delta, did you have any involvement with the
25 DPAC program?

1 A. Yes. I actually oversaw the program.

2 Q. Okay. Can you describe for us a little more
3 detail your involvement in the program as the
4 administrator?

5 A. Absolutely. The -- the DPAC program,
6 essentially the -- the Delta version of the HIM -- FAA
7 HIMS program. It's -- it's been in existence for quite
8 some time at Delta. I would call it one of the most
9 collaborative things that we have ever done with ALPA
10 and the FAA. And certainly an essential program to
11 really to any airline is to have a program like this.
12 I would put it right up there with ASAP as probably the
13 top 2 programs that every airline should have. My
14 involvement started when I was promoted to director of
15 flight operations in 2006, and continued up until the
16 point that I was promoted to senior vice president in
17 August of 2018.

18 Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the DPAC
19 program?

20 A. The DPAC program is essentially a -- a -- a
21 substance monitoring program or substance program that
22 allows for those who are substance to -- substance
23 dependent to be identified, to be treated, to be
24 supported, and to be monitored. It is a program that I
25 feel is -- as I said before -- essential to every

1 airline to have. Substance dependence, whether it's
2 drugs or alcohol, is something that is extremely
3 dangerous, and -- and whether you call it a disease or
4 an addiction, the dependency is something that -- that
5 -- that totally consumes the person with that
6 dependence. And it creates a -- an inability to have
7 sound judgment. It -- it -- in -- influences decisions
8 in -- in an improper way. And for a long time, the
9 substance dependency and for the purposes of this case,
10 alcohol dependency is something that -- that really was
11 -- was hidden and actually continues to be hidden to a
12 certain degree. And this program was brought forward
13 to try to -- to turn that around. To provide the
14 support and the -- necessary for people that are
15 alcohol dependent.

16 The -- the -- the program itself is -- is one that
17 while our participation is strong at Delta, I would
18 always advocate that it become stronger. And that is
19 with our ability to have people comfortable letting us
20 know that they are substance dependent. The statistics
21 showed that 10 percent of the population is substance
22 dependent. And with a total pilot population of
23 14,000, I should have about 1,400 people or so in the
24 -- in the program or we should have had at the time
25 that this -- that this case came about. I had about

1 200.

2 So you can see that it is a, while it's an
3 incredibly viable program and it's meant to save the --
4 the pilot from a -- a situation where they are really
5 almost, in -- in some cases defenseless to be able to
6 beat this. Because it's not curable, it's only
7 controllable. So -- and you can't control it alone in
8 the beginning. You -- we -- we tried to -- to -- to
9 treat and then support and monitor so that you can get
10 to the point that you can individually control the --
11 the disease. But initially you need the help. And
12 that's what this program is all about. It's also about
13 ensuring that we have a way for the identification to
14 happen in as much of a non threatening manner as we
15 can. And so the specifics of the -- the program are
16 built in order to embrace that -- that ability for
17 someone to come forward.

18 Q. Okay. Captain Graham, you've touched on
19 this, but I'd like to ask you to elaborate a little bit
20 more. How does the DPAC program that ALPA and the
21 company mutually work on contribute to flight safety?

22 A. Well, first of all, the -- Delta has an
23 obligation to provide the safest air travel possible to
24 the -- to the traveling public. And -- and that
25 responsibility certainly applies to anyone who might be

1 impaired or considered to be impaired. So essentially
2 the -- the program is -- is made up of -- of volunteers
3 and non volunteers. The way it's separated out. A
4 volunteer would be getting information on a pilot, from
5 the pilot themselves, from their family, from others
6 that -- that are -- are involved with the pilot to let
7 us know that there maybe a -- an issue out there.

8 If the -- if the pilot volunteers to -- to be
9 assessed and then is assessed as alcohol dependent then
10 they would go through a treatment program. That
11 program for Delta is typically the initial center
12 called TRC, Talbott Recovery Center which is located
13 just south of the airport here in Atlanta. And it's an
14 in-house program that is very regimented and very
15 controlled. The pilot has a sponsor. The pilot has a
16 doctor that's assigned to them. And then they have the
17 support of both ALPA and -- and flight operations as
18 they go through this program. Typically about five
19 weeks, I think, is the -- is the average, and they have
20 a mid phase and a final evaluation.

21 And then upon successful completion of that, the
22 pilot understands the requirements in order to prove
23 that they are maintaining abstinence and that is
24 through what we call a Contract A. It's a -- it's very
25 clearly outlined, the specific things that the pilot

1 will have to adhere to in order to -- in order to prove
2 their abstinence. And -- and it includes a very, very
3 strong support group that's around the pilot certainly
4 in the initial stages and can continue for quite some
5 time afterwards.

6 The -- the -- the -- the success that we've had at
7 Delta has been tremendous with this program. And I --
8 and I feel like it is one that as I said, that the
9 support group is -- is vital to the initial recovery.
10 Notice I didn't say that -- that I didn't say that
11 there was a cure. I said, you know, recovering
12 alcoholics need to have that support. So we have a
13 very defined program for meeting and providing that
14 support as well as a testing protocol that -- that's
15 outlined also in Contract A. And -- and our pilots
16 adhere to that. They understand what the stakes are.
17 They also, while the support is important and the
18 ability to -- to -- to have someone that they can reach
19 out to whether it'd be a doctor or whether it be a
20 mentor or monitor is important.

21 There also has to be a backstop or something that
22 is -- is a -- some stakes that -- that they have in the
23 game and that is to maintain their jobs. So we always
24 want to be able to return the pilot to the flight deck.
25 But we have to ensure that the absent -- abstinence is

1 guaranteed, and the only way to do that is through full
2 participation in the program.

3 Q. Okay. You mentioned the Contract A. And I'm
4 going to -- I would like to ask you, who does that --
5 and there's also a Contract B, correct?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. Who does the Contract A apply to? And then
8 the follow-up is who does Contract B apply to?

9 A. The Contract A, is for the volunteer pilot
10 that is identified outside of duty as being dependent.
11 And the Contract B is for the non-volunteer. In other
12 words, someone who is -- is identified during the --
13 during duty day or with the intent of actually
14 operating an aircraft under the influence.

15 Q. Okay. Is it generally recognized that
16 relapse is a part of the disease and it is a risk that
17 everybody in the program may be at risk at some point
18 for relapse?

19 A. Without a doubt.

20 MR. SEHAM: I'm going to object. I'm going to
21 object in terms of the questions put to this witness in
22 terms of that they are more properly put to an
23 addictionologist. These are medical questions. So
24 I'll state an objection with respect to that. The
25 question we just heard and any questions of that kind.

1 Q. But here's the next question that was leading
2 into, which is, a pilot who goes through the initial
3 treatment who is on a Contract A and receives their
4 special issuance back and they have a relapse, how is
5 that treated at Delta?

6 A. There is a requirement to go through
7 re-treatment so that once again the abstinence can be
8 validated and that the -- that the relapse pilot is
9 supported appropriately. There's really no way to
10 ensure that the pilot is maintaining the abstinence
11 without going through that re-treatment.

12 Q. Okay. For a pilot on a Contract A and has
13 their special issuance and they have a relapse, where
14 are they offered to go to re-treatment? In other
15 words, where do they go to re-treatment?

16 A. Well, we -- we -- what we understand is that,
17 different pilots may need different time frames in
18 order to get the support that they need. So we -- we
19 don't send them back to the same facility typically.
20 The -- the -- if there is a relapse, we typically used
21 MARR, which is the Metro Atlanta Recovery Residences
22 and it's a longer program. It's also an in-house
23 program with significant support. That program is
24 typically about 90 days, I believe, and can be up to
25 180 days. And -- and the same result is necessary in

1 order to depart the program. You have to successfully
2 complete with the understanding and the ability to
3 validate abstinence.

4 Q. Okay. And Captain Graham, I'm going to refer
5 to company Exhibit 1 just briefly and go through some
6 of the chronology with you involving Mr. Danford and
7 then we'll move on to a couple other exhibits. If you
8 could look at that briefly.

9 A. Yes, sir.

10 Q. And I would be very brief because this record
11 speaks for itself. Mr. Danford came into the program
12 through having an OWI in Wisconsin or a DUI. Is he
13 considered a volunteer?

14 A. Yes, he is.

15 Q. Okay. And he entered the Talbott Recovery
16 Center in August 1st, 2017 and completed the Talbott
17 Recovery Center on September 14th, 2017. Is that a
18 fairly typical time frame?

19 A. Yes. I believe that's about right. Four to
20 five weeks is the -- is the normal length of time for
21 the initial treatment.

22 Q. Okay. And then he came into the --
23 officially came into the DPAC program as of September
24 17th, 2017 and was subject to neuropsychology testing
25 by Dr. Prewitt and a psychiatric evaluation by Dr.

1 Lynn. Are those fairly standard parts of the DPAC
2 program?

3 A. Yes, they are. Matter of fact, the cognitive
4 screen is -- is one of the important pieces as you --
5 as you're going through the recovery.

6 Q. Right. And are those also steps that need to
7 be taken to get a special issuance?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. Okay. And then you'll see there's a series
10 of random tests that Mr. Danford took while he's in the
11 DPAC program. And then on May 1st, 2018 he had a
12 random urine test and it was positive for EtG, negative
13 for EtS. Are you familiar with those tests and those
14 type of test results?

15 A. Yes. It's a urine test and -- and I believe
16 that is the standard protocol to receive an EtG and an
17 EtS test when you're -- when you're being random with
18 tested.

19 Q. So what -- what does the DPAC program call
20 for when you have a positive EtG but a negative EtS?
21 Is there a next step?

22 A. Yes. Then we move to the second test, which
23 is called the PEth test.

24 Q. Right. And Mr. Danford was given a PEth test
25 on May 9th, 2018 and that came back positive. What is

1 your assessment in a situation like that where somebody
2 has the positive etG, negative etS followed up by a
3 positive PEth. What conclusion is reached?

4 A. The -- the conclusion is that -- is that the
5 test is positive overall. So therefore, he would -- he
6 would have been judged to be an alcohol positive with
7 the PEth -- PEth test. It's important to note that
8 when we do have that split on the EtG and EtS, that the
9 standard protocol is to go to the PEth. And if the
10 PEth is positive, then unfortunately the pilot is
11 positive. If it's negative, the pilot is returned back
12 to the flight deck.

13 Q. Okay. And Emily, could I ask you to move
14 that exhibit up the screen a little bit and focus on
15 the time frame starting with May 1st, 2018, please.
16 Just a little bit more. Yeah. There you go. Right
17 there is fine. So consistent with what you described
18 the Delta practice to be, do you know whether or not
19 Mr. Danford was offered the opportunity to go to
20 re-treatment?

21 A. Yes, he was. Several times.

22 Q. Okay. And did he accept that offer?

23 A. He did not.

24 Q. Okay. One of the requirements in the
25 Contract A is not only to maintain the abstinence, but

1 to maintain your special issuance. Are you aware that
2 on May 18th, 2018 that Dr. Harper, who was his HIMS AME
3 withdrew his sponsorship?

4 A. Yes. It's my understanding that Dr. Harper
5 withdrew his sponsorship when he learned that Mr.
6 Danford was not going to go back into re-treatment.
7 And as such, Dr. Harper certainly agreed with the
8 positive test and -- and felt that re-treatment was
9 necessary.

10 MR. SEHAM: Objection. Hearsay. Could we have a
11 ruling on that?

12 THE ARBITRATOR: Yeah, I'm going to overrule the
13 objection, Mr. Seham. We're not in court, and we'll
14 take his testimony under advisement, the board will
15 make a decision on what weight to give it.

16 Q. Okay. At the same time as Dr. Harper
17 withdrawing his HIMS AME Sponsorship, the FAA did a
18 letter withdrawing Mr. Danford's special issuance,
19 correct?

20 A. That's correct.

21 (Company Exhibit 5 marked for identification)

22 MR. KASSIN: Okay. I'd like to direct your
23 attention to Company Exhibit 5, which is the July 12,
24 2018, Notice of Intent to Terminate. Emily, you can
25 just put the beginning of that on the screen. You

1 don't have to put the whole document on.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I'm looking at it.

3 Q. Okay. Did you issue the notice of intent?

4 A. Yes, I did.

5 Q. Okay. And we'll get into -- so once the
6 notice of intent is issued under the Delta pilot
7 working agreement, what is usually the next step that
8 takes place?

9 A. The -- the next step is that an initial --
10 initial hearing is -- is -- actually, I guess the --
11 the grievance is filed, and then we go through an
12 initial hearing.

13 (Company Exhibit 6 marked for identification)

14 Q. Okay. So if you'd look at company Exhibit 6,
15 is that the grievance that ALPA filed on behalf of the
16 First Officer Danford on July 20th?

17 A. Yes, it is.

18 Q. And did you subsequently conduct an initial
19 hearing on July 30th, 2018?

20 A. Yes, I did.

21 Q. Okay. Do you recall on behalf of Delta
22 besides yourself who was present for that meeting?

23 A. Yes, Captain Patrick Burns, Managing Director
24 of Flying Operations.

25 Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the initial

1 hearing after a grievance has been filed?

2 A. Is to ensure that we have all the information
3 necessary to -- to make a -- a sound decision. And --
4 and there -- it's an opportunity for the -- for the
5 pilot to bring forward anything that they would like us
6 to consider before coming to a final decision.

7 Q. Okay. And did Mr. Danford bring forward
8 anything to you and Captain Burns to consider?

9 A. Yes, he did.

10 Q. And just briefly describe how that initial
11 hearing -- what occurred at initial hearing as best you
12 recall?

13 A. As best I recall, we -- we talked through the
14 -- the -- the protocol for the initial hearing and then
15 I -- I allowed Mr. Danford to bring forward anything
16 that he wanted us to -- to consider on his behalf. And
17 I believe he -- he brought forward two -- one or two
18 tests that he had taken subsequent to the positive PEth
19 test.

20 Q. Okay. And what generally is your policy and
21 the Delta policy on considering employee tests that
22 they do on their own after they have a positive result
23 like in this case, the positive PEth that followed the
24 positive EtG?

25 A. Well, this is -- this is a -- the -- it's

1 important to be able to have a controlled way to do the
2 testing. And that's exactly why we have the protocol
3 in place for the testing that we do. For a pilot to
4 bring in their own test, there -- there is no control,
5 there is no way for us to understand exactly how the
6 test was done, when it was done, were there any -- were
7 there any other pieces to the test that may not be
8 present as it comes forward. So essentially, without
9 that ability to validate, we -- we can't accept those
10 as a -- as a valid submittal to change a -- an initial
11 decision. And I think it's important to note that this
12 program, as I said, is one of abstinence and it's not
13 one that you -- that you test until you're negative and
14 then you go back into the flight deck, you have to
15 maintain that abstinence. And in this case, obviously,
16 we had the two tests validated it, and the test that
17 were brought forward from Mr. Danford, unfortunately,
18 really didn't carry any weight.

19 Q. Did Mr. Danford represent to you and Captain
20 Burns that those were the only tests that he had taken
21 subsequent to his positive PEth test on May --

22 A. To the best of my recollection, yes.

23 Q. Okay. In the course of the initial hearing,
24 did Mr. Danford raise any concerns with you about the
25 way he was treated at the Talbott Recovery Center when

1 he went through his initial treatment?

2 A. No, I don't have any recollection of him
3 making any comment about that.

4 Q. Okay. Specifically, did he tell you that his
5 roommate was an ex felon and racist, and that there
6 were, you know, obviously issues with that that he had?

7 A. No, I'm -- I'm pretty sure I would have
8 remembered that. I -- I don't recall that at all.

9 Q. Okay. Did you learn anything from Mr.
10 Danford in the course of the initial hearing in July
11 30th, 2008, that caused you to reconsider the initial
12 determination in the notice of intent to terminate his
13 employment?

14 A. No, it was -- Mr. Danford had ample
15 opportunity to enter a retreatment facility and -- and
16 be able to go through that successfully complete and be
17 returned to the flight deck. His insistence that he
18 would not do that under the -- the understanding and --
19 and the information and validation we had that he had a
20 positive test, he really didn't leave me any options.

21 (Company Exhibit 7 marked for identification)

22 Q. Okay. So I want you to look briefly just the
23 company Exhibit 7. Is that the denial of the grievance
24 that you issued following the July 30th meeting?

25 A. Yes, it is.

1 (Company Exhibit 2 marked for identification)

2 Q. Okay. And now, I'm going to ask you to look
3 at Company Exhibit 2. And again, Emily, it's rather a
4 long document. I think for purposes of -- I think
5 everybody has a copy of it. But Captain Graham, is
6 that the August 6, 2018 letter of termination that you
7 issued to Mr. Danford?

8 A. Yes, it is.

9 Q. Okay. And can you tell the board -- explain
10 to the arbitrator and the board members the reasons and
11 basis for your decision to terminate Mr. Danford's
12 employment?

13 A. Yes, absolutely. This is not -- a
14 termination is not something that we ever take lightly
15 at Delta Airlines and certainly not someone who has
16 been in our DPAC program. In this particular instance,
17 Mr. Danford did have a positive test that showed that
18 he was not in compliance with his contract. He could
19 not be returned to the flight deck unless he went
20 through a re-treatment. It's -- it's the
21 responsibility of the chief pilot of the airline to
22 ensure that you're -- you're -- to the best of your
23 ability you are only allowing pilots to fly aircraft
24 that are 100 percent safe and are able to adequately
25 decide and use good judgment in the conduct of -- of

1 those flights. Unfortunately, in this situation, there
2 was no opportunity for me to be able to validate that
3 -- that sound judgment and those decisions would be
4 made in the highest level of safety. So I had no other
5 option but to -- but to charge Mr. Danford.

6 Q. Captain Graham, under any circumstance were
7 you willing to accept Mr. Danford's explanation that he
8 was not drinking and therefore would not go back to --
9 would not go to retreatment?

10 A. No.

11 MR. KASSIN: Okay. Arbitrator Burdette, if I could
12 just have 30 seconds here?

13 THE ARBITRATOR: Sure. Okay.

14 MR. KASSIN: I'll be right back.

15 THE ARBITRATOR: All right.

16 MR. KASSIN: Arbitrator Burdette, this is Tom
17 Kassin, I'm back.

18 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes.

19 MR. KASSIN: And at this point, we've concluded our
20 direct examination of Captain Graham, and I appreciate
21 it. Thank you, sir.

22 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Kevin Graham, you're now
23 going to be subject to cross-examination by the
24 grievant's counsel, Mr. Lee Seham.

25 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEHAM:

Q. Good morning, Captain Graham.

A. Good morning, Mr. Seham.

Q. Good morning. You concluded that Mr. Danford had knowingly ingested alcohol, correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. And you based your termination decision on that conclusion?

A. Yes.

Q. And you determined that -- I'm going to skip over a couple of questions here. Do you know what EtG stands for?

A. I -- I don't know the extended name or the -- or the absolute definition, no. I understand the -- that the test is an EtG and EtS test.

Q. Okay. And do you know if the EtG methodology is testing directly for ethanol as with the breath test or is it for some derivative metabolite?

A. You know --

MR. KASSIN: You know, we have toxicologists, we have doctors that are testifying. Captain Graham is a flight operations, and that's his specialty. These questions on nuances of EtG/EtS testing are irrelevant to his testimony on the basis of his decision to

1 terminate.

2 MR. SEHAM: Well, you only had one there that was a
3 very direct, an insistent disavowal of Dr. Jones's
4 testimony being expert testimony. Wholly aside from
5 that, one of the pillars of just cause is whether there
6 was a meaningful pre-termination investigation. And we
7 can make arguments at the end of this proceeding as to
8 how detailed that investigation should have been. But
9 these certainly are relevant questions at this time.

10 THE ARBITRATOR: I think you may proceed, Mr.
11 Seham.

12 Q. Okay. Thank you. So let me ask that
13 question again. Do you know if the EtG methodology is
14 testing directly for alcohol as with the breath test or
15 is it testing for some derivative metabolite?

16 A. Mr. Seham, I would have to ask my -- the
17 program administrators that -- that actually carry that
18 -- that program out.

19 Q. Okay. But you made no investigation into
20 that issue at the time of terminating Mr. Danford,
21 correct?

22 A. My -- my question to the -- to my team was,
23 did we follow the standard protocol that we'd followed
24 many times before? And their answer was yes.

25 Q. They were all -- they're all together and

1 answered in a chorus? Well, who is on your team?

2 A. Yeah, we have -- we have legal counsel, and
3 then we have both actually ALPA and Delta program
4 administrators for the DPAC program.

5 Q. Okay. Did you ask any toxicologist about
6 issues related to EtG?

7 A. I rely on my -- on my experts that run the
8 program to do that.

9 Q. And could you identify who those experts are?

10 A. They are the ones that were running the
11 program at the time.

12 Q. No. I'm asking for names. Can you identify
13 by name with whom you consulted with respect to your
14 decision relating to Mr. Danford?

15 A. We had on the Delta side, I believe it was
16 Fred Beardsley and on the ALPA side, Scott Monju
17 (phonetic).

18 Q. Scott Monju is a Delta pilot, correct?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. He doesn't have any -- he's not a
21 toxicologist, correct?

22 A. I don't know.

23 Q. No. You don't know? Okay. Does he have any
24 degree in chemistry or biology?

25 A. I don't know.

1 Q. Okay. And who was the other person you
2 named?

3 A. Fred Beardsley.

4 Q. Okay. What's his title?

5 A. Fred is a retired -- was a retired Delta
6 captain.

7 Q. Okay. Does he have any degree in biology or
8 chemistry?

9 A. I don't know.

10 Q. And is he a toxicologist?

11 A. I don't know.

12 Q. Okay. And those are the -- those are the two
13 people you consulted prior to the termination of Mr.
14 Danford?

15 A. Among others, yes.

16 Q. Who are the others you consulted with?

17 A. Legal counsel.

18 Q. Okay. And did any of these legal counsel
19 have a degree in toxicology, or biology, or chemistry?

20 A. I don't know.

21 Q. Okay. Have we reached the end of the persons
22 -- the list of persons who were on your team and with
23 whom you consulted?

24 A. To my knowledge, yes.

25 Q. So you never conducted your own investigation

1 into the forensic reliability or accuracy of an EtG
2 test in terms of establishing a failure to maintain
3 abstinence, correct?

4 A. I relied on the program that we had been --
5 that had been agreed upon between Delta and ALPA, and
6 stayed with these standard procedures and protocols.

7 Q. Okay. So aside from following the program as
8 you understood it to be, you conducted no investigation
9 outside of following the protocols that you understood
10 to exist, correct?

11 A. There was no reason to.

12 Q. Well, whether there was a reason to or not,
13 I'd rather that you answer my question, which is, did
14 you conduct any investigation other than what you
15 determined to be the protocols that were applicable?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Now, are you familiar with the concept of
18 positive cutoff levels as applied to substance abuse
19 testing?

20 A. Vaguely.

21 Q. Okay. So would you understand, for example,
22 that an individual might have marijuana-related
23 metabolites from passive inhalation in their system,
24 but the government sets high cutoff levels to exclude
25 the possibility that someone who is subject to passive

1 inhalation would have a positive. Are you familiar
2 with that concept?

3 A. The only thing I'm familiar with is what I
4 read in -- in basic articles. I don't have any
5 knowledge of the testing protocols or what happens with
6 -- with the testing protocols. No.

7 Q. Okay. So that concept that I just described
8 with -- related to marijuana and passive inhalation,
9 you've never heard of that before?

10 A. I certainly have heard of passive inhalation,
11 yes.

12 Q. Okay. And do you understand that the DOT and
13 DHHS have set cutoffs sufficiently high so that someone
14 with marijuana metabolites in their system consistent
15 with passive inhalation would never be labeled
16 positive?

17 A. No, I'm not aware of that at all.

18 Q. Not aware of that? In terms of you're -- and
19 you're involved in oversight of substance abuse testing
20 for pilots in general, correct?

21 A. Yes, I was.

22 Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the concept
23 that an individual could have amphetamine metabolites
24 in their system from decongestants such as Sudafed?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. And that the DOT and DHHS set a cutoff
2 level sufficiently high so that no one would ever be
3 labeled for taking Sudafed?

4 A. I -- I don't get into the specifics of how
5 they set their levels, Mr. Seham.

6 Q. Very good. Thank you. Do you know, in terms
7 of quantitative result, what Delta was treating as a
8 positive cutoff level for Mr. Danford's EtG test based
9 on the urine sample he provided on May 1st, 2018?

10 A. No, I don't.

11 Q. Okay. Do you know whether that cutoff level
12 that was applied and used to determine and label his
13 result as positive was set by the laboratory or whether
14 Delta decided that should be the cutoff level?

15 A. I don't know.

16 Q. Do you know whether throughout the airline
17 industry, whether a uniform standard applies with
18 respect to quantitative results and cutoff levels for
19 EtG?

20 A. I don't know.

21 Q. Do you know whether other laboratories apply
22 different cutoff levels in order to determine what a
23 positive would be?

24 A. I don't know.

25 Q. Nevertheless, it was your understanding on

1 August 6th, 2018 that the EtG testing as conducted by
2 Delta's contracted laboratory provided a reliable
3 result with respect to the abstinence or non-abstinence
4 issue, correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Do you -- you say you're familiar with
7 Delta's drug testing program, are you aware what the
8 function of Deltas' medical review officer is in the
9 context of drug and alcohol testing under Part 40?

10 A. Not specifically.

11 Q. In the case of Mr. Danford, did you consult
12 -- can you identify the Medical Review Officer for
13 Delta Airlines?

14 A. I'm not sure that I can, at this point.

15 Q. Okay. So would you agree that you had no
16 consultation with Delta's Medical Review Officer prior
17 to deciding to terminate Mr. Danford?

18 A. Are you talking about Delta's Director of
19 Health Services?

20 Q. No. I'm talking about the person that Delta
21 has designated for the purpose of reviewing substance
22 abuse testing.

23 MR. KASSIN: I do -- I -- I think that Mr. Seham is
24 confusing or at least the questions are confusing as to
25 whether he's referring to the DOT Part 40 testing or

1 whether he's referring to the Delta Airlines flight
2 operations substance abuse policy that both ALPA and
3 Delta created and you know -- and the use of the HIMS
4 AMEs as -- as part of the DPAC program. Part 40 is one
5 thing, the -- the Delta substance abuse policy is
6 another thing and -- and it has its own participants.
7 So if -- if we can be clear on the questions which --
8 which part he's asking about, I think that would be
9 helpful.

10 Q. Yeah. Well, I'm not asking about a part at
11 this point, I'm asking about an individual. Every
12 airline is required to have a medical review officer
13 and I just want to confirm -- I think -- I anticipate a
14 very likely answer, given that the witness cannot
15 identify who the MRO or Medical Review Officer is, I
16 assume the answer is no. But I'm asking about the
17 person who fills that role at Delta, there is an MRO
18 for Delta, it should be an easy question. Did Captain
19 Graham have any prior consultation with that individual
20 prior to his decision to terminate Mr. Danford?

21 THE ARBITRATOR: I think it has been answered.

22 Q. Okay. If its answered, I'm going to assume
23 that there's no question, but the answer to that is no.
24 Mr. -- Captain Graham, did you consult with any medical
25 doctor prior to your decision to terminate Mr. Danford?

1 A. I did not.

2 Q. Okay. Did you discuss with anybody the
3 question of normalization of EtG quantitative results
4 based on creatinine levels?

5 A. No.

6 Q. And you said you don't know what EtS stands
7 for?

8 A. I don't remember the extended name, no.

9 Q. Okay. And do you know if EtS, the EtS
10 methodology test directly for alcohol as with the
11 breath test or for some derivative metabolite?

12 A. I -- I -- I'm not sure.

13 Q. Okay. And I assume therefore, would you
14 agree that you conducted no investigation on your own
15 into the forensic reliability or accuracy of an EtS
16 test in terms of establishing a failure to maintain
17 abstinence?

18 A. Of any EtS test or this EtS test?

19 Q. Of the EtS test in this, for Mr. Danford, did
20 you conduct any investigation as to whether that was a
21 reliable source of -- a reliably established abstinence
22 or non-abstinence?

23 A. No. I accepted the -- the results that my
24 team brought forward to me.

25 Q. Okay. But EtS is a testing methodology

1 that's been accepted by Delta within the DPAC program
2 as a reliable testing methodology, correct?

3 A. EtG and EtS together, yes.

4 Q. And the EtG you determined -- or you were
5 told -- and you were told that the EtS produced a
6 negative, correct?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. Okay. And both of those test results were on
9 urine, the same urine sample provided on the same day,
10 correct?

11 A. I am assuming that from what I was told was
12 the standard protocol, yes.

13 Q. Do you know what Delta treated as the
14 positive cutoff level for Mr. Danford's EtS, based on
15 his May 1st collection?

16 A. I'm sorry. There was a lot of paper
17 shuffling going on, I couldn't quite --

18 Q. Yeah. Do you know what Delta treated as the
19 positive cutoff level in terms of quantitative result
20 for Mr. Danford's EtS test based on his urine sample
21 provided on May 1st, 2018?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Okay. And these two, the conflicting
24 results, the EtS negative and the EtG positive is
25 characterized by the termination letter. Those results

1 were produced by the same laboratory, correct?

2 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.

3 Q. And you never conducted an investigation into
4 how the same laboratory testing the same urine specimen
5 on the same day could have produced these conflicting
6 results, correct?

7 A. I don't think I understand the question.

8 Q. Did you ever conduct an investigation into
9 how a laboratory testing the same urine specimen on the
10 same day, being the same laboratory could produce
11 conflicting results under EtS and EtG. Did you ever
12 investigate the whys and wherefores for that
13 conflicting result?

14 A. No. It was not unusual to have one positive
15 or -- and one negative, which is the reason that then
16 you would go to the second test, which is the PEth
17 test.

18 Q. But my question is, did you ever investigate
19 why that would occur, why there would be a conflict
20 between those two testing methodologies?

21 A. No.

22 Q. And so the purpose of the PEth test initiated
23 with the May 9th dried blood spot collection was to
24 confirm whether or not Mr. Danford had been drinking?

25 A. It was to determine whether or not he was

1 drinking, and the result then would essentially
2 determine whether he would be able to be returned to
3 the cockpit or whether he would be judged to have been
4 drinking.

5 Q. I'm referring back -- I'm going to refer back
6 to your direct testimony. Do you recall in your direct
7 testimony testifying that the purpose of the PEth test
8 was to confirm whether the EtG test was accurate?

9 A. It's to confirm either way to determine which
10 -- which of those is -- is correct.

11 Q. Okay. And if the PEth test had been negative
12 as determined by Delta, the EtG tests would have been
13 disregarded, and Mr. Danford would have been returned
14 to the cockpit, correct?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. So you were relying on the -- and if the EtG
17 test had been negative, you would not have moved on to
18 the PEth test in this context, correct?

19 A. Correct. Correct. If -- well, if -- if --
20 if they were both negative EtG and EtS, that's correct.

21 Q. Okay. So the May 9th PEth test was in direct
22 response to the EtG positive, correct?

23 A. Yes. Yeah.

24 Q. Now, who decided that the PEth test would be
25 treated as a confirmatory test, in this context?

1 A. It was a joint decision between ALPA and
2 Delta.

3 Q. In what document is that joint decision
4 codified?

5 A. I don't recall. It's been several years.

6 Q. Okay. So it's not in Contract A, correct?

7 A. I would have to review Contract A, but I -- I
8 -- I'm not sure that it's spelled out in Contract A.

9 Q. Is it spelled out in the FOPP?

10 A. I can't recall.

11 Q. Did you know -- do you know what the positive
12 cutoff value used for the PEth test was?

13 A. Not specifically.

14 Q. Has Delta ever varied the cutoff level for
15 deeming a PEth test positive?

16 A. Not that I'm aware of.

17 Q. Did you review Mr. Danford's personnel record
18 prior to terminating it?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. Would you agree that he never filed a
21 -- excuse me, never failed a training program or a
22 check ride to the best of your knowledge?

23 A. To the best of my knowledge, that's correct.

24 Q. And would you agree that he was never
25 disciplined by Delta Airlines in any manner prior to

1 your termination of him?

2 A. To the best of my knowledge, that's correct.

3 Q. And you described two categories of
4 participants in the DPAC programs, volunteers and non
5 volunteers. Mr. Danford was in the volunteer category,
6 correct?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. And Mr. Danford did not enter the program due
9 to any health issue, correct?

10 A. I don't have knowledge of that.

11 Q. His entry into the program was based on a
12 single DUI incident, correct?

13 A. Well, it -- he was assessed to be alcohol
14 dependent that was driven by the DUI.

15 Q. Okay. Well, do you know whether there was
16 any other factors that contributed to the determination
17 of an alcohol issue?

18 A. I don't.

19 Q. Okay. And do you know whether it was
20 determined he had an alcohol abuse issue or an alcohol
21 dependency issue?

22 A. All I know is that the assessment showed that
23 he needed to enter the treatment facility for alcohol
24 dependency.

25 Q. Okay. You never had an issue with Mr.

1 Danford -- would you agree that you never had an issue
2 with Mr. Danford in terms of his piloting performance?

3 A. Not to my knowledge.

4 Q. And did you have any knowledge or do you have
5 any recollection of ever receiving a report of
6 misconduct on his part?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Okay. Did you ever receive a report from
9 anyone in terms of his workplace conduct, that he
10 exhibited behavioral cues indicating alcohol use?

11 A. Not to my knowledge.

12 Q. Do you have any recollection of receiving a
13 complaint from one of Mr. Danford's fellow pilots?

14 A. Not to my knowledge.

15 Q. Okay. And do you know who Captain Harry
16 Miller is?

17 A. I do.

18 Q. Okay. Was he a chief pilot at the time of
19 Mr. Danford's termination?

20 A. I believe so.

21 Q. He would've been responsible for supervising
22 Mr. Danford, correct?

23 A. I -- I don't have direct knowledge of that.
24 Captain Miller was in the chief pilot's office at the
25 time so he could have been the -- the supervisor.

1 Q. Okay. Do chief pilots have any role in
2 enforcing Delta's workplace policies with respect to
3 substance abuse?

4 A. Enforcing the policies? Do you mean this --
5 this --

6 Q. Yeah, in terms of -- yes, in terms of
7 educating the pilots under their supervision and
8 monitoring compliance with Delta's drug free workplace
9 policies?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Is it your testimony that Mr. Danford would
12 not have been fired if he had accepted both the EtG and
13 the PEth as accurate positives and had re-entered
14 retreatment, then he would not have been terminated; is
15 that correct?

16 A. I -- I can't say that for sure. What I can
17 tell you is that had he gone into retreatment and
18 successfully completed retreatment and stayed within
19 the -- the guidelines of the program that he would've
20 been rein -- he would've been able to return to the
21 cockpit, yes.

22 Q. Okay. So let me rephrase the question so we
23 have it in the record accurately. If he had accepted
24 the EtG and PEth test as accurate positives, agreed to
25 re-enter, retreatment and successfully completed

1 retreatment, then he would have been restored to the
2 line and not terminated, correct?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. Okay. And can you identify the document in
5 which it is codified that a pilot is terminated for
6 relapse if he does not go to retreatment?

7 A. I wouldn't say that it's -- it's codified.
8 It is explicitly stated in Contract A that the pilot
9 will maintain abstinence. And abstinence has to be
10 validated through the testing protocol. If it -- if
11 the testing protocol shows that you cannot maintain
12 abstinence or that you have not maintained abstinence,
13 then there's no other choice. If you don't accept
14 retreatment, then to be discharged.

15 Q. I think you were referring to different ways
16 that pilots are monitored when they come out of their
17 initial retreatment, that would include in part
18 testing, correct?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. And that would also include peer monitoring;
21 is that correct?

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. And there's also requirement that the pilot
24 participate in an AA program or an AA equivalent
25 program with monthly meetings?

1 A. An AA equivalent program, yes.

2 Q. And there is a requirement that they report
3 regularly to their chief pilot and have discussions
4 about their health and abstinence, correct?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. And there's a requirement for a psychiatric
7 evaluation and oversight, correct?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. And there's a requirement for a psychologist
10 evaluation and oversight, correct?

11 A. I believe that's correct.

12 Q. Would you agree with me that there's no
13 reference in either a Contract A or the FOPP that
14 expressly mandate that in the event of a relapse, an
15 individual has to return to an inpatient retreatment
16 program?

17 A. I don't recall that we expressly mandate
18 return to the retreatment. What we do is, we mandate
19 that you maintain the abstinence.

20 Q. Okay. You know who Michele Gable is?

21 A. I don't recall.

22 Q. Okay. So you never spoke to her about Mr.
23 Danford's test results, correct?

24 A. Not that I recall.

25 Q. Prior to the termination of Mr. Danford, did

1 you consult with anyone at Quest Diagnostics
2 Laboratory?

3 A. I don't recall.

4 Q. Prior to the termination of Mr. Danford, did
5 you consult with anyone at USDTL Laboratory?

6 A. I don't recall.

7 Q. Prior to the termination of Mr. Danford, did
8 you consult with Delta's director of health services?
9 The Delta is DHS.

10 A. I don't recall.

11 Q. Are you familiar with Section 15 of the PWA,
12 the collective bargaining agreement between ALPA and
13 Delta?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And are you familiar within that PWA with
16 Section 15?

17 A. I'm sorry, restate.

18 Q. Are you familiar with Section 15 of the PWA?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. What is the -- could you tell us what
21 Section 15 addresses?

22 MR. KASSIN: I'm going to object. This case is
23 under Contract A. It's not under Section 15 of the
24 Collective Bargaining Agreement. Section 15 has no
25 relevance to this particular case. Contract A has

1 every relevant to this case. I mean, it's absolutely
2 irrelevant and has no impact on the Contract A issue in
3 the case that we're trying to decide.

4 MR. SEHAM: Yeah, that's a legal argument. Our
5 argument is that it does have relevance, and we think
6 it's important that the panel understand the scope of
7 Section 15. We have established that there's no
8 provision under the FOPP or under the Contract A that
9 mandates inpatient rehabilitation in the event of a
10 relapse. So there's a gray area there. There is a
11 provision under Section 15 of the Collective Bargaining
12 Agreement that provides if the flight department has
13 some concern about a pilot's health, that there is a
14 process to be followed in terms of evaluating that
15 pilot's health where there is a dispute as to that
16 pilot's health and there is a dispute resolution
17 mechanism which we argue could have followed in this
18 case to resolve the issue without resorting to
19 termination.

20 MR. KASSIN: Okay. So Contract A, which is what
21 Mr. Danford signed and which he agreed to comply with
22 provides in paragraph 2 that he will maintain complete
23 abstinence. Paragraph 18 expressly says that if you
24 don't, you're subject to termination. That's the deal
25 in this particular case, which Delta could very well

1 have just stopped right there and not given him any
2 further opportunity to help him with this disease and
3 alcohol dependent. And so he's subject to termination
4 flat out, just for violating Contract A. The fact that
5 they offer an opportunity to help the individual under
6 their philosophy of save the man and hopefully save the
7 job, has nothing to do with Section 15, which is when
8 somebody is -- totally unrelated to this, Delta and
9 ALPA agreed that what you work with here is Contract A
10 and Contract B. That's the limit of this board's
11 jurisdiction, that's the limit of the issue that's
12 before this board, and that's where the focus of this
13 case needs to be.

14 MR. SEHAM: It's --

15 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay --

16 MR. SEHAM: I need to respond to that.

17 THE ARBITRATOR: Go ahead.

18 MR. SEHAM: It is not the limit of the board's
19 jurisdiction. The parties have agreed that the
20 Collective Bargaining Agreement, I don't know if it's
21 Joint 1 or Joint 2, is entered into the record.
22 Contract A provides we would agree that if there is
23 non-abstinence, there's an issue of non-abstinence,
24 that would constitute a violation of Contract A. As to
25 the consequences of that, that's a separate issue. But

1 the question of whether there is abstinence or
2 non-abstinence, is not resolved by Contract A. That
3 issue, whether there was abstinence or non-abstinence
4 is at the center of the dispute, which is before this
5 panel. When there is a health-related dispute, which
6 Contract A doesn't resolve in terms of a dispute
7 resolution process when there's a dispute about health,
8 abstinence versus non-abstinence, there is a provision
9 of the Collective Bargaining Agreement Section 15, that
10 addresses how to resolve that dispute.

11 Now, if Mr. Danford had said, yes, that's right.
12 These test results are absolutely accurate, not
13 withstanding, using artificially low cutoff levels, and
14 not normalizing for creatinine, and not involving a
15 doctor and said, yes, I violated Contract A, I failed
16 to abstain. Then we see that's governed -- that issue
17 is governed by Contract A. But when there is a dispute,
18 was there abstinence or non-abstinence, there is a
19 specific provision of the collective bargaining
20 agreement that addresses how we resolve that dispute.

21 THE ARBITRATOR: I understand. That is an argument
22 for the brief, however I think, rather than
23 interrogating this witness about it.

24 BY MR. SEHAM:

25 Q. All right. Well, let me just ask the

1 question. You did not initiate a process under Section
2 15; is that correct? With respect to Mr. Danford?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. And you understood at the time that Mr.
5 Danford disputed the fact that he had engaged in --

6 A. I understand his position, but we had
7 validated that he had in fact not maintained
8 abstinence.

9 Q. Based on those two tests. But you
10 understood, and I understand why you're explaining your
11 answer, but my question was, you understood that at all
12 times, Mr. Danford was saying that the test results did
13 not correctly reflect non-abstinence and that in fact,
14 he had been abstinent, did you understand that to be
15 Mr. Danford's position?

16 A. Yes.

17 MR. KASSIN: That's been asked and answered. We're
18 so clear on that.

19 THE ARBITRATOR: Agree. Let's move on.

20 Q. I'm glad we're clear. Prior to the
21 termination of Mr. Danford, did you ever consult his
22 peer monitoring?

23 A. Not personally.

24 Q. Not personally. I'm not sure I understand
25 the qualification.

1 A. I didn't, or his peer monitor, no.

2 Q. Okay. When you say not personally, did you
3 direct somebody else to contact his peer monitor?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Okay. Did you talk to Dr. Lynn prior to the
6 termination decision?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Did you talk to Dr. Prewitt prior to the
9 termination decision?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Did you contact anyone at Talbott prior to
12 the termination decision?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Now, Mr. Danford actually brought to your
15 attention that he had had a subsequent PEth test result
16 which tested negative at USDTL's limit of detection of
17 8 nanograms per milliliter, correct?

18 A. I don't recall the -- the -- the exact
19 numbers in the test. What I do recall is that he said
20 that he received a test and that it came back negative.

21 Q. Okay. And you declined to take that into
22 consideration for the reasons you stated during direct,
23 correct?

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. Are you familiar with the term half-life as

1 it is applied to the quantitative result of a PEth
2 test?

3 A. Not specifically. I have vague knowledge.

4 Q. Okay. What is your vague knowledge?

5 A. Just that -- that the -- essentially it's
6 just how quickly it works out of your body.

7 Q. Okay. And do you know the approximate
8 half-life of PEth in the system?

9 A. I don't.

10 Q. Are you familiar with the concept of the
11 look-back PEth test, in terms of how far back it will
12 detect the consumption of alcohol?

13 A. I am familiar with the concept.

14 Q. Do you know what the duration is?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Did you know at the time that USDTL, at the
17 time of the termination, that USDTL advertised itself
18 as being the only laboratory that performed commercial
19 dry blood spot PEth testing and that therefore there
20 were no other laboratories for comparison?

21 A. I'm not aware.

22 Q. Okay. And isn't it true that Mr. Danford
23 also produced for you a hair based EtG test with a
24 six-month window of detection that overlapped the
25 period of the positive PEth test?

1 A. Once again, I'm not -- I'm not aware of the
2 specifics of the test, just that he -- he presented two
3 tests.

4 Q. Now, Mr. Danford successfully obtained the
5 reissuance of his FAA Medical; isn't that correct?

6 A. I'm not aware of that. Was not aware of
7 that.

8 Q. Do you know one way or the other whether the
9 FAA ever required Mr. Danford to re-enter treatment, in
10 order to continue his profession as a pilot?

11 A. I'm not aware.

12 Q. Do you know whether it's the intention of the
13 DPAC program to provide a standard that's more exacting
14 on the pilot than the standards that would satisfy the
15 FAA?

16 A. Well, I -- I guess I would have to ask you to
17 qualify that. When you ask about the test, are you
18 asking about the standard DOT random test that the FAA
19 conducts?

20 Q. I'll withdraw that question. Did you conduct
21 -- did you have any involvement in the oversight of an
22 individual pilot by the name of Michael Perez?

23 A. I don't recall.

24 (Union Exhibit 31 marked for identification)

25 Q. If we could bring up Union Exhibit 31,

1 please, Emily. And if you could scroll down. Not that
2 far. Perfect. Thank you. Now, looking at this
3 document that reviews ethyl glucuronide and ethyl
4 sulfate testing results, it's followed by an adjuration
5 here. An exhortation that reads, "Alternative
6 explanation should be explored for any positive
7 finding. This panel includes test for specimen
8 validity. Please note that incidental exposure to
9 alcohol may result in detectable levels of EtG or EtS.
10 EtG/EtS results should be interpreted in the context of
11 all available clinical and behavioral information."

12 MR. KASSIN: Mr. Chairman, I want to object to this
13 exhibit. This is another one of those things. The
14 donor is blanked out. If the purpose of it is just
15 simply to ask the witness about that particular
16 statement. But I mean, there's incredible questions
17 about the donors blanked out. The creatinine level is
18 so low that it's a highly diluted sample. It goes on
19 and on and on over the credibility of what the purpose
20 of this is. But if the purposes is simply to read that
21 statement into the record, I just --

22 MR. SEHAM: The purpose of these -- the purposes is
23 to -- and I'm sorry, I don't mean to step on what
24 you're saying. Have you completed your statement of
25 objection?

1 MR. KASSIN: Yes sir.

2 Q. Okay. And the purpose is to frame the
3 question and if I could proceed, the question is: Would
4 you agree with me that you did not consider all
5 available clinical and behavioral information related
6 to Mr. Danford prior to making your decision to
7 terminate him?

8 MR. KASSIN: Objection. That is such a broad
9 question. I don't know what that means. I mean --

10 MR. SEHAM: Maybe the witness does know what it
11 means and if he doesn't know what it means, that's an
12 answer too.

13 THE ARBITRATOR: I'm going to sustain the
14 objection, Mr. Seham. On the basis of the fact that I
15 think you've already established that Captain Graham in
16 making his decision did not consider this kind of
17 information. He's testified as to who he spoke to, on
18 what basis he made the decision. I don't think this
19 adds anything to that.

20 MR. SEHAM: I understand. Okay. Thank you. I'll
21 move on. I'll be with you in 30 seconds, I'm just
22 trying to identify --

23 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.

24 MR. SEHAM: -- a document here. Okay. I'm going
25 to ask Emily if she could bring up union Exhibit 83 and

1 move to the second page.

2 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. That is -- hold on. I
3 think that's an exhibit that you emailed after --
4 later, right?

5 MR. SEHAM: Correct.

6 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Thank you.

7 MR. KASSIN: Okay. I would object. I don't
8 understand what the purpose of this is. It's obviously
9 communications between the arbitrator and the board
10 members. And would have nothing to do with Captain
11 Graham's decision.

12 MR. SEHAM: Well, this dialogue within this
13 correspondence involves company representatives,
14 specifically Captain Doyle making representations
15 concerning Contract A and concerning what the relevant
16 question is in this proceeding. And I want to probe
17 any contradictions or conflicts within Delta Management
18 in terms of their understanding of what the issue is in
19 this case, what it was at the time of termination and
20 what Contract A provides for.

21 THE ABRITATOR: I'm sorry, this is -- this was a
22 discussion between the board -- the board
23 deliberations, and it was a result of the -- of the
24 union counsel and the company counsel providing
25 disparate statements of the issue. And so I synthesize

1 what I thought was the issue and discussed it with the
2 board members. And I don't think it's appropriate to
3 ask this witness about it at all.

4 BY MR. SEHAM:

5 Q. Yes. Okay. Let me just proceed by asking
6 the question. Is it your view that a return --
7 offering a return to rehabilitation or a return to
8 re-treatment as a means of preserving employment after
9 a relapse. Is that something that's a component of
10 Contract A or is it offered outside of Contract A?

11 A. Well, in the spirit of trying to save the
12 pilot, even when we have someone who has been
13 discharged, to my knowledge, we typically offer them an
14 opportunity to go through re-treatment, although it
15 does not allow them to -- to retain their job. So in
16 other words, we -- we don't want to essentially just
17 turn someone away and not understand that they -- that
18 there is still a disease that they're fighting.

19 Q. The termination letter -- I just want to make
20 this clear. The termination letter that you issued
21 would not have been issued at that time, if Mr. Danford
22 had agreed to re-treatment?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. Okay. Are you bound by the provisions of
25 Contract A when making determinations that concern

1 individuals who are signatories to Contract A?

2 A. Am I bound by that?

3 Q. Yeah.

4 A. I'm not sure I understand that.

5 Q. Are you allowed to disregard the terms of
6 Contract A in terms of making your determinations with
7 respect to a pilot who's covered by Contract A.

8 A. No.

9 Q. And are you familiar with the FOPP as it
10 relates to drug and alcohol issues?

11 A. I'm familiar with it. I'm not intimately
12 familiar with it anymore. It's been several years.

13 Q. Okay. Do you know why it's been presented by
14 the company as an exhibit in this case?

15 A. I didn't go over that with counsel, no.

16 Q. Are you familiar with its provisions related
17 to the treatment of pilots who have had a relapse?

18 A. Yes, I'm familiar.

19 Q. Okay. So what does the -- are you bound by
20 the provisions of the FOPP in terms of what it provides
21 with respect to the treatment of a pilot who suffers a
22 relapse?

23 A. I would say that it's an agreed upon document
24 between Delta and ALPA and as part of that, we uphold
25 that, yes.

1 Q. Are you aware of any conflicts between the
2 provisions of FOPP, the FOPP, and Contract A in terms
3 of treating or responses to pilots who have suffered a
4 relapse?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Did Contract A mandate that you terminate Mr.
7 Danford for what you determined to be his -- well, you
8 know, I'm sorry, I withdraw that. Mr. Arbitrator, if I
9 could have five-minutes.

10 THE ARBITRATOR: Sure, let's take a five-minute
11 break off the record. Actually, let's take a
12 seven-minute break and come back at 11:00.

13 MR. SEHAM: Thanks.

14 THE REPORTER: Off the record at 10:53 a.m.

15 (OFF THE RECORD)

16 MR. SEHAM: No, Mr. -- no.

17 THE ARBITRATOR: Thank you. Mr. Kassin, redirect?

18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. KASSIN:

20 Q. Captain Graham, you were asked about the
21 investigative process at Delta involved in Mr.
22 Danford's case. As a preliminary foundational
23 question, I'd like to ask you, are you familiar with
24 the Delta deals process that's used, the investigation
25 of matters that lead to disciplinary action?

1 A. Yes, I am.

2 Q. In general explain what the deals process is,
3 kind of the components and who's involved in it?

4 A. Well, the deals process involves the -- the
5 pilot in question, chief pilot, as well as legal
6 counsel and human relations personnel, as well as the
7 managing director of Flying Operations. And the -- the
8 process brings forward the issue at hand from the
9 individual pilot and then a plan is -- is discussed as
10 to how to investigate, and then they carry out that
11 plan. That would include getting input from all the
12 people that -- that they feel are appropriate that need
13 to weigh in to get the -- as much information as
14 possible.

15 Q. And then once this deals process works
16 through all of these issues that you referred to,
17 ultimately, does that lead to a recommendation for you?

18 A. At -- at the time -- in my position at that
19 time, yes.

20 Q. Okay. Is the deals process generally run by
21 and owned by the managing director of Flying
22 Operations?

23 A. It is.

24 Q. Okay. In the time frame of 2018, and we're
25 looking at the time running from the positive PEth

1 result with Mr. Danford from May 9th, 2018 to the
2 August decision that lead to his termination. Who was
3 the managing director of Flying Operations at that
4 point?

5 A. Captain Patrick Burns.

6 Q. Okay. So Captain Burns would've been the
7 person running or owning the deals process that
8 involved Mr. Danford?

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. And since Mr. Danford was an Atlanta based
11 pilot, his Atlanta regional director would've been
12 involved also?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. And was that Captain Wayne Cochran?

15 A. It was.

16 Q. Okay. And as part of the deals process,
17 would you expect that they would've conferred in Mr.
18 Danford's case with the DPAC representatives from both
19 Delta and ALPA regarding his specific situation?

20 MR. SEHAM: Objection. Calls for speculation.
21 There's no evidence said that those individuals
22 thereafter consulted with this witness in any event.

23 Q. Would it be usual, a normal process for the
24 deals process to confer with DPAC representatives from
25 both Delta and ALPA when it's a situation involving a

1 Contract A violation?

2 A. Without a doubt.

3 Q. Okay. And would it be within the realm of
4 the authority of the deals process to confer with an
5 expert toxicologist in terms of reviewing the test
6 results involved in Mr. Danford's situation?

7 A. Yes, it would.

8 Q. Okay. So all this information gets processed
9 in the deals process and ultimately, you get a
10 recommendation for the managing director of flying
11 operations. In this case, what was that
12 recommendation?

13 A. The recommendation was to -- to terminate the
14 -- the pilot.

15 Q. Okay. You also mentioned the -- part of the
16 deals process with the -- deal folks have the ability
17 to confer with Mr. Danford's HIMS AME, Dr. Harper
18 Junior?

19 A. Yes, that's correct.

20 (Company Exhibit 3 marked for identification)

21 Q. I'd like you to look at Company Exhibit 3,
22 which is the Contract A and the very last page. And
23 Emily -- I'm looking to go to the signatures on the
24 last page. Captain Graham, I have to tell you other
25 than Mr. Danford and maybe one other, I don't recognize

1 those, but I wanted you to tell us who the witnesses
2 were if you recognize those signatures.

3 A. I recognize Fred Beardsley's name. I believe
4 that's Harry Miller in the top right.

5 Q. Okay.

6 A. I -- I don't recognize the others.

7 Q. Thank you. So we've heard how the company
8 has framed this issue in terms of whether Mr. Danford
9 violated his Contract A and ALPA went through the
10 process, did the notice of intent to terminate, a
11 grievance was filed, there was a initial hearing, a
12 decision was made and ALPA appealed it to the system
13 board. Are you familiar with sections 18 and 19 of the
14 collective bargaining agreement?

15 A. Yes, I am.

16 Q. Are those the normal processes that Delta and
17 ALPA have agreed to use to resolve disputes?

18 A. They are.

19 MR. KASSIN: Okay. Mr. Burdette, I'd like to ask
20 your permission to take another five-minute break and
21 we'll be right back.

22 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. We'll go off the record at
23 11:09 and back on at 11:15.

24 (OFF THE RECORD)

25 MR. SEHAM: Mr. Burdette, I have our next witness,

1 is Dr. Gregory Skipper.

2 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes.

3 MR. SEHAM: I asked him to be available the minute
4 we're done with this and I would like to move
5 seamlessly from the conclusion of this witness because
6 he has a drop dead time and I want to make the most of
7 this day.

8 THE ARBITRATOR: I agree. Okay, so you're going to
9 contact him and tell him to log in and --

10 MR. SEHAM: I believe he's already logged in and
11 waiting for us.

12 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Very good.

13 MR. KASSIN: Mr. Seham, what I understood from our
14 conversation yesterday his drop dead time was 3:00 p.m.
15 Eastern time?

16 MR. SEHAM: Yeah. I'm sorry, 2:00 p.m. Eastern.

17 MR. KASSIN: No, I said 3:00 p.m. Eastern is what
18 I understood.

19 MR. SEHAM: Yeah, that apparently moved up to 2:00
20 p.m. or moved back to 2:00 p.m.

21 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.

22 MR. KASSIN: We'll be back in a little bit.

23 THE ARBITRATOR: All right.

24 THE REPORTER: Off record at 11:10 a.m.

25 (OFF THE RECORD)

1 THE REPORTER: Back on the record at 11:17 a.m.

2 BY MR. KASSIN:

3 Q. One quick question for Captain Graham.
4 Captain Graham, this has to do with some questions you
5 were asked on cross and I just want to be clear and
6 clarify your answer. As long as you've been at Delta
7 Airlines and involved in Flight Operations management,
8 to your knowledge, has it been the practice that
9 although somebody may be in violation of their Contract
10 A, and subject to termination, or not maintaining
11 abstinence, that Delta will take the extra step of
12 offering the opportunity to go to retreatment?

13 A. Yes, it has been.

14 MR. KASSIN: Mr. Burdette, we have no further
15 questions for Captain Graham.

16 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Mr. Seham, anything on
17 re-cross?

18 MR. SEHAM: No further questions.

19 THE ARBITRATOR: Thank you very much, Captain
20 Graham, you may be excused. Thank you for your time
21 and participation.

22 MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Burdette.

23 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. I think we're ready to
24 bring in Dr. Skipper.

25 MR. SEHAM: Correct. And Emily, I'm going to be

1 asking for Union Exhibit 55.

2 THE ARBITRATOR: Here's Dr. Skipper.

3 MR. SEHAM: There he is.

4 THE ARBITRATOR: Dr. Skipper, my name is Mark
5 Burdette. I'm the neutral chair of the system board
6 for Delta and ALPA in the case of Michael Danford and
7 you're a witness for Mr. Seham. We are swearing
8 witnesses. So if you wouldn't mind, would you raise
9 your right hand, please, while I administer the oath.
10 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about
11 to give in this case will be the truth, the whole
12 truth, and nothing but the truth? You're muted, I can't
13 hear you, did you say yes? Emily, can you help us out?
14 We can't hear you. We can't hear Dr. Skipper.

15 REMOTE TECH: Dr. Skipper, do you have a microphone
16 connected? Can you hear us if you can just shake your
17 head, if you can hear us. Okay. Please mouse down to
18 the mute button and click the caret next to the mute
19 button. And you're going to need to select a
20 microphone. Please go to settings from the down arrow
21 -- sorry, the down caret where it says microphone. Do
22 you see the level of input moving? You should see it
23 if you talk. Click test, please. And you may need to
24 select an alternate microphone input from the
25 drop-down. Dr. Skipper, can we have you call in by

1 phone? There was a phone number in the email that I
2 sent to you or if you're trying to use your earbuds, do
3 you have headphones in, sir? Okay. You need to select
4 the headphones as the microphone for your display.
5 Okay. Just go ahead and call in. Or alternatively, we
6 can just try taking your earbuds out. Are you calling
7 in by phone, sir? Okay.

8 MR. SKIPPER: Can you hear me now?

9 REMOTE TECH: Yes.

10 THE ARBITRATOR: Yeah. Yes I can.

11 MR. SKIPPER: Oh, good. For some reason, the --
12 the mute was not highlighted, but this is fine.

13 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Having been administered
14 the oath, I saw you shake your head yes, even though we
15 couldn't hear you.

16 MR. SKIPPER: Yeah.

17 THE ARBITRATOR: Mr. Seham, you may proceed.

18 MR. SEHAM: Very good. And please, no worries
19 about the technical issues. We've spent hours being
20 roiled by those issues. So don't let that unsettle
21 you.

22 MR. SKIPPER: No.

23 GREGORY SKIPPER, M.D.,
24 having been first duly sworn, testifies as follows:
25 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEHAM:.

(Union Exhibit 55 marked for identification)

Q. If we can post -- we're going to start off with your CV. If we can post Union Exhibit 55. Is that your curriculum vitae, Dr. Skipper?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Now, we've been asked by the arbitrator to try to expedite this process. So we're going to consider this document in the record. Could you just give us a brief overview of your educational background?

A. Sure. So I went to medical school at the University of Alabama and then did a residency in internal medicine at the University of California, San Diego, and I'm a -- board certified in internal medicine and addiction medicine. And I'm a distinguished fellow of the American Society of Addiction Medicine.

Q. Okay. And what's your primary current position?

A. I'm the medical director for the Center for Professional Recovery, which is a program here in California that -- where we evaluate and -- and have a small treatment program for professionals that have

1 problems with substance use disorders and other
2 problems.

3 Q. And how long have you held that position as
4 medical director?

5 A. So I've been here since 2011. Prior to that,
6 I was the medical director for the medical board in
7 Alabama for their physician health program for 12
8 years.

9 Q. Okay. And did I understand correctly, this
10 is evaluating professionals, including the treatment of
11 substance abuse disorders?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. And does it -- does it involve at all
14 developing appropriate monitoring protocols for their
15 ongoing recovery?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Okay. Does the service provided by the
18 Center for Professional Recovery include determinations
19 as to whether the professional employees participating
20 are able to perform their professional duties in
21 accordance with their obligations under their various
22 licenses?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And are you affiliated also, the organization
25 known as, excuse me, Professional Boundaries?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Okay. And I think the full name is
3 Professional Boundaries Inc. What is the function of
4 Professional Boundaries Inc.?

5 A. It's a program that we started in -- around
6 2000, that is an educational program for professionals
7 that have had ethics issues. So licensed professionals
8 were sent to that for extended educational programs,
9 when they've had problems with ethics.

10 MR. SEHAM: Okay. Addressing Emily or our
11 technicians, are you picking up everything or is there
12 any means of improving his audio that --

13 THE REPORTER: He is audible to me. If I don't get
14 a word, I will ask for clarification. However, Dr.
15 Skipper, if you could speak up a little, it would be
16 beneficial.

17 MR. SKIPPER: Okay.

18 MR. SEHAM: Thank you.

19 BY MR. SEHAM:

20 Q. And you are a diplomat?

21 A. Yes. I'm a diplomat of the American Board of
22 Internal Medicine and the American Board of Addiction
23 Medicine.

24 Q. Okay. Do you have any affiliation with the
25 National Board of Medical Examiners?

1 A. The national -- I took the national boards
2 and passed. So that's a -- it's a board that's offered
3 when you finish training.

4 Q. Okay. And do you have any certifications
5 relevant to substance abuse issues and/or substance
6 abuse testing?

7 A. I'm a -- I'm a -- I'm a -- I'm -- I'm an MRO,
8 medical review officer, so I'm a certified medical
9 review officer.

10 Q. Okay. Do you have any affiliation with the
11 American Society of Addiction Medicine?

12 A. Yes. I'm a -- a distinguished fellow of the
13 American Society of Addiction Medicine.

14 Q. And have you been certified by the American
15 Academy of Medical Review Officers?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Are you affiliated with Topanga Resources,
18 T-O-P-A-N-G-A?

19 A. Yeah. It's my LLP where I work as a
20 consultant and provide educational support and
21 consulting for different organizations: courts, medical
22 boards, individuals, etc.

23 Q. And does that consulting include drug
24 testing, alcohol markers, and addiction medicine
25 consulting?

1 A. It does.

2 Q. How long have you worked as an MRO?

3 A. Since probably -- I think I was first
4 certified in 2005.

5 Q. Okay. And how many tests, if you have any
6 way of estimating, have you been involved in reviewing?

7 A. Well, over the past 15 years, probably -- I
8 don't have exact records, so I would just estimate
9 probably 10,000 tests.

10 Q. What was that? Was that 10,000?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Okay. Could you describe the role of a
13 medical review officer or MRO?

14 A. So the designation medical review officer was
15 established by federal rules subsequent to the federal
16 government becoming a drug-free workplace. And so
17 federal rules were established designating that
18 physicians -- licensed physicians had to be responsible
19 for receiving, reviewing, interpreting, and certifying
20 lab drug tests in employment settings.

21 (Union Exhibit 56 marked for identification)

22 Q. Now, if you could -- Emily, if you can move
23 to Union Exhibit 56. And I'll ask the -- I'll ask the
24 witness, can you identify this document? .

25 A. I was -- oh, there we go. So I -- I -- was

1 asked to provide something that -- to explain what a
2 medical review officer does and this is from the -- I
3 believe this is from the US Department of
4 Transportation website that provides an overview of MRO
5 functions.

6 Q. Okay. And looking at the second paragraph
7 under overview. And it states, "As a MRO, you act as
8 an independent and impartial "gatekeeper" and advocate
9 for the accuracy and integrity of the drug testing
10 process. You provide quality assurance review of the
11 drug testing process for the specimens under your
12 purview, determine if there is a legitimate medical
13 explanation for a laboratory confirmed positive,
14 adulterated, substituted and invalid drug test results,
15 ensure the timely flow of test result and other
16 information to employers and protect the
17 confidentiality of the drug testing information." Is
18 that an accurate description of the MRO role?

19 A. It is.

20 Q. Okay. In performing your work related to
21 addiction, medicine, and MRO evaluation, are you
22 required to be familiar with alcohol marker testing
23 such as EtG, EtS, and PEth testing?

24 A. Yes, for sure, certainly. Understanding
25 alcohol markers is very important. And alcohol is the

1 most commonly abused substance that causes impairment
2 in our country so there's lots of setting. For alcohol
3 markers are used to monitor people. And I've had a --
4 an interest in alcohol markers and helped develop new
5 alcohol markers.

6 Q. Okay. Well, we'll come back to that. I was
7 going to ask you if you have served as a consultant and
8 expert witness with respect to alcohol markers?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. Did you play any role in discovering
11 and developing the EtG test as an alcohol marker?

12 A. Yes, and --

13 Q. Could you --

14 A. -- in 2001 -- can you hear me?

15 Q. Yes, I can, please.

16 A. Okay. In 2001, I was looking for a better
17 way to document abstinence because all we had back then
18 was urine alcohol, or breath alcohol, blood alcohol.
19 And alcohol leaves the body pretty fast. It's
20 metabolized pretty -- fairly rapidly. So I went on a
21 search and found this possible new marker. It's a
22 non-oxidative metabolite of alcohol called ethyl
23 glucuronide. And with the help of a Swiss psychiatrist
24 who was doing research, we did the initial research on
25 EtG and published initial papers documenting that EtG

1 or ethyl glucuronide is a good marker for alcohol use
2 because it remains in the body longer and is -- it can
3 be detected longer after drinking.

4 Q. Are you familiar with the agency The
5 Department of Health and Human Services, FAMHSA and
6 SAMHSA?

7 A. Oh, yeah.

8 Q. Okay.

9 A. So I was appointed, I think it was, I forget
10 exactly when, probably 2001 around the same time, I was
11 appointed by the Secretary of HSS to serve on a
12 national advisory council and I was on that council for
13 five years or so. And part of that, I was actually on
14 the committees that developed advisories for use of
15 EtG. There were five or six of us that developed
16 advisories.

17 Q. When does -- I'm sorry, I interrupted you.
18 Could --

19 A. So we developed --

20 Q. -- you repeat the last words of your
21 sentence?

22 A. We developed advisories that we published
23 from the government, from the SAMHSA. And they were
24 published in 2006 and 2012.

25 Q. Thank you. Have you participated in studies,

1 published studies relating to the use of EtG as an
2 alcohol marker?

3 A. I have.

4 MR. SEHAM: Okay. If we can go back Emily, to his
5 CV, Union Exhibit 55, and turn to page 9 of that
6 document.

7 THE REPORTER: What document number are we
8 referencing?

9 MR. SKIPPER: 55.

10 Q. Yeah, 55. And if we could scroll down to
11 page 9, and if you could scroll down to the subheading
12 of 2008. Thank you. That's perfect. If you can focus
13 on entry 8, you see there was -- the first entry is --
14 lists yu as a lead author in a study titled, Breathing
15 Vapor of Ethanol-Based Hand Sanitizing Gel Vapor Causes
16 Positive Alcohol Marker, Ethylgluconoride, EtG, and
17 Positive Breathalyzer. You're the lead author of this
18 study?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And what did this study conclude?

21 A. We documented and others have since
22 documented as well the -- that the vapor of alcohol,
23 either from hand gel or other things can cause a
24 positive urine test for EtG.

25 Q. Are there other environmental non beverage

1 sources of alcohol that can cause a positive EtG test?

2 A. There are many.

3 Q. Can you name a few?

4 A. So over-the-counter limping meds, cough
5 syrups, supplements, anything that says tincture, like
6 tincture of Ginkgo Biloba or tincture of anything.
7 Perfume, colognes, insecticide. Hand gels They're so
8 commonly used now in hospitals. They are high
9 concentrations of ethanol. People use the nature of
10 alcohol in hobbies, sports events and all. Mouth wash,
11 hygiene product, hair spray. The list is very long.

12 Q. What about perfume or cologne?

13 A. Yes, those often -- left of those colognes
14 contained over 90 percent ethanol So the vapor that if
15 breathed and you know, touching the skin can get into
16 the body. Primarily, if you're breathing, it's been
17 found, can cause a positive EtG depending on the timing
18 of the test.

19 Q. To the -- one other example, how about
20 desserts cooked with wine or alcohol?

21 A. Yes, fruits cooked with alcohol, desserts.
22 Again, things that have alcohol in them like that can
23 cause positives, just anything that has ethanol in the
24 environment seems to be able to affect those.

25 Q. Since the publication of the study we just

1 referred to, have there been any studies reaching
2 contrary conclusions to your knowledge?

3 A. No. I mean, there's been a lot of studies
4 showing that environmental sources of ethanol can
5 cause positive alcohol markers.

6 Q. Emily, if we could move to page 10. Yeah,
7 maybe move up a little more. Yeah. Thank you. So
8 under 2004, the second bullet point lists you as a lead
9 author, a new marker to detect alcohol use in
10 recovering physicians, you were the lead author?

11 A. I was, yeah. That was published in Journal
12 of Licensure and Discipline. Yeah. So documenting
13 that this marker could detect alcohol use and
14 physicians that are in recovery.

15 Q. And if you could turn, Emily to page 17. If
16 we could get to the last bullet point. Last bullet
17 point is, "Update on EtG and testing for recent alcohol
18 use. Federation of State Physician Health Programs
19 annual meetings, San Antonio, Texas 04/29/2008. And is
20 this a presentation of yours?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. What does it refer to?

23 A. So because I was involved in discovering and
24 developing and documenting the value of EtG, for a
25 number of years and actually continue to give talks on

1 the proper use of EtG, pros and cons, ways to deal with
2 issues with it nationally and internationally.

3 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. What was that last
4 sentence, Doctor?

5 THE WITNESS: I've been involved in documenting how
6 to use EtG nationally and internationally.

7 THE REPORTER: Thank you.

8 MR. SEHAM: Arbitrator Burdette, we tender Dr.
9 Gregory Skipper as an expert with respect to addiction
10 medicine, MRO evaluation and the interpretation of
11 alcohol marker testing.

12 MR. KASSIN: We agree.

13 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Thank you.

14 Q. In August 2019, were you retained by first
15 officer Michael Danford?

16 A. Yes, I was.

17 Q. And for what were you retained?

18 A. He asked me to evaluate -- to participate and
19 evaluate him to see if he had a substance use disorder
20 or he wanted me to look at this positive test and see
21 what it meant. He denied drinking and felt that he had
22 a false positive and asked for my help.

23 MR. SEHAM: If we could, Emily post Union Exhibit
24 57.

25 (Union Exhibit 57 marked for identification)

1 Q. Dr. Skipper, this document titled, "Addiction
2 Medicine and MRO Evaluation for Michael Danford," is
3 this a document that you prepared?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And, Emily, if we can scroll down to page 10.
6 Okay. That's perfect. Yeah. Okay. Yeah. And did
7 you -- looking at the entries just above your
8 signature, did you make a determination as to whether
9 First Officer Danford was fit to resume his duties as a
10 commercial airline pilot?

11 A. Yes. I -- my opinion was that he was fit to
12 resume duty as a commercial airline pilot.

13 Q. Now, if you could look under the heading in
14 the -- titled "Recommendations," it states, "I
15 recommend this pilot be restored and continue his
16 monitoring program. It is my opinion that he is a very
17 low risk for relapse at this time." When you reference
18 a monitoring program, was that meant to include any
19 inpatient or outpatient rehabilitation program?

20 A. No. I did not believe he needed further --
21 any treatment.

22 Q. Are you familiar with DSM-5 analysis?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. And what is the origin of DSM-5 --
25 excuse me, strike that. What is the origin of the

1 DSM-5 standard, and what does it stand for -- and what
2 does it provide, rather?

3 A. So yeah, the -- the DSM, as we call it, is
4 published by the American Psychiatric Association.
5 It's the -- the book that lays out the criteria for
6 diagnosing mental disorders. The first edition was in
7 1952, and we're now on the fifth edition. So that's
8 why they call it the DSM-5. And it contains a chapter
9 on substance use disorders. So it lays out the
10 criteria for diagnosing mental health problems
11 including substance use disorders.

12 THE REPORTER: What was that last part of the
13 sentence, sir -- Doctor?

14 THE WITNESS: Including substance use disorders.

15 THE REPORTER: Thank you.

16 Q. Emily, if you can move us to page 5 of the
17 report. I may be in error here. Okay. Yeah. Thank
18 you. If you could scroll down a little bit further?
19 Thank you. I think that's perfect. I'm referring you
20 to page 5 of your report, a chart titled, "DSM-5
21 Substance Use Disorder Criteria." What does this chart
22 reflect?

23 A. So these are the -- the -- the criteria that
24 are listed in the DSM-5 to diagnose alcohol use
25 disorder, and looks like he met two of these criteria.

1 Q. Okay. So the number 3 at the bottom, is that
2 a typographical error?

3 A. It is.

4 Q. Okay. So that should be two there, correct?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. Okay. So based on just two criteria being
7 met, what did you conclude?

8 A. So that -- that suggests that he previously
9 had mild alcohol use disorder.

10 Q. Okay. If you look at criterion 8 -- now,
11 you're familiar with the fact that he attended a
12 inpatient treatment program at a facility referred to
13 as Talbott?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. And was criterion 8, was that based on
16 conduct pre-dating or post-dating his rehabilitation
17 program at Talbott?

18 A. That was pre-date.

19 Q. And if we can move to page 2? And not
20 actually -- not finding it right off hand. So let me
21 just ask this. Did you refer to neuropsychological or
22 review neuropsychological and personality raw scores
23 that came out of the Talbott Recovery Center for Mr.
24 Danford?

25 A. I did.

1 Q. Okay. And was there anything in that data
2 that presented a concern of mental disorder related to
3 substance abuse?

4 A. There was not.

5 Q. Did you interview First Officer Danford?

6 A. I did, at length.

7 Q. I'm looking for, on page -- at the bottom.
8 Let's scroll to the bottom of page 2. Okay. There we
9 go. Okay. And there's the reference -- just for the
10 benefit of the board, there's the reference to,
11 "Psychological Tests Administered." And below there's a
12 -- that, there's a subheading of, "Mental Status Exam."
13 Can you explain the bullet points under the heading,
14 "Mental Status Exam," starting at the bottom of page 2?

15 A. Those and the ones on the next page, there's
16 a list of things we go through that's called a mental
17 status exam to get an overview of whether someone has a
18 mental disorder, or cognitive mental disorder, looking
19 at things like memory. Memory, mood, you know, just an
20 observational thing of what -- how they appear in
21 looking through those various criteria.

22 Q. Okay. And the criteria, does that continue
23 down into the next -- well, let's look at the top of
24 page 3, Emily.

25 A. It does.

1 Q. Yeah. Okay. So you evaluated all these
2 bullet-pointed criteria?

3 A. Yes. Yeah.

4 Q. And was there any indicia of mental disorder?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Okay. In any of the bullet point -- with
7 respect to any of the bullet points?

8 A. No. He was -- he was doing fine.

9 Q. /And if we could scroll back up to page 2,
10 towards the bottom of it. There's the reference to,
11 "Collateral Interviews Conducted." That's the third to
12 last heading, and it refers to Artis Todd. And can you
13 explain -- so you interviewed Artis Todd?

14 A. I did. And it's real important -- it's
15 important to talk to people that know someone that
16 we're evaluating to get collateral information, so I
17 did interview her.

18 Q. Okay. And what was -- this was his
19 girlfriend?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. And what did she report to you that
22 you considered to be relevant?

23 A. Well, she reported that he does not drink and
24 she does not drink. And I got information of her that
25 she was a flight attendant, had worked for Delta for

1 some time, and she was confident that he was -- he had
2 not been drinking during that period of time when the
3 tests were positive, that there'd -- that he'd had no
4 relapse, that she was not concerned about him. And I
5 really developed a feeling that she was a very
6 competent person who would, you know, be a important
7 person for Delta.

8 Q. If you can -- we can scroll down to page 8.
9 Under "Discussion," yes. I'm looking at the second to
10 last sentence under the first paragraph discussion
11 where it states, "He has continued to comply with all
12 assigned recovery activities and monitoring." You made
13 that determination as part of your analysis?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. To some extent, First Officer Danford
16 was the source of your information with respect to your
17 conclusion that he had complied with monitoring on an
18 ongoing basis. Is that true?

19 A. Yeah, he said that and provided data to
20 support it, and his girlfriend also corroborated with
21 him. Which means he complied to all the things he was
22 supposed to do.

23 Q. To the extent you relied on First Officer
24 Danford's reports to you concerning his conduct. Does
25 that reflect a source of potential weakness in your

1 report?

2 A. Well, no. We had to interview an individual.
3 And I've interviewed -- you know -- thousands of
4 individuals with addiction problems and developed, what
5 I think is a highly developed sense of being able to
6 detect when somebody is not being honest. So I thought
7 he was being very honest. Well, his girlfriend
8 corroborated facts that he mentioned and he had data
9 provided showing his drug test and so forth that
10 corroborated his history. We also had him undergo a
11 polygraph.

12 Q. Okay, so --

13 MR. KASSIN: Objection, objection, objection.
14 Arbitrator Burdette, we were very clear about our
15 objection to this. Even Mr. Seham acknowledged in our
16 informal discussion the unreliability of polygraph
17 evidence. We've asked in our motion to exclude any
18 reference to polygraph by Dr. Skipper or by Mr. Danford
19 or by any other witness and so we've been pretty clear
20 in our position and so we should strenuously object.

21 MR. SEHAM: In response, there's been a
22 misstatement of what I said. I was charitably
23 acknowledged that I knew that there was case law
24 rejecting both in an arbitral setting and in board
25 settings, the admissibility of polygraph examinations

1 to establish the underlying truth of an individual's
2 statements. There's also countervailing testimony.
3 However, in order to expedite this proceeding, we are
4 not submitting the polygraph information for the
5 express purpose of establishing abstinence. But it was
6 a part of Dr. Skipper's review.

7 Now that's a basic fact and reflects the extent to
8 which Dr. Skipper was determined both on his experience
9 with individual substance abusers over the years to
10 confirm and satisfy himself to his own satisfaction
11 that Mr. Danford was telling him the truth, and it also
12 reflects the willingness of Mr. Danford to submit to a
13 polygraph examination. We think also supports his
14 transparency and state of mind.

15 So we consider the burden of proof here in this
16 case, general, because this is a just cause analysis as
17 modified by Contract A. That the burden of proof is on
18 the company to establish non abstinence. But in this
19 context, we're not including the references here to the
20 polygraph examination as dispositive proof of truth
21 telling when he denies his non abstinence. But rather
22 as an integral component of Dr. Skipper's analysis and
23 also indicative of his transparency, Mr. Danford's
24 transparency and willingness to cooperate with whatever
25 psychiatric directive he received. So for those

1 limiting purposes that were submitting the information
2 which -- frankly it's hard to remove from this report
3 because it's part of --

4 MR. KASSIN: That's fine. I don't think we need to
5 have any testimony about it, however, so move on
6 please.

7 MR. SEHAM: Okay.

8 MR. MORRIS: And this is Captain Morris, just real
9 quick. I'm not sure if I'm the only one. But the
10 audio off of Dr. Skipper, is very difficult for me to
11 hear. It seems to go in and out on me, or I may be the
12 only one having the problem, but he might want to
13 improve that or get the microphone closer to his mouth
14 or something.

15 MR. KASSIN: And it has a tendency to be a little
16 bit muffled from time to time. That's correct.

17 MR. SKIPPER: Is this -- is this better?

18 MR. KASSIN: That's much better. Thank you.

19 BY MR. SEHAM:

20 Q. Thank you, Dr. Skipper. Well, moving on.
21 Given the curtail of the testimony here. Do you have
22 any background with respect to the application of
23 positive cutoff levels for EtG alcohol testing?

24 MR. SKIPPER: Well, yes. Can you hear me better
25 now? Is this better?

1 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes. Yes. Yes.

2 MR. SEHAM: Much better. Thank you.

3 MR. SKIPPER: Oh, Good. Good. Okay.

4 BY MR. SEHAM:

5 A. So yes. You know, with any tests, cutoffs
6 have to be established. And so I was involved in
7 looking at cutoffs and we -- I was appointed to
8 committees where we looked at all the data and we came
9 up with these advisories that -- you know -- that tried
10 to determine how to use cutoffs properly.

11 Q. The advisories you're referring to, are those
12 the SAMSA advisories you referred to before?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Six and -- okay.

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And then what was the recommendation of these
17 advisories?

18 A. Well, it's a little complicated. But -- you
19 know -- the bottom line is that -- it's -- we
20 considered that EtG levels over a thousand would not
21 likely be due to extraneous exposure to ethanol.

22 Q. And why was the cutoff of a thousand set?

23 A. Well, it was based on looking at the studies
24 that had been done when people were exposed to
25 extraneous sources of ethanol. And trying to determine

1 what the limits of that were. To see, you know, if
2 it's -- it's over a certain level, then that means it's
3 not likely from these more minor exposures and more
4 likely due to drinking. So in drug testing. We --
5 that can be helpful to have that kind of a number. And
6 we -- that's what the committee came up with, is a
7 thousand as a reasonable cutoff. So under that could
8 be from extraneous exposure over that, not likely. And
9 that's held up pretty good overtime.

10 Q. Okay. And when the commercial or EtG testing
11 by commercial laboratories first began, what was the
12 initial cutoff level that was being utilized?

13 A. Yes. So usually, right in the beginning we
14 used a hundred -- one hundred nanograms per mL as the
15 cutoff.

16 Q. Is that still the standard in use today?

17 A. No, most labs now prefer more like 500
18 nanograms per mL.

19 Q. And why is that? Why do they prefer that
20 over 100 nanograms?

21 A. Well, so there were lawsuits against labs
22 when individuals felt that they were falsely accused of
23 drinking because of the lower cutoff. So it -- it
24 takes clinical acumen to sort of deal with these lower
25 levels. And if we just assume it was positive that the

1 person drank and there's consequences, then that can be
2 -- you know -- certainly unfortunate. And people sued
3 the labs. So the labs decided to have a higher cutoff
4 to lower their liability.

5 Q. And what position have you taken with respect
6 to the appropriate EtG cutoff for the purposes of --

7 A. Well --

8 Q. -- determining --

9 A. -- personally, I'd like to have a lower
10 cutoff because I can use some discretion in -- in terms
11 of analyzing a case and not just solely rely on a
12 positive test. But if -- if -- if it's a court setting
13 where it's a, say, a probation officer or someone who's
14 not highly trained, then it may be lot smarter to have
15 a higher kind of 500 or a thousand even.

16 Q. Okay. If you could turn to page 1 -- Emily,
17 if you could turn to page 1 of this document. And I
18 guess go to the lower third of it. Okay, Dr. Skipper
19 if you can refer to the first bullet point under
20 Documents Reviewed. Thank you, Emily. It says 5/1/18
21 urine EtG/EtS test result (positive for EtG at 117
22 nanograms/milliliters with Creat 257, with normalized
23 EtG of 46 nanograms per milliliter) and negative EtS.
24 Can you explain this reference to normalized EtG of 46
25 nanograms per milliliter.

1 A. Yeah. So this was the urine test that set
2 all this in motion for Mr. Danford, and what it refers
3 to here is that the test was positive for EtG. Barely
4 above what they were using was what -- 100 cut-off. So
5 it was positive at 117. The creatinine level, that is
6 a way to tell how concentrated the urine is. So urine
7 creatinine, we always look at when we do drug testing,
8 when it's done properly. Because the concentration of
9 the urine can affect the results quite dramatically.
10 Because some urine is very dilute down to a normal
11 lower level of 20 milligrams per decaliter, all the way
12 up to 300. So there's 15 fold difference in humans
13 ability to change the concentration of urine.

14 So what we do is there's an equation to normalize.
15 It's called normalizing the value to see what the value
16 would be at a creatinine level of 100. So it would be
17 in this case, since the creatinine was 257, it would be
18 $257 \div 100 = 2.57$ times the 117, which gives you 46. So
19 if his urine concentration had been average instead of
20 highly concentrated, in other words, if he drank a
21 little more water and had more of a normal urine
22 concentration at the time of this collection, the EtG
23 level would have been 46.

24 Q. In your opinion, was First Officer Danford's
25 EtG test of May 1, 2018 a positive or a negative?

1 A. Well, it was positive, but when analyzed,
2 then it would be very consistent with minimal exposure
3 to alcohol.

4 Q. An exposure consistent with environmental
5 exposure?

6 A. Absolutely. Very low level. So if he had
7 had a little more normal urine concentration, it
8 would've been reported as negative. It was reported a
9 positive because the cut off, the low cut-off of a 100
10 was used and it was just above that cutoff. And -- and
11 so it's -- it's -- it's suggested that it could be from
12 extraneous exposure. The EtS was also negative,
13 suggesting further, that this was fairly minimal
14 exposure to ethanol, potentially.

15 Q. Now, are you familiar with the use of PEth
16 Testing Methodology in your field?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. How would you describe the stage of
19 development of PEth Testing?

20 A. Well, it's been around about 10 or 15 years.
21 It's another alcohol marker and it is still not totally
22 understood. It's a good marker, but -- excuse me, my
23 alarm went off. It's a good marker. It has a meaning
24 and purpose. But like everything else, like the EtG
25 test, like I just was explaining, it has to be used

1 with caution and be interpreted in the light of
2 clinical data. You can't just rely on any lab test to
3 be perfect. So I've seen -- I've had concerns about
4 PEth Testing over the past 10 years, and I think we
5 need to do more research on it before we really fully
6 understand it.

7 Q. Is it a test that has obtained FDA approval?

8 A. I think it's been de -- designated as a lab
9 development test, so it's -- it's evaded. It's not --
10 had to be approved by the FDA.

11 Q. Okay. Has the FDA ever expressed concern
12 about LDTs or laboratory developed tests?

13 A. Yeah, there have been concerns.

14 Q. What concerns?

15 A. Well, to get approved by the FDA, there has
16 to be a lot more testing, more research done. The FDA
17 has to approve it after looking at it broadly. Lab
18 development test, when it's licensed in that way, it --
19 it's saying that the lab is responsible for the
20 findings and the FDA is not involved. So they --
21 they've been concerned with too rapid of -- use of some
22 of these tests and it could be detrimental. And the
23 EtG test is a good example of that because when it
24 first came out, we didn't understand it fully. And it
25 turned out -- we found out things like I've mentioned,

1 that hand gel and mouthwash and things like that could
2 affect it. So with PEth, same thing is going on.
3 We're not totally sure what affects PEth testing.

4 Q. Are you familiar with PEth testing being used
5 in a forensic context?

6 A. I think it's been restricted to clinical use.
7 I don't know that it's fully satisfied all the
8 requirements for a forensic test.

9 Q. Well, in your opinion, what ought PEth be
10 used in a forensic context?

11 A. I'm sorry?

12 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether PEth
13 ought to be used in a forensic context?

14 A. Yes, I think it's okay to use it, but again,
15 like any test it has to -- it's not perfect. So we
16 have to use it with judgment in mind. So it has to be
17 used with clinical correlation.

18 Q. Well, when you say it has to be used with
19 clinical correlation, can you amplify that? What do
20 you mean by a clinical correlation?

21 A. So if, for example, if somebody is doing very
22 well, they've been -- seemed to be abstinent from use
23 of alcohol, there's corroborating witnesses to that,
24 their urine testing has been large -- you know,
25 negative, been done frequently. There can be other

1 factors involved like no access to alcohol if they've
2 been in jail or something. And they come up with a
3 positive PEth test, then you have to question whether
4 that's accurate or not. So you have to take the
5 setting and the -- all the facts into consideration
6 when you look at a positive test. It's not -- they're
7 not always accurate.

8 Q. With respect to DOT substance abuse testing
9 under 49 CFR Part 40, is there a certification
10 requirement for laboratories?

11 A. Yes. There is a SAMHSA certification process
12 for labs.

13 Q. And what does the SAMHSA certification
14 consist of?

15 A. It's a strenuous process apparently, where
16 labs have to meet all kinds of stringent criteria.
17 It's primarily centered around federal testing and
18 federal rules.

19 Q. Does SAMHSA certify laboratories for
20 performance of EtS, EtG or PEth Testing?

21 A. No. They only certify labs for the test
22 approved by the federal government and these tests are
23 not approved by the federal government.

24 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with a laboratory
25 certification pursuant to the Clinical Laboratory

1 Improvement Amendment or CLIA?

2 A. Yes. I know something about it. Not -- not
3 a huge amount of -- but I've -- I'm familiar with it.

4 MR. SEHAM: Okay. Well, let's see it in terms of
5 addressing one issue. If we could bring up Union
6 Exhibit 25.

7 THE REPORTER: What was that number?

8 MR. SEHAM: 25. Two, five.

9 THE REPORTER: Thank you.

10 (Union Exhibit 25 marked for identification)

11 MR. SEHAM: And when you get there, if we could go
12 to the third page? I think it's towards the bottom.
13 Okay. I'm not seeing what I need. Give me one second
14 here. Very good. I found what I was looking for. If
15 you can move actually towards the top of the page.

16 BY MR. SEHAM:

17 Q. And I want to refer you to this quoted
18 language about six or seven lines down from the top on
19 the left column. "Because, in the case of LDTs, CLIA
20 only reviews analytical validity and does not authorize
21 CMS to assess clinical validity, and due to the
22 concerns over the extent of oversight over
23 laboratories, the (SACGHS) report suggested that
24 increased oversight would help improve healthcare
25 delivery." Now, CMS, would that be the Centers for

1 Medicare and Medicaid Services?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Okay. And can you explain the difference
4 between analytical and clinical validity?

5 A. Yes. So analytical validity means, you know,
6 does the test accurately show that -- in this case, you
7 know, that the -- the substance is present. So, you
8 know, for example, EtG might have good analytical
9 validity. You -- you know, when it's positive, it's
10 really there. But clinical validity means does it
11 diagnose anything? Does it actually tell you about
12 whether a person has been drinking or is an alcoholic,
13 and that would be a lot less because there are other
14 factors involved as we discussed.

15 Q. Do you agree with the statement that we just
16 read into the record that was in quotes?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. And does CLIA certification
19 approximate SAMHSA certification in terms of its rigor?

20 A. Say that again.

21 Q. Does CLIA certification approximate SAMHSA
22 certification?

23 A. No. No. I think there's very few labs that
24 are SAMHSA certified and there's hundreds of thousands
25 of CLIA labs. So it's a much more lenient

1 certification.

2 Q. Could you compare the applicability of
3 federally mandated cutoff levels, defining what a
4 positive result would be for DOT mandated testing as
5 contrasted with the practices relating to PEth testing?

6 A. Okay. So federally regulated testing, in
7 that setting, there's been a real focus on trying to
8 have cutoffs that are very reliable that avoid false
9 positives and -- and false negatives. And outside of
10 the federally regulated world, it's pretty much a
11 free-for-all, you know, labs sometimes just determine
12 the cutoffs and it's kind of arbitrary, and so, you
13 know, it's not as well defined.

14 Q. If we could bring up Union Exhibit 24. Okay.
15 If we could move to page 25. Okay. If you could just
16 scroll down a little bit more. I'm sorry, I'm having
17 problems finding it. That might be it. I'm sorry.
18 Move up the page, please. For some reason I'm not
19 finding it. Oh, okay. I did find it. I'm interested
20 in materials sort of -- towards the bottom. So if we
21 could scroll up a little. First of all, I should ask
22 you, are you familiar with this article?

23 (Union Exhibit 24 marked for identification.)

24 A. I have read this article in -- in reference
25 to -- at times.

1 Q. And now again I've lost where I was, damn.
2 I'm sorry. Emily, if you could move back to where we
3 were before I lost my place, the type is so dense.
4 Okay. Perfect. Thank you, Emily. I'm referring to
5 this middle paragraph which reads, and forgive me. I'm
6 going to ask forgiveness from the court reporter, a lot
7 of numbers here. "For PEths 16:0/18:1, an upper
8 reference value for blood donors (N=200) of 141
9 nanograms per milliliter, 0.2 umol/L has been proposed,
10 which provided five percent false positives and 17
11 samples detected as outliers.

12 In addition, two cutoff values for PEth 16:0/18:1
13 have been proposed. One of 700 nanograms per
14 milliliters to detect problematic drinking, and another
15 of 80 nanograms per milliliter to detect alcohol
16 consumption (four drinks daily during 30 days) in
17 patients with liver disease (N=222). The second
18 proposed cutoff value was selected to improve the
19 sensitivity of the test (91 percent) and so provides a
20 lower specificity (77 percent), which nevertheless can
21 be improved up to 90 percent using a cutoff value of
22 300 nanograms per milliliter." Do you consider with
23 reference to the application of 141 nanogram per
24 milliliter cutoff with an attendant five percent false
25 positive rate unacceptable forensic standard in your

1 view?

2 A. Well, it -- it depends on how you define
3 forensic standard, but it's not too unusual to have a
4 test with a false positive rate in the range of 1-5
5 percent. And so, you know, this is a concern because
6 it means that maybe one out of 20 tests could be, you
7 know, falsely interpreted as positive.

8 Q. In those context with that kind of false
9 positive rate, are there generally medical review
10 officers involved to separate the wheat from the chaff
11 or the false positive?

12 A. Absolutely. Yeah. I mean, it's -- this is
13 one reason that PEth is not used in -- in some
14 settings. So we use it in professional monitoring
15 because it looks like it's a good test at times to
16 really help us determine things. But again, there's
17 been no really definitive study to show us what the
18 specificity is at whatever cutoff we're using. There's
19 been inference and suggestions, but no clear
20 definition. So it -- it can be helpful, but I don't
21 think it should be relied on as -- as perfect by any
22 means.

23 Q. Now, you have participated in clinical
24 studies of alcohol biomarkers, correct?

25 A. I have.

1 Q. Okay. And how would you describe the care
2 with which specimens are typically obtained for the
3 purposes of clinical studies?

4 A. Well, they would need to be carefully
5 obtained and controlled to eliminate potential
6 contamination.

7 Q. And do you know the circumstances under which
8 First Officer Danford's DBS specimen of May 9th was
9 collected?

10 A. Well, I do recall that the collector was not
11 associated with a -- with a -- with a laboratory. It
12 was a collection site as I understand it.

13 Q. Yes. Okay. So if we can move to Union
14 Exhibit 11. Are you familiar with this document?

15 A. I'm familiar with documents like this, yes.

16 Q. And you see it says, dried blood spot
17 collection instructions, materials provided by USDTL.
18 My question is, do you know if USDTL has any training
19 or certification program for collectors of dried blood
20 specimen samples?

21 A. No, I don't think it does. They give
22 instructions, but there's no certification.

23 Q. And how does that contrast with the program
24 of the United States Department of Transportation and
25 its training requirements for specimen collectors?

1 A. There -- there's more training and
2 supervision.

3 Q. Does the lack of formalized training and
4 certification of collectors for the USDTL dried blood
5 process present any concerns for you?

6 A. Yes. I've seen problems with the -- in -- in
7 our setting. It's important that people that collect
8 samples know how to do it. And how -- when we rely
9 just on instructions like this, especially in people
10 that are -- don't have a lot of training, they can not
11 follow procedure properly. And so it could impact the
12 results which can impact a person's career.

13 Q. Are there under the United States Department
14 of Transportation programs pursuant to Part 40, are
15 there consequences to the specimen collector if he or
16 she commits an error in the collection process?

17 A. Yes. My understanding is from the rules that
18 they can be -- they can lose their certification and
19 they may have to be retrained.

20 Q. Let's focus on Union Exhibit 11, the portion
21 that's before the highlight there. The first full
22 sentences after the gloves on the screen, which read,
23 "Dried blood spot collection is a donor performed
24 collection. In some cases, it may be beneficial for
25 the collector to assist the donor or perform the

1 collection completely. In either case, it is
2 imperative to follow the steps carefully in order to
3 ensure a proper specimen collection." Why in your
4 opinion, is it imperative that a collector follow the
5 protocols set forth by a laboratory?

6 A. Because if you don't do it right, then there
7 could be problems. So to have confidence in the results
8 then needs to follow the recommendations and rules.
9 There's reasons for those.

10 Q. If you can scroll down to point number 10 in
11 this document, Emily. And I think we need to go a
12 little -- I think it continues onto the next page, I
13 believe. Now for portion -- thank you. The portion
14 I'm focusing is in bold. It says in capital letters,
15 "Note: allow the collection paper to wick blood out of
16 the puncture. Do not press the finger against the
17 collection paper and do not layer successive drops."
18 Why would it be important to wick the blood out of the
19 puncture and not press the finger against the
20 collection paper?

21 A. So yeah, this is the kind of thing that is a
22 concern. The -- if the finger touches the paper, then
23 potentially there could be contamination, either
24 bacteria or alcohol. There's other factors here too,
25 but, you know, for example, squeezing the finger can

1 change the amount of serum that comes out with the red
2 blood cells and so forth. But, this is just an example
3 of how the test is a little bit sensitive. It needs to
4 be done properly, could change the results.

5 Q. Why is the avoidance of layering successive
6 drops important?

7 A. The piling up of blood can potentially change
8 the results. So the thickness of the blood layer here
9 can -- can matter apparently.

10 Q. Are you familiar with the term volcano
11 effect?

12 A. I've heard it, yeah.

13 Q. And this layering, is that a term applied to
14 this layering concept?

15 A. They can be, yes.

16 Q. And in your view that layering could affect
17 the quantitative test result?

18 A. It could, it could. I don't think we're
19 totally clear on that. That's one of the many
20 variables that hasn't been well studied.

21 Q. If you could scroll down, Emily, to item 15.
22 Here. It says please -- excuse me. It says, "Place a
23 specimen in drying box." Is that consistent with the
24 DBS collection protocols with which you are familiar?

25 A. No. Actually, this is an innovation that

1 USDTL came up with. But normally you would want the
2 card that the blood spot is put on to dry outside of
3 the box, you know, for a period of time before it's
4 inserted. This is to avoid the possibility of the wet
5 sample, maybe potentially fermenting or something like
6 that. So this is a new kind of a idea. It could have
7 -- it could be a problem.

8 Q. It could be a problem in terms of
9 quantitative accuracy?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. If you could scroll down to item 19. At step
12 19, the protocols of the spectral reference at the end
13 in bold type, "Caution: Do not place inside an airtight
14 plastic specimen transport bag." Would you consider
15 this protocol as imperative to the integrity of this
16 testing process?

17 A. Yeah. I think again, it's the same issue is
18 that if there's any moisture, if the blood spot is not
19 totally dry, then the, you know, plastic could prevent
20 drying and it could mold or ferment and cause changes
21 in the result.

22 Q. As an MRO when evidence establishes that the
23 collection procedures designed to ensure the integrity
24 of the specimen have not been followed, what should be
25 done with a test?

1 A. By federal rules it would be canceled. The
2 test would have to be canceled.

3 Q. How much weight did you attribute to the May,
4 9th PEth test in making your recommendation that first
5 officer Michael Danford be returned to flight duty?

6 A. Well, I discounted the value of the test
7 because he had, had a lot of negative results up to
8 that point. And, you know, the clinical aspects of my
9 consideration. You know, each thing has to add up and
10 the one thing was the positive test, but with the very
11 low EtG that would've otherwise been negative, hadn't
12 been concentrated in all the clinical factors. I -- I
13 discounted the value of it. I think there's something
14 wrong with this test.

15 MR. SEHAM: If we can, Emily post, Union Exhibit
16 58.

17 (Union Exhibit 58 marked for identification)

18 Q. Okay. Doctor, can you identify this
19 document?

20 A. It's the Medical Review Officer Guidance
21 Manual for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs.

22 Q. Okay. And then would this also government --
23 govern DOT regulated testing?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Okay. Does an MRO's role involve the

1 prevention of false positives?

2 A. Yes. So there, you know, that's important to
3 -- for the MRO to understand what can cause false
4 positives and consider those.

5 Q. Okay. So is it -- is that laboratory --
6 under DOT Part 40 standards, is that the final word or
7 is there a verification process after the laboratory is
8 finished its testing?

9 A. There's a -- there's definitely a
10 verification process. Again, you know, you have to
11 have medical and clinical input to interpret any test.

12 Q. Emily, if you could go down. I think it's
13 page 1-2. Can't remember. I think it's a little
14 further down. Yeah, one more page. Okay. I think
15 we're there -- I think we're there. Yeah, actually, I
16 like where you are, yeah. I think I want the last
17 paragraph there. Perfect. Thank you. Let's see.

18 Okay. So I want to read a section of this before
19 we -- before I pose my next question. Under 1.2, it
20 reads, "An essential component of any drug testing
21 program is a comprehensive final review of laboratory
22 results, which includes review of appropriate
23 documentation, as well as an interview with the donor
24 of the specimens to discover whether or not an
25 acceptable medical explanation exists for the

1 laboratory result. A confirmed positive test result
2 reported from a laboratory does not automatically
3 identify an employee or job applicant as having misused
4 drugs, nor does a laboratory result of invalid,
5 substituted, or adulterated automatically identify a
6 person as having tampered with a specimen.

7 A physician with a detailed knowledge of possible
8 legitimate medical explanations must determine drug
9 test results in the context of all information
10 including the test result and the donor interview. HHS
11 requires the MRO to fulfill this important function."
12 My question is, Doctor, as whether you have an opinion
13 as to whether these principles in this paragraph should
14 be applied with equal vigor to alcohol testing based on
15 EtS, EtG or PEth methodologies?

16 A. Even more so. Because these are newer tests
17 that likely have great value, but, you know, they've
18 not been around as long and not been as thoroughly
19 studied. And so it's even more important to be careful
20 and have a physician involved to look at all the
21 factors, and not just rely on the result as the final
22 word.

23 Q. Now, in the Part 40 context, an MRO review is
24 not required where there has been a confirmed positive
25 test produced by a breath alcohol technician using an

1 approved evidential breath testing device; is that
2 correct?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. Okay. Now in view of that model, can you
5 explain as to why an MRO review would nevertheless be
6 necessary in the context of abstinence testing based on
7 EtS, EtG and PEth methodologies or why is no MRO --

8 A. So.

9 Q. -- required for a BAT, EBT test and why so
10 under -- for EtS, EtG, and PEth?

11 A. Yeah, breath testing is -- has been around
12 longer. It's a simple mechanical process where there's
13 a device that can detect alcohol. It's been
14 determined, particularly some devices that are -- the
15 most accurate ones have been determined to be highly
16 accurate. With EtG, EtS and PEth, although I think --
17 again, I was one of the developers of them. But I
18 think they have great value, but they've not been
19 around as long, they're more complicated. There's more
20 factors that can affect it and so it's more important
21 to be careful.

22 Q. The EBT test conducted by a BAT is actually
23 testing directly for ethanol, correct?

24 A. Yes, exactly.

25 Q. I'd like to scroll down one page, Emily, to

1 1-3. Going to focus on the last -- I think the last
2 paragraph. We can scroll down. Here we have provision
3 at the very bottom that says, "The MRO serves as the
4 common point of contact between all participants in a
5 drug test i.e, the donor, the collector, the test
6 facility, and the federal agencies designated
7 representative. The MRO may be an employee or a
8 contractor for a federal agency, however, the following
9 restrictions apply." We could go down to the next
10 paragraph, Emily.

11 Okay. It continues. "The MRO must not be an
12 employee or agent of, or have any financial interest in
13 an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF for which the MRO
14 is reviewing drug test results. And the MRO must not
15 derive any financial benefit by having an agency use a
16 specific test facility or have any agreement with an
17 HHS-certified laboratory or IITF that may be construed
18 as a potential conflict of interest. The purpose of
19 these prohibitions is to prevent any arrangement
20 between an IITF or a laboratory and an MRO that could
21 possibly influence the MRO and prevent the reporting of
22 a problem identified with the test results or testing
23 procedures."

24 Now, my question is: In the context of alcohol
25 testing or abstinence testing performed by EtS, EtG,

1 and PEth, do you have an opinion as to the importance
2 of whether the performance of these MRO functions by an
3 independent MRO should be applied with equal vigor to
4 ensure the accuracy of such results?

5 A. Yes. I believe they should be.

6 Q. I'm going to ask to move down to 1-4. And I
7 guess starting at the top of the page. Okay. You see
8 the heading and I hesitate to read all this into the
9 record. I'll try to expedite this by saying if you
10 look at where it says, "The MRO has the following
11 responsibilities." And if you could scroll down to
12 bullet points 1, 2, 3 and move to the next page. Okay.
13 With respect to these bullet points, would you say
14 generally that these similar safeguards should be
15 applied to EtS, EtG and PEth testing?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Okay. In the DOT testing context, where an
18 MRO review is required and yet does not take place,
19 what happens with the test?

20 A. Well, it would be canceled.

21 Q. Okay. Now, are you familiar with the fact
22 that Mr. Danford was offered with the opportunity to
23 re-enter a retreatment program as a means to avoid his
24 termination?

25 A. I did hear that, yes.

1 Q. And would you have recommended to Mr. Danford
2 that he confess to relapse and accept a retreatment
3 program in order to avoid termination?

4 A. No.

5 Q. And why would you not recommend that?

6 A. It's a -- it's -- you don't want to treat
7 somebody for a condition they don't have and there
8 would be very little, if any, value in -- in trying to
9 do so. So, you know, it would be pretty much a waste
10 of time and money and not good for anybody, really.

11 Q. Could it have an effect on the integrity of
12 the program which he was sent?

13 A. Absolutely.

14 MR. SEHAM: No further questions. I'll pass the
15 witness.

16 MR. KASSIN: Okay. Mr. Arbitrator, can we go off
17 the record and just talk about where we are in the
18 hearing?

19 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes.

20 THE REPORTER: What was your response, Mr.
21 Arbitrator Burdette?

22 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes. We can go off the record,
23 please.

24 THE REPORTER: Okay. Off the record at 12:47 p.m.

25 (OFF THE RECORD)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. KASSIN:

MR. KASSIN: Mr. Skipper, my name is Tom Kassin and I just want to check, can you hear me okay?

MR. SKIPPER: Yes, I can.

Q. Thank you. You are not an aviation medical examiner, are you?

A. Not currently.

Q. And you're not currently a senior aviation medical examiner authorized to do physicals on Part 141 pilots?

A. No, I'm not. I'm not currently.

Q. And you don't have any educational background in aerospace medicine?

A. I do. I was an AME -- I was an AME in the past and I'm a private pilot.

Q. But you don't have any special board certifications in aerospace and medicine --

A. No. No, I don't. I was just -- I was an AME in the past.

Q. Okay. And you've never been a flight surgeon in the military?

A. No.

Q. Okay. And you're not currently practicing aerospace regulatory medicine?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Okay. And I think I mentioned you're not
3 qualified currently to give FAA physicals to pilots?

4 A. No.

5 Q. And you're not a -- and I believe you are,
6 are you familiar with the acronym HIMS with reference
7 to the airlines?

8 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, Doctor, can you repeat
9 that, please?

10 MR. KASSIN: We are getting some bad feedback.

11 THE REPORTER: You might have to reconnect. You're
12 coming in garbled.

13 MR. SKIPPER: Is that better or not?

14 THE ARBITRATOR: That's better.

15 THE REPORTER: That's better

16 MR. SKIPPER: Okay. I guess I'll just have to hold
17 the phone. Okay.

18 BY MR. KASSIN:

19 Q. Dr. Skipper, I was asking if you're familiar
20 with the acronym HIMS that's used in the airline
21 industry for substance abuse programs.

22 A. I am.

23 Q. Okay. But you've never been qualified to be
24 a HIMS AME, have you?

25 A. No. No. Not a HIMS AME.

1 Q. Okay. Now, your curriculum vitae, which I
2 believe is Union Exhibit 55, I noticed on there that
3 you didn't make any mention of the court cases that
4 you've testified in. Is it fair to say that you have
5 testified in a number of cases?

6 A. I have.

7 Q. Could I make the request of you in the next
8 week or so to supplement that with a list of the court
9 cases that you've testified in?

10 MR. SEHAM: Well --

11 Q. Let me be more specific. I should've been
12 more specific. I apologize. I'm interested in court
13 cases that you've testified in, in which the issue of
14 alcohol biomarkers has come up such as EtG testing or
15 PEth testing.

16 MR. SEHAM: I'm going to object to this. No. Hold
17 on. I'm going to object to this. This is someone
18 whose expert report they've had now, for six months.
19 This is a proceeding that's exceeded the three days.
20 We're now going to take another two or three days, but
21 these kind of eleventh hour discovery requests of a
22 witness whose report they had six months, when they've
23 engaged in obfuscation, not provided us any reports.
24 This is a delay and interference tactic and I object to
25 it.

1 MR. KASSIN: Normally, cases that an expert has
2 testified in is a part of the curriculum vitae. We're
3 just asking to supplement it and we're just asking him
4 to cede it over the next week or so. We're not asking
5 for him to stop right now and do it. And we're limited
6 to cases in which he's testified about EtG testing or
7 PEth testing in particular, where we're talking about
8 alcohol biomarkers.

9 MR. SEHAM: When we had issues concerning material
10 that we wanted, our side, in a timely fashion,
11 submitted a subpoena to this panel to be approved.
12 Now, we are in a hearing and there's an attempt at
13 discovery that should've taken place six months ago.

14 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Mr. Kassin, proceed with
15 your cross-examination and then we'll come back to this
16 issue when you get done and see if it's germane to the
17 case and if it's necessary.

18 BY MR. KASSIN:.

19 Q. Yes, sir. Thank you. With respect to Union
20 Exhibit 57 and Emily, I'm going to be moving around the
21 exhibit. I just want the parties that are -- and Dr.
22 Skipper to have that in front of them. So it's hard to
23 tell you where to be. But first of all, Dr. Skipper,
24 you mentioned that you interviewed Mr. Danford as part
25 of your preparing this evaluation which is Union

1 Exhibit 57?

2 A. I did.

3 Q. Okay. Did you meet him in person?

4 A. No. We did a telemedicine interview like
5 this.

6 Q. Okay. And when did you do that?

7 A. Hang on just a moment. Let me bring up my
8 report.

9 Q. I didn't see it on your report which is why
10 I'm asking. Then maybe you could direct us.

11 A. Hang on a sec. Okay. My interview was 8/1
12 and 8/5. It's the top. It says, "Date of evaluation:
13 8/1 and 8/5/19."

14 Q. So those two dates referred to when you did
15 telemedicine type interviews with --

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. -- Mr. Danford? Okay. And how long was the
18 interview on 8/1/2019?

19 A. Well, as I recall, it was about an
20 hour-and-a-half and then probably another half-hour on
21 the 8/5.

22 Q. Total time approximately two hours?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. You mentioned a collateral interview that you
25 did with Mr. Danford's girlfriend and when did you

1 interview her?

2 A. Hang on just a moment. Well, it says in the
3 report -- well, no, I guess there's no date. I don't
4 know. It was after that date, but I'm not sure of the
5 date.

6 Q. And how long did you meet --

7 A. Maybe it is -- hang -- hang on just a moment,
8 maybe it's further down in the report. I -- I don't
9 remember all the details. It's so long ago. Hang on a
10 sec. I guess I didn't -- I did not indicate the date.

11 Q. Okay. How long did you speak with her?

12 A. Probably about half an hour.

13 Q. Okay. And I may have asked this, I
14 apologize. Was that in-person or was that like a video
15 conference like we're doing now?

16 A. That was a phone call.

17 Q. Phone call? Okay.

18 A. Cell phone call. Yeah.

19 Q. Did you interview -- did you conduct any
20 other collateral interviews besides his girlfriend?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Okay. Did you have an independent
23 neurocognitive evaluation conducted on Mr. Danford as
24 part of your evaluation?

25 A. No, we did not.

1 Q. Okay. Did you consult with Dr. Betty at
2 Talbott Recovery Center as part of your evaluation?

3 A. Not in person. I've read his report.

4 Q. Okay. But you did not speak with him or
5 interview him as part of your evaluation?

6 A. No, I did not.

7 Q. Okay. Did you talk with his former HIMS AME,
8 Dr. Harper Jr. As part of your evaluation?

9 A. No, I did not. I read the letter from him --
10 the letters, but I did not talk to him.

11 Q. And that was the letter withdrawing after the
12 positive PEth result from the May 9, 2018 test?

13 A. There were two -- there were two letters that
14 I read, one on 23 and one on 5/18/18. And let's see.
15 I think that's -- no, there was another one on 1/13/18.

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. I -- I read several letters from him.

18 Q. Okay. And you understand that he at the time
19 of his employment with Delta, was in a HIMS program
20 that had been mutually agreed to by the Air Line and
21 its union, The Air Line Pilots Association?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And you understood that the testing was part
24 of its monitoring program that Delta Air lines and The
25 Air Line Pilots Association had agreed to?

1 A. Absolutely. Yes.

2 Q. And that monitoring program is different from
3 the federally required DOT testing --

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. -- that you were talking about earlier?
6 Okay.

7 A. Yes. I lecture every year at the HIMS
8 Program about drug testing, so I am familiar.

9 Q. Okay. In the course of your experience
10 working with others, have you ever interviewed family
11 members that have told you that the person that you
12 were evaluating had not been drinking and later it
13 turned out that they admitted to drinking?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. I want to talk a little bit about EtG
16 testing and I'm going to skip around a little bit, but
17 it's just the way the testimony came out and the way I
18 ordered the questions. If you have a high cutoff for a
19 positive EtG test, you're going to miss some people
20 that have had relapses?

21 A. Is that a question? I -- I'm sorry.

22 Q. It's a question.

23 A. Yes, you would.

24 Q. And if you have a low cutoff like a hundred
25 nanograms that currently has been agreed to by Delta

1 Air lines and Air Line Pilots Association, you may end
2 up with some people that test positive on the EtG but
3 negative on the EtS?

4 A. Well, I -- I don't know if you want me to
5 comment, but I don't think that's a direct
6 relationship.

7 Q. Okay. So if you have a -- if you have a
8 lower cutoff, you will end up with more positives on
9 the EtG, correct?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And it's been your recommendation, in a
12 number of articles and presentations you've made that
13 in those situations that you should follow up and use a
14 PEth test as a follow-up?

15 A. Yes. But it has nothing to do with EtS. You
16 -- you asked me about EtS.

17 Q. Okay. Excuse me on that. It's my lack of
18 toxicology knowledge.

19 A. Okay.

20 Q. Okay. In the May 1, 2018, urine test that
21 Mr. Danford was given, there was alcohol present in it,
22 correct?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And even if you normalize it for creatinine,
25 there still was alcohol present in it?

1 A. Yes.

2 THE ABRITATOR: Mr. Kasson, would you excuse me
3 just one minute. Do we still need to have this exhibit
4 up on the screen because I'm not being able to see all
5 the rest of the participants as I would like to do.

6 MR. KASSON: No, sir. I think I could ask the
7 questions that I have without having the exhibit on the
8 screen. And I apologize that's a good point you just
9 made.

10 THE ABRITATOR: No problem.

11 MR. KASSON: Okay. And Emily, you could take that
12 down. Okay. So you can see --

13 THE ABRITATOR: She already did. It's down.

14 BY MR. KASSON:

15 Q. Yeah. Okay. Isn't it true, Dr. Skipper that
16 the laboratories in the United States, such as Quest
17 and others, do not normalize the test results for
18 creatinine?

19 A. No, that -- that's not necessarily true.
20 I've seen laboratories give normalized values.

21 Q. Okay. Have you seen them do it in monitoring
22 programs like Delta and ALPA have?

23 A. I've -- I've not seen it in Delta and ALPA,
24 but I've seen it in the other professional monitoring
25 programs.

1 Q. In the air line industry?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Okay. One of the points that you were making
4 in response to questions from Mr. Seham, is that
5 there's a number of environmental factors such as hand
6 sanitizer and other things that you testified to that
7 could explain a low or a positive test in an EtG test,
8 correct?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. Okay. And drinking alcoholic beverages can
11 also explain the positive EtG tests, correct?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. Okay. In your report and I don't think it's
14 necessary to put it up on the board. You talked about
15 the documents that are reviewed. In one of the
16 documents that you reviewed, was June 20, 2018, hair
17 EtG test that was done by ExperTox using a --

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. -- and a cutoff of two and it showed a
20 positive result of 4.8, correct?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. In parentheses, you said that was consistent
23 with teetotalers?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Do you know of any scientific literature that

1 supports that statement?

2 A. No. It's just ExperTox's claim.

3 Q. Okay. Is it possible to be drinking alcohol
4 and have a result that's greater than the two picogram
5 per milligram cutoff that was used?

6 A. Is it possible? Yes.

7 Q. Okay. And one of the things that you've
8 talked about at the HIMS conferences and most recently,
9 the one on September 23 -- 25, 2019, is that one of the
10 issues with doing EtG testing on hair if it's done
11 shortly after the drinking, the EtG -- the hair test is
12 not going to catch it, is it?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. So you actually need a longer window of time
15 on a EtG hair test to be able to detect the drinking of
16 alcohol?

17 A. Longer than -- it depends, but yeah, at least
18 a week or two.

19 Q. And then so something that was approximately
20 six weeks out would have a better chance of detecting
21 alcohol use on a hair test?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Do you have an opinion of how much alcohol
24 you would have to drink to be able -- to have a
25 positive hair test?

1 A. It has to be fairly significant. It probably
2 varies between individuals, but it's not just one drink
3 or even one binge episode. It would be probably more
4 on the order of two or 300 grams of alcohol over a
5 period of time that's consistent with the window of
6 detection.

7 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that a hair EtG
8 test is not as sensitive as a PEth test?

9 A. It's likely to be true. But I don't know any
10 scientific data that really finds that, that -- that
11 neither one is that sensitive, but how they -- which is
12 more or less sensitive, but I would think the PEth test
13 is more sensitive.

14 Q. That test being the PEth test?

15 A. The PEth test, I -- I would guess and it's
16 just really a guess because I don't know for sure is
17 more sensitive than the hair EtG for detecting alcohol
18 use.

19 Q. I thought that's what you were saying and I
20 just wanted to clarify that.

21 A. Yeah. Uh-huh -- yeah. I don't -- I don't
22 know good data on it, but I'm guessing from my clinical
23 experience.

24 Q. Okay. A negative hair test would not
25 necessarily refute a positive PEth test, would it?

1 A. No.

2 Q. What is your opinion of why a laboratory like
3 Quest does not normalize EtG to creatinine?

4 A. You know, I'm not sure. I think it gives
5 additional valuable data. My guess is that they would
6 have to teach people how to understand it and that's a
7 bigger challenge than they want to take on.

8 Q. Okay. It wasn't clear from your direct
9 examination and the question that I had for you is, are
10 you currently overseeing a monitoring program for
11 physicians in recovery?

12 A. Currently, no. I -- only the ones that are in
13 -- well, yes. The ones that are in treatment for
14 addiction that I supervise. I oversee the monitoring
15 program for them. But in terms of the long
16 post-treatment monitoring, I did that for 12 years, but
17 not currently.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. And you get consulted by those programs quite
20 often. But I'm not conducting it.

21 Q. For years, is it correct that you worked with
22 the state of Alabama at their physician program and did
23 monitoring for them?

24 A. For whom?

25 Q. For the state of Alabama? For the Alabama

1 program.

2 A. Yeah, for the -- for the Alabama Board of
3 Medical Examiners. Yes.

4 Q. And just describe for the arbitrator and
5 board members, what did that involve?

6 A. So that involved a whole host of things. I
7 went out to hospitals and spoke about addiction amongst
8 physicians and how to identify impairment. And then
9 hospitals would call and ask for help, you know,
10 intervening on a doctor or deciding whether to in --
11 intervene on a doctor. And then I will help them with
12 the interventions, refer them to evaluation and
13 treatment programs like I run currently. And then when
14 they came back, we would put them in monitoring usually
15 for one to five years, most of the time five years.
16 And then we would monitor them like pilots are
17 monitored by the HIMS program.

18 Q. And what type of testing would you use in
19 that program, to monitor?

20 A. Everything available -- anything available
21 that might be helpful.

22 Q. So take us through the --

23 A. The range of it?

24 Q. -- yeah, the range of or the tools in your
25 toolkit as you monitored?

1 A. Okay. So for -- for alcohol, we would use
2 breathalyzer, blood alcohol, EtG, urine, EtS. We will
3 use PEth testing. We will use Soberlink. Rarely, one
4 time I used the SCRAM device. And then for drugs, we
5 used a whole host of other testing that I don't
6 probably need to go through unless you want me to.

7 Q. Not necessary. Obviously, we're interested
8 in the alcohol issue in this case.

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. Right. What was your practice or what was
11 your practice -- in your monitoring program that you
12 did, did you ever have any situations similar to Mr.
13 Danford where you had a positive EtG and negative EtS?

14 A. Yes, for sure.

15 Q. And what would you do -- what would you do to
16 follow up on that?

17 A. It would depend on the -- all the
18 circumstances, you know, from just continuing
19 monitoring to doing a PEth test, to sending somebody
20 for evaluation -- more in-depth evaluation, might
21 change them to Soberlink, might do -- there's any
22 number of things we might do depending on the -- all
23 the details.

24 Q. And how would you handle it in a situation
25 where the PEth test came back positive?

1 A. I would -- I would confront the person to see
2 if they would admit drinking. Now that we have, you
3 know, further evidence that they may be, and if they
4 continued to deny it, in that situation where I was in
5 there, I would send them to somebody that does what I
6 do now, which is further evaluation. And try to do an
7 in-depth evaluation to try to identify whether they
8 drinking. And, you know, that involves a lot of things
9 that I can explain if you want me to.

10 Q. No. But you felt that the positive PEth test
11 justified further referral and a deeper digging down
12 into what the --

13 A. Absolutely.

14 Q. -- situation may be? Okay.

15 A. Absolutely. Usually -- usually.

16 Q. And what would you do if a PEth test came
17 back negative?

18 A. After a positive EtG and negative EtS. Is
19 that what you are asking?

20 Q. Yes, sir.

21 A. It depends on the circumstances. Again, it
22 would depend on the factors involved. It could be any
23 -- any of that same range of possibilities. Because
24 the PEth test is not always positive in somebody who's
25 been drinking. So if there was more solid evidence

1 they were drinking, then I might send them for
2 evaluation too.

3 Q. Okay. Did you ever have any situations where
4 you had three positive tests, for example, a positive
5 EtG, a positive PEth, and then a positive EtG on the
6 hair?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And did that establish a relapse, in your
9 opinion?

10 A. More -- more likely than not. But not -- not
11 necessarily 100 percent definitive, but very likely.

12 Q. Okay. Do you know of any scientific studies
13 that support the proposition that PEth test result's
14 greater than a 20 nanogram per milliliter cutoff are
15 not indicative of drinking?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. Well, actually, I could cite there have been
19 some studies where, you know, there had been positive
20 tests, but it wasn't proven that they weren't drinking.
21 But there was -- there -- there have been questions
22 about it and -- and some studies done in college
23 students in Africa. But there's been no real study to
24 look -- look at that.

25 Q. Okay. So you're not aware of any scientific

1 studies that establish that a positive PEth over 20
2 nanograms per milliliter does not establish drinking?

3 A. No.

4 MR. SEHAM: I'll object to the form for the record.

5 Q. Okay. Let me try -- Mr. Arbitrator, were you
6 the answer? Dr. Skipper, I think you understood the
7 question and answered it. I'll move on --

8 A. Yeah. I'm -- I'm tempted to expound, but I
9 don't -- if you don't want me to I won't.

10 Q. Well, I guess what I'm trying to establish is
11 there are no scientific studies that have been
12 conducted that are peer reviewed that show that a PEth
13 result greater than 20 nanograms is a false positive?

14 A. Right. And the opposite is true too.
15 There's no studies that show that it's not.

16 Q. So it's possible?

17 A. That's the problem.

18 Q. But yet, you consider PEth testing to be a
19 valuable tool in your -- and what you use when you are
20 evaluating individuals that have had a positive EtG
21 test as a follow-up?

22 A. Not only then, but other times, I think PEth
23 testing is a valuable tool.

24 Q. Okay. There were some questions that you
25 were asked about laboratory developed test by Mr.

1 Seham. Is it a true statement to say that there are no
2 laboratory developed tests that are approved by the
3 FDA?

4 A. There are no lab -- you're asking me if it's
5 true that there are no laboratory developed tests that
6 are approved by the FDA?

7 Q. Yes.

8 A. Is your question?

9 Q. Yes.

10 A. I think there are laboratory developed tests
11 that have been proved -- approved by the FDA.

12 Q. And those are for commercial use?

13 A. I think so. Oftentimes, tests start as
14 laboratory developed tests, then they're later approved
15 by the FDA.

16 Q. Okay. But the FDA -- I'm trying to
17 understand that article that we referred to earlier in
18 your direct testimony. The FDA has no real
19 jurisdiction over laboratory developed tests, does it?

20 A. No.

21 Q. I guess that's the point I was trying to get
22 across.

23 A. I see.

24 Q. Is the type of test that the FDA generally
25 approved are commercial tests, things like a pregnancy

1 test, that would be on the shelf of Walgreens or
2 something of a similar nature?

3 A. No. I think they approve other tests that are
4 clinically used in hospitals as well.

5 Q. Or in a clinical laboratory, correct?

6 A. Yes. Yes.

7 Q. Okay. There's a part of your testimony,
8 where you said you were not aware of the Federal
9 Government -- and I don't mean to misstate you, so you
10 can correct me, but just using or approving laboratory
11 developed tests. I'm not sure exactly how you said
12 that. But the point I want to ask you is, I mean, the
13 Federal Aviation Administration is an agency of the
14 Federal Government, correct?

15 A. It is.

16 Q. And the Federal Aviation Administration in
17 the issuance of special issuances and monitoring
18 programs does rely on PEth testing?

19 A. Right. But that -- okay. But that's outside
20 of the federal testing program.

21 Q. I think that's the point I was trying to
22 make. Okay. There was a good bit of testing -- sorry,
23 testimony not testing, but testimony about the federal
24 testing program, the urine testing program that's done
25 by the Department of Transportation, but Department of

1 Transportation and the Federal Aviation Administration
2 do not do random testing for EtG as part of their
3 federal program, do they?

4 A. No.

5 Q. And they don't test -- use PEth testing as
6 part of their federal program?

7 A. They do not.

8 (Company Exhibit 18 marked for identification)

9 MR. KASSIN: Okay. Emily, we just sent an article
10 that was written by Dr. Skipper as Company Exhibit 18.
11 Could you put that up on the board, please?

12 MR. SEHAM: I asked that that be -- that, that be
13 emailed to us.

14 Q. Sure. Let me ask if I could -- I'm looking
15 to see if I can get that done right now. Did Dr.
16 Skipper -- Emily, you could blow it out. Dr. Skipper,
17 do you see that okay on your monitor?

18 A. Yes, I do.

19 Q. And that's I guess --

20 A. I can't -- I can't see the small print. I
21 see the -- enough to know that's the article I wrote.

22 Q. Okay. Emily, could you blow up the top of it
23 just a little bit more so we can show the authors?

24 Okay. There we go. And I will ask formerly, is that
25 the article that you wrote?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And that was published in September 2013, if
3 I read that correctly?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And the conclusion, Emily, if you could
6 highlight the conclusion on that page and maybe blow
7 that up a little bit more, which is, it's right there
8 at the top in bold letters. That's good enough. Dr.
9 Skipper, are you able to read that on your monitor?

10 A. I can.

11 Q. Okay. And if you could just go ahead and
12 read that for us if you would.

13 A. Can they get rid of the red? I can read it
14 better without that.

15 Q. Yeah, we don't need to highlight on second
16 thought Emily, thank you.

17 A. "PEth results in combination with previous
18 low positive EtG/EtS results allow differentiating
19 between innocent/extraneous exposure and drinking.
20 Negative PEth testing following low positive EtG/EtS
21 results helps to further elucidate the findings and
22 support the claim of the patient of recent alcohol
23 abstinence. Positive PEth testing following positive
24 EtG/EtS results confirms recent drinking."

25 Q. Okay. And Emily, if you could take us to the

1 next page of that article. And I want to go towards
2 the bottom of it. And if you highlight this for a
3 little bit, I went across the page, go back right
4 there. And I'm looking at the very last sentence on
5 that page on the right-hand column where it says, "The
6 aim of our study was therefore to employ PEth as a
7 marker to differentiate between extraneous
8 incorporation, recent drinking, and more distant high
9 EtOH intake," and the next page it says, "Several days
10 ago in urine EtG/EtS positive subjects who deny
11 drinking." Is that a fair statement of what the aim of
12 your study was?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay. And then, Emily, if you could take us
15 to the fourth page. And right above the discussion or
16 right above the word references, and kind of a little
17 bit above that. I see you've got it. Can you blow
18 that portion of it up? I'm looking for the sentence --
19 the paragraph that begins, "A positive test results for
20 EtG." There you go. And take me to the last sentence
21 on -- right there. Stop right there, please. And the
22 second sentence reads, "Because blood PEth is only
23 positive following significant alcohol use." Do you
24 still agree with that statement, Doctor?

25 A. I'm not as confident as I was then.

1 Q. Okay. And then, Emily, if you could take us
2 down to the words -- the paragraph where it says, "To
3 conclude." Just move it down just to here. Get that
4 last paragraph on the conclusion right there above the
5 references. So the final paragraph, "To conclude EtG
6 and EtS can be considered to be highly sensitive in
7 detecting alcohol intake. However, to overcome the
8 dilemma in interpreting low positive EtG/EtS results,
9 the use of PEth testing seems to be effective in
10 providing additional information on potential recent
11 drinking or extraneous EtOH exposure." Do you agree
12 with that statement?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And just for -- this is just for the record,
15 Doctor, but the reference to EtOH, that's reference to
16 drinking alcohol, correct?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And thank you --

19 A. Well, in reference -- I'm sorry. It's in
20 reference to -- EtOH is ethanol or alcohol.

21 MR. KASSIN: Okay. Thank you for that
22 clarification. Emily, we're done with that exhibit for
23 the time being. Emily, I'd like to put up Union
24 Exhibit 57 again, and I'd like to go to page 8 of that
25 document that Dr. Skipper had prepared. See if you can

1 get it over to page 8. And I'm looking for the words
2 discussion and maybe blow that up a little bit right
3 there. I can't tell on my monitor. Good. Dr.
4 Skipper, can you read that okay, on your monitor?

5 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

6 Q. I wanted to ask you a question about is under
7 the discussion. And this is with reference to your
8 consultation with Mr. Danford.

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. You state, "This consultation is essentially
11 regarding the validity of PEth testing. However, it
12 seems important as always, to consider the clinical
13 context." And the question I had for you on that
14 sentence is, if the PEth results are positive and the
15 individual is denying, what is the next step?

16 A. Well, it depends. But a one frequent
17 possibility, as I mentioned earlier, is to do a more in
18 depth evaluation.

19 Q. Okay. One second. Emily, you can take that
20 down for the time being, please. Dr. Skipper, there is
21 some testimony from you about the role of the MRO and a
22 cross-reference to the manual for MROs, the federal
23 manual for the federal drug testing program, the DOT
24 program.

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Is it fair to say that the MRO's role for DOT
2 test -- is for DOT test and for workplace drug tests
3 that are done in connection with the DOT program?

4 A. That is the role -- that is one of the roles
5 of MRO, for sure.

6 Q. There's no federal requirement for an MRO for
7 an abstinence monitoring program, is there?

8 A. For non-regulated testing, there's no
9 requirement for an MRO.

10 Q. And I think it's fair to say that in the DOT
11 regulations, there's nothing in there to address EtG or
12 PEth testing, is there?

13 A. No.

14 Q. So it's fair to say the DOT regulations do
15 not require MRO review of an EtG or PEth test results?

16 A. No, they don't consider them.

17 Q. Yeah. I mean, it's fair to say that the 49
18 CFR Part 40 regulations have nothing to do with EtG or
19 PEth testing, do they?

20 A. They don't.

21 MR. SEHAM: Object to the form.

22 Q. There was a reference earlier in your
23 testimony to Union Exhibit 24, and it's a rather long
24 document. Emily, if you can find it and take us to
25 page 4 of that Union Exhibit 24. Actually, I think if

1 you can look at the bottom of page -- there you go.
2 You're in the introduction. Hold on. You can go back
3 just a little bit and blow up that very first paragraph
4 under introduction. And I'm looking at the last
5 sentence in there. You had testified, that will be Dr.
6 Skipper, that you were familiar with this particular
7 study that's Union Exhibit 24.

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And you yourself had referred to it in papers
10 that you've published?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. The last sentence of that introduction makes
13 a statement, "Moreover, quantification of PEth can be
14 used to detect the degree of alcohol consumption as a
15 significant correlation between the PEth concentration
16 in blood and the amount of consumed ethanol has been
17 demonstrated." Do you agree with that statement?

18 A. I do. It's -- that has been shown and it's
19 cited there, the reference.

20 Q. Okay. And then Emily, if I could get you to
21 go towards the end of the study on page 26, please.
22 The conclusion, and I'm not sure if you can get that
23 whole conclusion in there and kind of blow it up. But
24 I'm more interested in the top part of the conclusion,
25 maybe the first eight or nine lines. And I don't know

1 if you could read that. That's a little bit better.

2 Okay. This is a very technical sentence. It's the
3 one about our results. And Dr. Skipper, I'm going to
4 ask you to read it and kind of explain what your
5 results -- what you're saying -- not what you're
6 saying, but what that study is saying in that sentence.

7 A. So it says the reports -- I mean, I'm sorry.
8 This report describes the validation of -- is that the
9 right place?

10 Q. Well, I was going to go right down below
11 that, to the next sentence that has less numbers in it,
12 but where it says, "Our results have confirmed
13 stability of PEth and blood stored at -- I'm trying to
14 understand what that is saying.

15 A. "Our results have confirmed the stability of
16 PEth in blood stored at 80 degrees centigrade and have
17 demonstrated that PEth", those different numbers, "were
18 stable in V-DBS at room temperature for about six
19 months." V-DBS is the blood spot test.

20 Q. Okay. And, "The quantification of PEth via
21 the C-DBS method was not significantly influenced by
22 the hematocrit, the punch localization or the spot
23 volume". Can you explain that or amplify what that
24 sentence means?

25 A. In this study, they report that the amount of

1 PEth was not influenced by the hematocrit, which is the
2 percentage of red blood cells in the blood, the punch
3 location where they took the blood, or the volume of
4 the spot.

5 Q. Okay. And then later in that same paragraph
6 and it's right at the bottom line. Emily, just move
7 that up two or three lines, please. That's good.
8 Later in there, there's a reference as to no false
9 positive reports, specificity of 100 percent. Do you
10 see that, Dr. Skipper?

11 A. Let's see. Hang on a second. Okay. So the
12 cutoff of 221, there were no false positive results.

13 Q. And so that would give a specificity of a 100
14 percent?

15 A. Using that cutoff, that's what they're
16 saying.

17 (Company Exhibit 19 marked for identification)

18 Q. All right. Yes. Emily, one of the other
19 documents that we just sent in and we'll email out is
20 Company Exhibit 19, which is Dr. Skipper's presentation
21 at a HIMS conferences in September 23 to 25, 2019 in
22 Denver. If you could pull that one out. And Dr.
23 Skipper you mentioned that you do regularly -- make
24 presentations to the HIMS conferences each year. Is
25 this one of the presentations that you made in 2019?

1 A. I did speak then, yes.

2 Q. And how often do you -- you've made reference
3 to it and I was just trying to get some idea, how often
4 do you come in to those programs and speak to airline
5 and union representatives at the HIMS conferences.

6 A. I think I've spoken there three times and was
7 going to speak this year, but the program is canceled.

8 MR. SEHAM: Mr. Kassin, is this being emailed to
9 us?

10 Q. Yes, it is. I'm looking now and I got it
11 thumps up that it's being emailed right now. Emily, if
12 you can take us to the next page. And Dr. Skipper,
13 when that's coming up, I mean, your understanding of
14 the participants of the programs besides the airline
15 representatives and union representatives, are there
16 any medical doctors from the federal aviation
17 administration that also attend?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And Dr. Barry, the federal air surgeon, is a
20 regular attendee?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Okay. Can you describe for us on this
23 presentation just what was your general message that
24 you were speaking to and talking to the audience on?

25 A. Drug testing.

1 Q. Okay. Emily, if you could take us to the
2 next page of that exhibit so it would be the third
3 page. And probably have to blow that up so people
4 could see it. I'm more interested in that very first
5 slide, sensitivity versus specificity. If you blow
6 that one up, there you go. Dr. Skipper, are you able
7 to read that?

8 A. Yeah.

9 Q. You probably know it by heart, but what were
10 the points that you were making with this particular
11 slide in your presentation?

12 A. That combining the last sentence would be
13 sort of the summary. The combining a highly sensitive
14 test with a follow-up testing with a highly specific
15 test gives optimal results.

16 Q. And in today's world, that would be using the
17 urine test for EtG followed up with a PEth test?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Emily, if you could take us to the next page
20 on there and blow up the top slide please. And in that
21 particular slide, what were the points that you were
22 making to the audience on the PEth testing?

23 A. Just the characteristics of PEth testing, all
24 those points.

25 Q. So PEth testing is a direct biomarker of

1 alcohol, you agree with that?

2 A. Right. Yes. Of course.

3 Q. Okay. And it's not affected by age, gender,
4 or incidental exposure, is it?

5 A. Well, that's what it says there, right.

6 Q. But typically -- that's what you said to the
7 HIMS conference, right?

8 A. Right. Right. That is true.

9 Q. And it's not sensitive to a single drink?

10 A. Right.

11 Q. And it requires several drinks for several
12 days for a positive?

13 A. Yeah.

14 Q. And it has a detection window of two to four
15 weeks?

16 A. Yes. Those -- those points are all somewhat
17 variable, but yes, that's what it says and that I would
18 stand by that generally speaking. It can go out to
19 five weeks or maybe even six weeks.

20 Q. Okay.

21 A. And, you know, there -- there's exceptions
22 here, but yeah, I agree with that.

23 Q. Okay. And then Emily, at the same page, if
24 you can take us down to the bottom slide and blow that
25 up and just move it a little, there you go, maybe blow

1 it up one a little bit more. Dr. Skipper, I can read
2 it. Can you read yours, sir?

3 A. I can.

4 Q. So this slide is what -- what is your
5 strategy missing and tell us what was the message that
6 you were indicating to the FAA doctors and the
7 representatives from the airlines and unions on this
8 particular point?

9 A. This was just pointing out that some
10 approaches can have problems. And these are pointing
11 out the problems with different approaches.

12 Q. Yes.

13 A. Different steps.

14 Q. We've not talked about Soberlink. Just
15 briefly since it's mentioned, just briefly explain to
16 the arbitrator and board members what that's a
17 reference to?

18 A. It's just a -- it's a digital breathalyzer
19 that links to either cellular network or to Wi-Fi to
20 transmit a photo of a person when they're blowing and
21 it registers their breath alcohol.

22 Q. Okay. And your comment on urine EtG, when
23 you said misses other substances, big windows to drink,
24 can you explain what you were saying?

25 A. I'm trying to remember what I'm saying here,

1 big windows to drink.

2 Q. I mean, is that the fact that it's only
3 sensitive for a couple of days after drinking?

4 A. Probably. That's -- that's probably what I
5 meant. But it -- it -- it depends on how frequently
6 you do it. But if you do it once or -- you know, once
7 a week or twice a month, there's big gaps where you
8 could drink. Yeah, that's -- that's probably what I
9 meant.

10 Q. And you're familiar that the Delta and ALPA
11 HIMS program uses a 14 test -- a 14 random test for
12 their monitoring program? So that's given on a random
13 basis and irregular basis?

14 A. Right.

15 Q. But still there's gaps at times in those
16 periods between the 14 test, correct?

17 A. Right. Right.

18 Q. Under the bullet point for PEth you said, it
19 misses other drugs, that's not testing for them,
20 undetected low level of drinking. So somebody can --
21 how much can somebody drink and not get --

22 A. I don't know. It's not totally clear, but it
23 says that somebody has to drink about seven drinks
24 because the positive PEth over 20 nanograms per
25 milliliter. But that's kind of the question here. I'm

1 not sure.

2 Q. And then there's a bullet point underneath
3 that that says, "Hair/nail, EtG." And "1-2 week posts
4 use blind spot, not very sensitive." Can you amplify
5 what you were saying on that point?

6 A. It's kind of what we were talking about
7 earlier, that a hair test or a nail test will not be
8 positive for a period of time after it's -- after
9 exposure. And they're not very sensitive, you know,
10 takes more alcohol probably to cause a positive.

11 MR. KASSIN: Okay. Arbitrator Burdette, the
12 witness has through his questions answered some of the
13 other questions that I have, and I don't want to be --
14 I don't want to be redundant intentionally. But could
15 we take a 10 minute break so that I can narrow down?

16 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes, we can. It's currently 3:00
17 your time, I believe, right?

18 MR. KASSIN: Yes, sir. It's about 3:00 our time.
19 So 3:10?

20 THE ARBITRATOR: 3:10, that's fine.

21 MR. KASSIN: Okay. I'm going to try to narrow down
22 what I have left.

23 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Very good. Thank you.

24 THE REPORTER: Off the record at 3:00 p.m.

25 (OFF THE RECORD)

1 MR. KASSIN: Okay. Arbitrator Burdette, at this
2 point, the company has completed its cross-examination
3 of Dr. Skipper, and also we withdraw our request that
4 he supplement his curriculum vitae with the court cases
5 that he has been involved in.

6 THE ARBITRATOR: Thank you so much. Okay. Any
7 redirect, Mr. Seham?

8 MR. SEHAM: Yes. A few questions.

9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. SEHAM:

11 Q. Why in your opinion, does federal testing not
12 use EtG, EtS, or PEth testing?

13 A. I would -- can you hear me?

14 THE ARBITRATOR: Yeah, we can.

15 A. Okay. I would say it's because the federal
16 testing program has a pretty significant focus on
17 accuracy and reliability, and these are relatively new
18 tests. And as we're finding here, there -- there are
19 difficulties sometimes in interpreting them, and they
20 want it to be very sound. And so when you get into
21 newer tests like these that are useful, it brings them
22 variables that they don't want to deal with.

23 Q. When we're addressing the termination of an
24 employee in a formal legal process such as we're now
25 conducting, why would we look to federal testing rules

1 as a model?

2 A. So I think federal testing rules were
3 developed to be fair. You know, knowing that these
4 tests can mean somebody's career and livelihood, et
5 cetera. They developed these rules to try to make the
6 program as safe and fair as possible.

7 Q. In the federally regulated testing programs,
8 why is there not leeway to use new methodology such as
9 EtG, EtS or PEth?

10 A. Well, they -- they -- they want -- again, the
11 -- the federal system has focused on accuracy,
12 reliability, and consistency, I think. And so they are
13 not wanting to use these new tests until they're more
14 firmly proven to be accurate.

15 Q. Have you participated or been involved in
16 other cases where a positive PEth test appeared to be a
17 false positive in terms of proving drinking?

18 A. Yes. And that's -- that's been a concern
19 that's been growing for me because I've seen, you know,
20 probably close to 10 or 12 cases in the last six or
21 eight years where it really did appear that it was a
22 false positive. And I followed some of those cases
23 over that period of time. And one of the things we
24 know about alcoholics is that if they relapse and start
25 drinking, they usually keep doing it. And so I've

1 followed some people over the last five years who had
2 false po -- what appear to be false positive PEth tests
3 and they've done very well, kind of similar to Mr.
4 Danford. And in the time duration and their continued
5 abstinence really helps confirm the concern that there
6 may be false positives. The other thing that -- that
7 I've noticed in these cases is that some of my
8 colleagues who were very confident about PEth testing
9 have also started growing concerns. So there's --
10 there's been a kind of a growing concern that we need a
11 study to look and see are there po -- false positive
12 PEth tests, and if so, try to figure out why and
13 separate the variables about why because we don't want
14 to hurt people with a test like this. And I think
15 that's happening in some cases.

16 Q. And you talked -- related to that, you had
17 offered to Mr. Kassin that if in the context -- during
18 cross-examination, you said you testified that one of
19 the responses to a positive EtG followed by a positive
20 PEth could be further evaluation. And you offered to
21 provide a further explanation as to what a further
22 evaluation might consist of, and that invitation was
23 not taken up. So I'm inviting you. What would a
24 further evaluation in that context, what might it
25 consist of?

1 A. So, you know, what we do is spend time with
2 people, you know, we try to understand them, what
3 they've been through, what their diagnosis is, and on
4 what basis the diagnosis was made. We try to talk to
5 people that know the person and look at the other tests
6 and facts that may be present. And then the time
7 duration, as I mentioned, if somebody starts drinking
8 and they actually have an alcohol problem, they tend to
9 keep drinking and have recurrences. And so, evaluating
10 somebody over time can help us determine do they really
11 have a problem with alcohol? That's kind of a short
12 example --

13 Q. Okay. Thank you.

14 A. -- of what I'm talking about.

15 Q. Now, I don't know that we have to bring it
16 up, so long as you can tell me you recall that there
17 was Company Exhibit 18 concerning PEth, the potential
18 role in further evaluating little positive urinary EtG
19 and EtS results, there were 18 subjects in that study?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. And that study was characterized as a
22 pilot study, correct?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. What does that mean, a pilot study?

25 A. It's not a definitive study, but like a -- a

1 small study that helps suggest that more studies need
2 to be done. So it can be the begin -- you know, the
3 beginning of exploration.

4 Q. What might be some of the mechanisms for a
5 false positive PEth test?

6 A. So I and some of my colleagues who are
7 smarter than me have been trying to figure that out,
8 and we've touched on a couple of them. It look -- does
9 look like that the blood spots are coming into question
10 more often. So we wonder about the phenomenon I
11 mentioned of fermentation. So the blood spot's --
12 blood spot's not totally dried, it may be able to
13 ferment and create some alcohol in the sample during
14 shipment over a day or two or so. And then that
15 alcohol gets fermented because of glucose in the blood
16 and yeast that could land on the sample from the air,
17 you know, that can ferment and cause a positive PEth
18 test after, you know, in-vitro outside the body.

19 Other concerns are that some people may, because
20 the enzyme that makes PEth is phospholipase D, it's an
21 enzyme in the blood, and that's the enzyme that takes
22 the ethyl -- the -- the alcohol and binds it to the
23 cell membranes, the phospholipids. That's a -- what's
24 called a polyclonal enzyme, means that people have more
25 than one version of it. Some people have less of it,

1 some people have more of it. So there could be
2 individual genetic variation between people and how
3 much PEth they make. We found that to be true with EtG
4 as we've studied it.

5 There's -- there's about a 10 fold difference in
6 how much EtG an individual -- one individual can make
7 versus another, depending on their genetic makeup. So
8 it could be that some people with very minimal exposure
9 to alcohol could make more PEth and we just don't know
10 at this point. So those are two -- two possibilities.
11 Another that's been considered is that some residual
12 alcohol might be present in the skin. If somebody has
13 used hand gel or something like that recently and then
14 they get their fingers stuck some of the ethanol from
15 the previous exposure, even within the skin, could be
16 transmitted into the sample and could cause the in
17 vitro formation of PEth. So there's a number of things
18 that kind of have been theorized that could cause a
19 false positive test. We just don't have the data to
20 know at this point, and that's kind of the concerns, we
21 don't know.

22 Q. Well, how would you design a study to
23 determine the prevalence of false positives with PEth
24 tests?

25 A. Yes. So I've -- I've talked to some leaders

1 in this field including principles at US Drug Test Lab
2 where a lot of the PEth testing is done, and other
3 MROs, and what we'd like to do is a large study where
4 we do have over a hundred subjects at different ages,
5 with different illnesses, on different kind of
6 medications, and study people that don't drink alcohol.
7 So we need to find individuals that are abstinent
8 typically and do PEth testing on them over a period of
9 time and see if any of them are positive and then try
10 to study those individuals to see why, if it occurs --
11 why they had false positive PEth test. So that study
12 has not been done, it's not been funded. It needs to
13 be done, in my opinion.

14 Q. Okay. When you have represented in either an
15 article or presentation that a positive PEth test
16 confirms drinking, did you mean that as an absolute or
17 can there be exceptions?

18 A. There can be exceptions. So, you know, I
19 mean, we all want a positive test. And like when I
20 wrote that article that was published in 2013, that we
21 talked about, you know, it's -- I was very hopeful of
22 the fact that positive tests proved drinking. And in
23 general, I think it does. You know, I think in most
24 cases, maybe in the high 90 percent, a positive test
25 proves drinking, but that doesn't mean that there

1 aren't any exceptions, and -- so there are exceptions,
2 I believe.

3 Q. Why are you concerned about false positive
4 PEth tests?

5 A. Well, I'm concerned because it's so
6 dramatically affects people's lives and their careers.
7 You know, if somebody has the positive tests and they
8 are in monitoring like this, they can lose their
9 career, because Mr. Danford could. And that's a
10 tragedy. So I saw that happened with EtG testing 20
11 years ago when it was first developed. We were relying
12 on it, there were concerns that there could be false
13 positives and it turns out there were. But in the
14 meantime, people did lose their careers. And through
15 further study and papers and analysis, we got to
16 understand it better. And PEth testing has been harder
17 to understand for a number of reasons and there's not
18 been definitive studies, but I'm concerned that false
19 positive tests could ruin lives.

20 Q. To the extent that a HIMS program is seeking
21 an error-free test or combination of tests to determine
22 if a pilot has been drinking, does such an error-free
23 testing process exist?

24 A. No. Unfortunately, we did -- we just don't
25 have in this world that kind of absolute positive in

1 terms of testing. So there's -- there's always
2 going to be a human factor of considering the test and
3 trying to determine, is it valid? There's no test
4 that's perfect. So they -- everybody would love one.
5 The court system, the HIMS program, I would love one,
6 but it's there isn't a test that's perfect.

7 Q. If there's no perfect test or combination of
8 tests, how do you suggest that a HIMS program proceed
9 if it gets an EtG positive and PEth positive?

10 A. So I think we're -- we're relegated to the
11 fact that we're going to have to evaluate people and
12 think about them and look at all the facts, like the
13 facts that we've talked about here where the time since
14 the incident occurred, there have been more incidents.
15 What -- what do the other tests show, what do people
16 that know the person say. You know, things like that,
17 can, you know, bring out the fact that somebody has got
18 an ongoing problem, and that -- that would then mean
19 that they're at risk. So I think the problem is we
20 can't mechanize this. We have to have people involved
21 that understand this, that will help us analyze it and
22 determine whether it's valid or not.

23 Q. Taking everything into account, in terms of
24 your evaluation of Mr. Danford, and including the fact
25 that he did not go back for a re-treatment, how can you

1 say that Mr. Danford is fit for duty as a commercial
2 airline pilot?

3 A. Well, so I would -- I would say that, you
4 know, that there's -- when we look at somebody like
5 this, there's going to be factors that sway us one way
6 or the other. And -- and -- so the -- the -- the drug
7 testing here makes us look -- makes it looked like Mr.
8 Danford drank, there's no question about that. It
9 looks like he's drank around -- around that time that
10 we're talking about -- was it 2018 or 2019, I forget
11 when. But the -- the incident was the positive EtG and
12 the PEth. On 5/1/18 was around the date that this
13 started so it looks like he drank right before that.

14 So I would give that alone a high indication of --
15 high indication that he drank. So if I had that and
16 nothing else, I would say it really looked like he
17 drank. But the problem is he had prior testing that
18 was negative and he's had subsequent testing that was
19 negative. He has not had any kind of incidents or you
20 know, breakdown of drinking which usually occurs in
21 somebody with an alcohol problem. The people that know
22 him, the person that knows him that I talked to was
23 very confident. And my sense of him when I evaluated
24 him, I felt confident.

25 So each of those things I might give, you know, a

1 90 percent value, and the things that add up to say
2 that he's doing well and he's not at risk then start
3 being more significant than the negatives. And we all
4 like drug testing because it's so objective, but it's
5 not perfect and we have to look at these other factors,
6 and they're pointing me toward the very high likelihood
7 that Mr. Danford is okay. And I think he's at low risk
8 and he does not need further treatment.

9 Q. And Mr. Danford actually flew out to meet you
10 face-to-face; isn't that correct?

11 A. He did, and he retained his pilot's license
12 and he's done well.

13 MR. SEHAM: Okay. All right. I have no further
14 questions. I don't know if there will be re-cross.

15 MR. KASSIN: Mr. Burdette, it's okay if I proceed?

16 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes.

17 RECROSS EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. KASSIN:

19 Q. I'm confused. Earlier when I asked you if
20 you met Mr. Danford in person, you said that you did
21 not -- you said that you had a video call with him and
22 now you just said -- you said he flew out to see you
23 as part of the evaluation?

24 A. He flew out here to undergo some testing, but
25 I was not able to see him, but I knew he flown out.

1 Q. Okay. What testing was that?

2 A. He did the polygraph. The one --

3 Q. Okay. That's what -- we're not -- that's not
4 admissible. Okay. Did --

5 A. I think he did some other drug testing.

6 Q. Okay. But he didn't do a neurocognitive exam
7 out there?

8 A. No.

9 MR. SEHAM: He asked -- he asked about --

10 Q. Okay. So what I was understanding you to say
11 as you looked at his positive EtG of May 1st and
12 positive PEth of May 9th, that as you're looking at it
13 and evaluating other factors, the 90 percent factor,
14 your 90 percent, in your opinion that he was drinking,
15 but you looked at the other 10 percent factors. And so
16 maybe what --

17 A. No, I'm saying that each of the -- each of
18 the -- each of the factors that I look at may suggest
19 that, you know, there's a high likelihood either that
20 he drank or didn't drink and the factors that looked
21 like he didn't drink are more numerous, and in my
22 opinion, in this case, outweighed the likelihood that
23 he did drink. So it's a matter of balancing. There's
24 no perfect answer. We can't know what the -- what the
25 causative fact one way or the other, but we have to

1 come up with a decision about likelihood. And I think
2 it's a high likelihood that he didn't drink even though
3 these tests make it look like he did.

4 Q. So your evaluation is very subjective based
5 on your opinion, correct?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Okay. And but the test, on the other hand,
8 the PEth test is very objective. It gives you a
9 quantified number that put him above the cutoff and
10 positive on his PEth test, correct?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. And his EtG results from the May 1st urine
13 sample was a positive number that put him -- that also
14 was positive, correct?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. And then I'll do --

17 MR. SEHAM: Objection. I think that the witness
18 had not finished his answer.

19 Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.

20 A. Yeah. I just wanted to just say that I have
21 seen cases in my career where somebody came in and the
22 labs showed that they were in -- looked like they were
23 in terrible renal failure, for example, you know,
24 creatinine was really high, but the person looked fine.
25 And so we retest them and everything was okay. So what

1 happened, there was some kind of error at the lab. So
2 those labs looked like, if you just looked at them,
3 you'd say this person is in kidney failure and needs to
4 be on dialysis. But you wouldn't want to act on that.
5 You have to look at the person and then, you know,
6 repeat some testing and follow them and if they're
7 okay, then you say, "Well, the lab was an error." And
8 that's kind of what I see happened here.

9 Q. Okay. But to clarify, when I asked you
10 questions earlier, you said you were aware of no
11 scientific studies that showed that there were actual
12 false positive PEth tests, right?

13 A. Right. Likewise, there's no scientific
14 studies that would show that, you know, the blood
15 testing on an individual in the lab would show up renal
16 failure and not being renal failure. It's just these
17 kind of things happen and there's not necessarily a
18 scientific study that proves it, but we see it.

19 Q. You talked a little bit about other cases
20 where they have raised some concerns with you about
21 PEth testing. But did any of those cases also involve
22 a positive EtG test followed by a positive PEth test?

23 A. Yes, a lot of times they do, yeah. And it's
24 usually a very low positive EtG.

25 Q. Okay. But his PEth test was not a low

1 positive PEth test. You know the numbers on those,
2 don't you?

3 A. The PEth test is a low positive. I -- I
4 consider anything under 100 to be low positive.

5 Q. So that's the standard that you apply?

6 A. That is the standard I've applied based on
7 the research of the -- of papers I've looked at.

8 Q. Okay. Of the test that you expressed that
9 you have some question in your mind about did any of
10 them have a positive EtG, a positive PEth, and
11 approximately six weeks later, a positive hair EtG?

12 A. I don't -- I don't know. I'd have to -- I
13 don't -- I don't remember that they -- I don't think
14 so.

15 Q. So when you are looking at the total picture,
16 if you're looking at somebody that had a positive EtG
17 followed by a positive PEth and six weeks later did a
18 hair test and it was positive for EtG, does that not
19 indicate drinking to you?

20 MR. SEHAM: Hold on. We're going to object because
21 the testimony has been that the hair test yielded a
22 quantitative result which the laboratory defined as a
23 teetotaler, someone who didn't drink any alcohol, so
24 the question mischaracterizes the evidence.

25 MR. KASSIN: I think that it was -- first of all,

1 the laboratory said it was positive, and I believe that
2 it was Dr. Skipper that said that he characterized it
3 as teetotaler.

4 MR. SEHAM: No, it's right on the laboratory.

5 A. The lab report says that. And the cutoff
6 there was a lot lower. It would have been negative
7 with a normal cutoff of 20 picograms per milligram. He
8 came back positive 4.8, which is below cutoff in most
9 standards.

10 Q. Okay. But --

11 A. So that would have been a negative hair test,
12 actually.

13 Q. You didn't pick the cutoff, correct?

14 A. No. No, I did not.

15 Q. And Delta didn't pick the cutoff, correct?

16 MR. SEHAM: The question's vague. Delta did pick
17 the cutoff for PEth and EtG. Are you referring to the
18 hair test?

19 Q. We're referring to the hair test, and the
20 hair test that Mr. Danford did on his own -- Okay. On
21 the hair test that Mr. Danford did on his own, neither
22 you nor Delta had anything to do with picking the
23 cutoff on that?

24 A. No, not that -- I don't know who picked the
25 cutoff.

1 Q. Okay. And you already testified that the
2 hair is not very sensitive, correct?

3 A. Correct. Correct.

4 Q. Okay. You had some question you raised about
5 the blood spot cards. Are you familiar with the blood
6 spot card that USDTL uses?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And there is a preservative present in there
9 on that particular piece of type of paper that they
10 use, correct?

11 A. That I'm not aware of.

12 Q. Okay. But in your opinion, if a preservative
13 is present in the blood spot card, doesn't that prevent
14 formation and stop enzyme activity?

15 A. I don't -- I do not know if it would or not.

16 Q. Okay. I think I mispronounced fermentation,
17 sorry. I meant fermentation. The answer is you don't
18 know?

19 A. I don't know.

20 Q. Okay. You talked about individuals that
21 you've had experienced with, I assume in your
22 physician monitoring programs and other programs that
23 have a relapse. Isn't it correct that percentage-wise,
24 individuals that have a relapse or at a higher risk of
25 further relapses?

1 A. I would say that's true. I don't know exact
2 numbers, but my instinct tells me that's true.

3 Q. Okay. And you were asked some questions
4 about the concepts behind federal testing, but isn't it
5 true that the federal drug testing that takes place in
6 the airline industry, I mean, the objective of it is
7 deterrence compared to what the DPAC program has at
8 Delta where the objective of it is monitoring. Isn't
9 that a fair distinction?

10 A. Well, I think they both have deterrence as an
11 objective and early detection. So you want to -- in
12 the federal testing, you want to deter use, but also
13 pick it up early if somebody's using. Also, you want
14 to pick up relapse if somebody is -- you may not know
15 that they've had treatment and they may have relapsed.
16 But in the professional monitoring that HIMS does or
17 that I did, in my role as the medical director of a
18 physician health program, we also want the testing to
19 deter use and pick up relapse early.

20 Q. Okay. And federal, I mean, I think we've
21 covered this, but I want to make sure that federal drug
22 testing is only based on a urine test, correct?

23 A. Breath, breath and urine.

24 MR. KASSIN: That's right, the breathalyzers, they
25 did it. You're correct on that Arbitrator Burdette, if

Arbitration Proceedings - October 30, 2020

1 I could just have like, 30 seconds or so?

2 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes. Go offline.

3 (OFF THE RECORD)

4 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. We can proceed.

5 MR. KASSIN: Okay. We have no further questions
6 for Dr. Skipper. Thank you for your time today, sir.

7 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Mr. Seham?

8 MR. SEHAM: We have no further questions and we
9 thank Dr. Skipper for his time.

10 THE ARBITRATOR: Thank you very much, Dr. Skipper..

11 MR. SKIPPER: Thank you.

12 MR. SEHAM: Thank you.

13 THE ARBITRATOR: Goodbye.

14 MR. SEHAM: During that break, Arbitrator Burdette,
15 I exchanged some texts with the individual I hope to be
16 our next witness. He said he should be available at
17 4:00 Eastern Time, so that should be in about 13
18 minutes or so.

19 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Okay. You want to take a
20 15 minute break and then we'll reconvene?

21 MR. SEHAM: Great. Thank you.

22 MR. KASSIN: Arbitrator Burdette, before we go off
23 the record, can we take care of a housekeeping matter?

24 THE ARBITRATOR: Sure.

25 MR. KASSIN: Okay. So we kind of rushed into Dr.

1 Skipper's testimony because of the limited availability
2 that he had. But what I had wanted to say on the
3 record is that, with the completion of Captain Graham's
4 testimony, the company will be resting its case. Now,
5 we are understanding is that Mr. Danford will be
6 testifying in his case. But if for some reason, the
7 decision is made for him not to testify, we'd like to
8 reserve the right to call him as a witness.

9 MR. SEHAM: We will be calling Mr. Danford as a
10 witness. I would say under normal circumstances -- I
11 have the perhaps high ambition of getting two more
12 witnesses in today, both of them are fairly brief.

13 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.

14 MR. SEHAM: And that would heighten the chances of
15 finishing within a respectable amount of time. I had
16 thought to make a summary motion because to date, there
17 has been no expert testimony in defense of the PEth
18 test, on the company's side. However, we'll waive that
19 motion and we'll proceed, and as I say, hope to get two
20 more witnesses today.

21 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Okay. Thank you very much.
22 Well, we're off the record.

23 (OFF THE RECORD)

24 THE ARBITRATOR: We'll wait just a moment for Mr.
25 Dodge.

Arbitration Proceedings - October 30, 2020

1 MR. DODGE: Can you guys hear me?

2 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes, we can.

3 MR. DODGE: Okay.

4 THE ARBITRATOR: There you are.

5 MR. DODGE: Yeah.

6 THE ARBITRATOR: You're very dark. However, can
7 you put anymore light on your scene so --

8 MR. DODGE: Let me see. It's unfortunately kind of
9 a dark office. Does this help at all?

10 THE ARBITRATOR: Yeah, a little bit.

11 MR. DODGE: Okay. Whoops. Sorry about that.

12 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Mr. Seham, you may proceed.

13 MR. SEHAM: Okay. Has he been sworn?

14 THE ARBITRATOR: No. Sorry. Yeah. We're swearing
15 witnesses, Mr. Dodge, so would you raise your hand,
16 please?

17 MR. DODGE: Yes, sir.

18 THE ARBITRATOR: Do you swear or affirm that the
19 testimony you're about to give in this case is the
20 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

21 MR. DODGE: I do.

22 THE ARBITRATOR: Thank you very much. Is there
23 anybody else in the room with you?

24 MR. DODGE: Two dogs. That's it.

25 THE ARBITRATOR: And do you have any documents in

1 front of you, that are not part of the exhibits in this
2 case?

3 MR. DODGE: No, I do not.

4 MR. KASSIN: Thank you very much. Mr. Seham, now
5 you may proceed.

6 DAVID DODGE,
7 having been first duly sworn, testifies as follows:

8 DIRECT EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. SEHAM:

10 Q. Okay. Please state again your name for the
11 record.

12 A. Yes, Sir. David Dodge.

13 Q. And where are you currently employed and in
14 what job classification?

15 A. Delta Air --

16 Q. And as a what job classification?

17 A. Delta Airlines, I'm sorry. Airline pilot.

18 Q. Okay. And how long have you been employed as
19 a Delta pilot?

20 A. Little over 22 years.

21 Q. Are you familiar with the Human Intervention
22 Motivation Study or better known as the HIMS program at
23 Delta Airlines?

24 A. Yes, I am.

25 Q. And what is HIMS?

1 A. HIMS is a very important program that is
2 utilized for rehabilitation of addicted pilots, or
3 possible addiction.

4 Q. And how did you first become familiar with
5 the HIMS program?

6 A. Well, as a participant myself. You know, as
7 a participant myself, I guess.

8 Q. Very good. And were you able through the
9 HIMS program to successfully return to flying?

10 A. Yes, sir. I'm currently a Delta Airlines
11 Captain on the 737.

12 Q. And have you had any association with the
13 HIMS program other than as a direct participant?

14 A. Yes, currently a peer monitor.

15 Q. And is the function of a peer monitor to
16 monitor fellow pilots who have entered the HIMS
17 program, due to an identified substance abuse issue?

18 A. That is correct.

19 Q. Okay. And can you more specifically describe
20 the duties of a HIMS peer monitor?

21 A. That's pretty simple. We meet with the
22 patient once a month, and we go over their log books.
23 Make sure that they've been attending their allocated
24 amount of meetings that they're supposed to be doing,
25 and as well as check to make sure that they are

1 currently attending their aftercare programs. As well
2 as making sure that they also are following up with
3 their AME physician, along with the psychiatrist, and
4 just their general well-being.

5 Q. For how long have you been a HIMS program
6 peer monitor?

7 A. A little over three.

8 Q. I'm sorry, three years?

9 A. A little over three years. Yes, sir.

10 Q. As a peer monitor, were you at assigned to
11 monitor Michael Danford?

12 A. I was.

13 Q. Did you meet with him once a month while he
14 was employed at Delta?

15 A. I did.

16 Q. Would you describe your meetings with Mr.
17 Danford as typical or did he distinguish himself in any
18 way?

19 A. Well, he distinguished himself. He was
20 always very willing to come to -- come to me on my
21 time, including driving all the way down to Peachtree
22 City. He resides in Chattanooga, so it was a long
23 trip. He would make sure that he wasn't imposing in on
24 me, find a good time for me and he generally went out
25 of his way. My other two guys kind of float a little

1 bit. Both of them commuted as well. One from a
2 geographical location that's about the equivalent of
3 Mike's. That -- you know, he's always checking my
4 schedule and trying to make it work that way. But Mike
5 would just set a time and say this is why I'm going to
6 be there, what's good for you. And -- and we were
7 discussing, he would would drive down.

8 Q. Any observations you would make concerning
9 his involvement in your monthly meetings, his
10 engagement?

11 A. His engagement was always a 100 percent right
12 on. He was always wanting to be there and do what he
13 needed to do and what was required of the program, if
14 not more.

15 Q. And did he engage longer or shorter than the
16 others that you were monitoring?

17 A. You know, we would have -- you know, fairly
18 lengthy conversations. You know, it -- it would be
19 typically about how the program was going. What step
20 he was on. You know, the meetings with his -- his you
21 know, sponsor and just and in -- in generally -- and
22 life in general, how things were going for him.
23 Typically a little bit, he'd come down and then we'd
24 usually sit -- sit and have a cup of coffee or
25 something and just kind of chat.

1 Q. Now, based on your monitoring of his log
2 books and your face-to-face meetings, did you draw any
3 conclusions concerning his adherence to abstention from
4 drinking alcohol?

5 A. I believe that he was completely adhering to
6 the program and abstained from alcohol.

7 Q. Okay. And did his appearance and behavior
8 contribute to your conclusion?

9 A. Yes, of course. You know, Mike was always
10 very clear headed. His speech patterns were good. He
11 never had the cloudiness in his eyes, he never had the
12 aloofness that -- that's typical with someone that's
13 relapsed.

14 Q. What experience do you have in terms of
15 detecting individuals who have a drinking problem?

16 A. Well, certainly myself. You know, before I
17 went into the program, I hid it from my wife and I
18 tried to hide it from others. But in general, I wasn't
19 -- you know, so I know from my own personal behavior
20 and personal appearance and the things that I was doing
21 that Mike did not exhibit any of those at all.

22 Q. And then you -- did you acquire additional
23 experience in terms of detection in your role as a peer
24 monitor for several years?

25 A. Well, I had a personal deal where we had a

1 friend of the family, a non pilot who -- from
2 California. He went into a rather lengthy program and
3 we brought him out here, just think it'd be a safe
4 environment. I could take him to meetings and things
5 like that. And it wasn't long before he was drinking
6 again and I knew the moment that he picked up. You
7 know, he just -- he -- it was like a light switch. He
8 went from being a clear, conscientious, objective
9 individual to just -- to being very aloof, cloudy,
10 hiding, you know, trying to skirt questions and
11 everything. And I called him out on it and he'd been
12 drinking, you know, and I was spot on with that one.

13 Q. Did there come a time when you learned that
14 Michael Danford had reportedly received a positive PEth
15 test for alcohol?

16 A. Yes. Michael and I were in touch the whole
17 time from the urine analysis just all the way through
18 the PEth. So Mike -- Michael was right -- right there
19 with me the whole time and, you know, basically letting
20 me know exactly where he was. So that was not a -- so
21 I was informed, you know, from the moment he learned of
22 it.

23 Q. And how did you react to this report of a
24 positive PEth test?

25 A. Well, astonished. You know, I've been

1 dealing with Mike, you know, and it -- it shocked me
2 because this is an individual who I'm a 100 percent
3 sure that he has not drank any alcohol.

4 Q. Did you contact anyone to express your --
5 your view on the subject?

6 A. I did. I called Warern Mallory and he was
7 head of the DPAC program. I was very concerned about
8 it because, you know, this is -- as a peer monitor now,
9 I'm kind of caught in the middle. You know, I've
10 gotten DPACs side of things and I've got Michael's side
11 of things. And to me, you know, I want to make sure
12 that I knew which side that was on the correct side.
13 And after talking to Michael, I was stuck the whole
14 time, even to the point of disagreeing with Warren
15 personally.

16 Q. What opinion or what view did you express to
17 Warren Mallory?

18 A. That he had not --

19 Q. I'm sorry, the --

20 THE REPORTER: What was the last part?

21 A. What -- what view that I expressed to Warren
22 Mallory was that -- that Michael had not drank.

23 Q. Is Captain Mallory still with the company?

24 A. No, he's -- he retired on that -- that VEOP
25 they just offered. So he's gone.

1 Q. Did you ever hear back from him after you
2 expressed your opinion?

3 A. No, he tried to shoo me off saying that the
4 PEth test don't lie, and that was it. That was all the
5 -- all the contact we had on it and he would not talk
6 to me about that afterwards.

7 Q. What became of your role as peer monitor for
8 Michael Danford once Mr. Danford was terminated by
9 Delta?

10 A. Well, once he was terminated, then -- then
11 that contract was terminated. So I was no longer his
12 peer monitor. .

13 Q. Okay. You lost all contact with him?

14 A. Oh, absolutely not. I've stayed in contact
15 with Mike more than I stayed in contact with my other
16 two guys that I monitor.

17 Q. Even though you're continuing to monitor
18 them?

19 A. Right. I mean, I talk to my other guys, you
20 know, once a month. Maybe occasionally they have a
21 question they might call up, you know, an additional
22 time, but really it's never more than once a month.

23 Q. How would you describe your continuing
24 contacts with Mr. Danford?

25 A. Well, he's -- he's getting me informed on

1 everything that's going on right now with them. You
2 know, I've -- I've offered my assistance in any way I
3 can help. You know Mike and we probably speak, you
4 know, at least several times a month, you know. When I
5 say several, you know, at least four or five times a
6 month. You know, I can go to my cell phone logs to
7 verify that, but -- we do -- we do do that, so --

8 Q. Based on your experience, what is your
9 opinion of the HIMS program at Delta?

10 A. Well, the HIMS program is a very important
11 program. It's certainly very important to me because
12 it saved my butt. The -- the only criticism that I
13 have on the HIMS program is the testing. You know,
14 there's -- there's several people out there that have
15 gotten caught in Mike's situation. This is a program
16 and it -- it teaches that you need to be brutally
17 honest. Well, if you're brutally honest and you say
18 that I haven't drank and you don't go down the -- the
19 path of least resistance , you just -- you just go back
20 to monitoring or -- or go back into the MAR, then
21 you're not brutally honest, are you? I mean, so you're
22 kind of in a catch 22.

23 And I really feel that -- my objective for this
24 whole thing and -- and what I'd like to see happen come
25 out of this is I would like to see that -- that some --

1 be some recourse for a positive test that we have a
2 verification system that it's not a false positive. A
3 system in place in which maybe there's a system of
4 appeals. Or, you know, basically a, you know, a -- a
5 -- a point of contact we could go to, and so you -- so
6 you can say, yes, I have not drank and you're not
7 forced to go back into another 100 day program. A lot
8 of our guys, including myself, are coming out of this
9 -- the system with a PTSD. You know, I used to
10 regularly have nightmares about failing a test, and I
11 wasn't failing because I was drinking, it was about the
12 false positive. That's how much it weighs on you. And
13 I would certainly like to see that changed. I would
14 like to see that, hey, look, you're -- I'm sorry, let
15 me -- hold on. Can you hear me?

16 Q. Yes. I think we just lost you, you just
17 clicked mute. You have to unmute yourself. We can't
18 hear you. There you go, you just unmute it. So we
19 didn't get you in the last 60 seconds.

20 A. Okay. I -- I apologize. Basically, what I'd
21 like to see happen is that -- that this not -- this
22 become a little bit more user-friendly for people.
23 Because this testing that they -- the way they've got
24 it right now, you're just condemned if -- if something
25 happens and -- and that's very concerning to me. Now,

1 I'm not under evaluation anymore and, you know, but I
2 still have bad dreams about failing a test. You know,
3 it's unbelievable. And I'd like to see that -- that's
4 what I'd like to see change. But other than that, the
5 program is great. It saved my life.

6 Q. I have one just clarification question. I
7 believe you referenced MAR and I just wanted you to
8 define what that is.

9 A. MAR -- MAR is the secondary ward for -- for
10 our guys. You know where they have to go -- yeah, if
11 you fail a test, then -- then you've got -- you have
12 one more shot at it and you have to go off to a higher,
13 you know, rehabilitation program. And then -- and then
14 you're basically monitored for life. And, you know, it
15 -- it's a scary prospect because now you are under --
16 where they would consider a schedule B contract, which,
17 you know, you're being tested the rest of your life.
18 And again, with the way the systems in place right now,
19 if something happens where it's a fluke, you know, and
20 it's happened. Guys have been around paint, they've
21 been around their shop, you know, they've been around,
22 you know, engine products and stuff and they -- they
23 get a vapor and bam, they're -- they're failing the
24 PEth test, so that's concerning for me.

25 And, you know, again, like I said, with the point

1 with Mike with MARs, Mike had, you know, the integrity
2 to stand up and say, hey, I -- I did not drink. He
3 could've taken the path of least resistance and said,
4 okay, I'm just going to go MAR. and then of course,
5 what do they do? They say, well, you know, you're an
6 alcoholic. So you're in denial. Well, no. He's not
7 in denial and he chose to fight this. So my hat's off
8 to him for the -- the integrity that he has shown for
9 this process.

10 MR. SEHAM: Thank you very much for being available
11 and --

12 MR. DODGE: Absolutely.

13 MR. SEHAM: -- I'm going to pass -- pass you now to
14 Delta attorney Tom Kassin who will have questions for
15 you.

16 MR. KASSIN: Arbitrator Burdette, can we have a
17 minute, please?

18 THE ARBITRATOR: Sure.

19 MR. KASSIN: Thank you.

20 THE REPORTER: Off record at 4:16 p.m.

21 (OFF THE RECORD)

22 THE ARBITRATOR: Ms. Todd, would you raise your
23 right-hand, please? We're swearing witnesses in this
24 case.

25 THE REPORTER: She'll have to unmute.

1 THE ARBITRATOR: Yeah, you need to unmute, please.
2 There we go.

3 MS. TODD: Can you hear me?

4 THE ARBITRATOR: I can hear you now.

5 MS. TODD: Okay.

6 THE ARBITRATOR: Do you swear or affirm that the
7 testimony you're about to give in this case will be the
8 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

9 MS. TODD: Yes.

10 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Do you have any documents
11 in front of you that are not exhibits in this case?

12 MS. TODD: I do not.

13 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. And do you have anybody in
14 the room with you aside from Michael Danford?

15 MS. TODD: Mike is the only one.

16 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Thank you very much. Mr.
17 Seham, you may proceed.

18 ARTIS TODD,
19 having been first duly sworn, testifies as follows:

20 DIRECT EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. SEHAM:

22 Q. Thank you. Could you please state again your
23 name for the record?

24 A. Artis Todd.

25 Q. And what is your profession?

1 A. Flight attendant.

2 Q. And for which Airline?

3 A. Delta Airlines.

4 Q. How long have you been employed by Delta?

5 A. May of 1991. I'm in my 30th year.

6 Q. Have you ever been disciplined by Delta?

7 A. No.

8 Q. As a flight attendant, are you subject to FAA
9 mandated drug and alcohol testing?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. To what extent do you drink alcohol?

12 A. I don't drink alcohol.

13 Q. At all?

14 A. At all.

15 Q. How long have you abstained from drinking
16 alcohol?

17 A. Seven years.

18 Q. And what do you attribute your abstinence to?

19 A. I never cared for it much and I am a breast
20 cancer survivor, so I thought it was just wise to stop
21 -- not drink any alcohol.

22 Q. Have you ever had a romantic relationship
23 with someone who drinks alcohol?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Do you know -- do you know Michael Danford?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And are you in a romantic relationship with
3 him?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And since what time?

6 A. It was May of 2017, when I met Mike.

7 Q. And is this romantic relationship exclusive
8 or do you and he see other people?

9 A. It's exclusive.

10 Q. Where do you live?

11 A. I'm in Marietta, Georgia.

12 Q. At times when you are not flying, would you
13 generally have firsthand knowledge of Mr. Danford's
14 whereabouts?

15 A. Yes, we're together constantly.

16 Q. And do you socialize with others as a couple?

17 A. Not as much, no.

18 Q. Okay. What do you do in terms of recreation,
19 you and Mr. Danford?

20 A. We like to fish and swim. We -- we build --
21 when we aren't working, we build bird houses,
22 furniture. We build a boat and we like to eat pizza.

23 Q. Okay. When you are -- when you are not
24 flying, what information do you have concerning Mr.
25 Danford's whereabouts and activities?

1 A. Yeah, I do know where Mike is. He also
2 spends time with his son, helps his son with his -- his
3 son has a granite manufacturing company, Mike helps his
4 son with that.

5 Q. A granite countertop?

6 A. Countertop, yes, sir.

7 Q. Thanks. And have you socialized with his
8 son?

9 A. Yes, we do.

10 Q. Okay. Does his son drink alcohol?

11 A. No, he does not.

12 Q. Do you think based on your life experience
13 that you would have the ability to determine if Michael
14 Danford was drinking on the sly?

15 A. Yes. As a flight attendant, it's best
16 practices for us to just use our senses, our eyes, our
17 ears, our nose, observe behaviors. It's just -- it's
18 best to be aware of your surroundings.

19 Q. And you apply this in terms of your handling
20 of passengers?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. Did you ever see behavioral indicators of
23 alcohol use with Michael since May of 2017?

24 A. None. No

25 Q. Are you familiar with Michael Danford's stay

1 at the Talbott Recovery Center?

2 A. Yes, I am familiar with that. Mike's
3 roommate was a angry ex-felon that was frightening to
4 me. I find it -- this frightening experience for him
5 and me for that, very frightening. He learned some a
6 lot there, but it was frightening.

7 Q. Do you have any concern that Michael Danford
8 may have had an alcoholic drink since he completed the
9 Talbott's program?

10 A. No, he hasn't.

11 (Union Exhibit 35 marked for identification)

12 Q. We could post up Union Exhibit 35. Just
13 briefly for the record, this is a memorandum on FAA
14 letterhead dated December 31st, 2018 from Alan Sager,
15 MD, psychiatric consultant, to manager, Aerospace
16 Medical Certification Division. And I'd like to turn
17 to the second page, and the second to last paragraph on
18 that page. There. Perfect. And what I want to
19 reference is the following. "There is a letter dated
20 October 24th, 2018 by Artis Todd who indicates that she
21 is a 28-year-old flight attendant with Delta Airlines
22 and has been in a relationship with the pilot since May
23 2017. They are together constantly and extremely
24 happy. She indicated that she was surprised that the
25 pilot had a positive PEth test and at a loss to explain

1 how that occurred, she noted that they do not drink and
2 have no alcohol in possession and do not frequent any
3 events where alcohol is prevalent. She noted that
4 there were very few days that they were apart. And on
5 a few of those days, the pilot was with his son,
6 helping him with his granite countertop manufacturing
7 business and his son does not drink and supports his
8 father as fully as she has." Now, Ms. Todd, is this you
9 they're talking about here?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And you're not 28 years old?

12 A. No. No, sir. Twenty years -- at that time,
13 I was a 28 -- a 20-year flight attendant for Delta.

14 (Union Exhibit 36 marked for identification)

15 Q. Okay. Very good. And if I could move to
16 Union Exhibit 36. Okay. If you can look at this, Ms.
17 Todd, and confirm for me, is Union 36 a letter you
18 wrote and the letter that is referenced in Union
19 Exhibit 35?

20 A. Yes, correct.

21 Q. Okay. And is the contents of that letter are
22 true to the best of your knowledge?

23 A. Yes.

24 MR. SEHAM: I have no further questions. And pass
25 the witness to Delta counsel.

1 THE ARBITRATOR: Mr. Kassin.

2 MR. SEHAM: Emily, if we can -- we can drop the
3 exhibit for now, I think.

4 MR. KASSIN: And Arbitrator Burdette, if I can just
5 have two minutes, please.

6 THE ARBITRATOR: Sure. You may.

7 (OFF THE RECORD)

8 MR. KASSIN: Sir, we have no questions for Ms. Todd
9 and Ms. Todd, thank you for coming today.

10 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Any further redirect, Mr.
11 Seham, or any further questions for her?

12 MR. SEHAM: No, Arbitrator Burdette. Thank you.

13 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Todd, thank
14 you very much for your time and participation.

15 MR. SEHAM: So as I stated previously that those
16 are the witness was -- witnesses we have available. We
17 had another perhaps, but we lost him because of the
18 time shifts. So --

19 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.

20 MR. SEHAM: I think that unless there are other
21 housekeeping matters, I think that would wrap things up
22 for today.

23 (Whereupon the proceeding concluded.)

24 * * *

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER CERTIFICATE

I, DAMIEN STONEBERGER, hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were recorded by audio by me, a disinterested person, and that the proceedings were thereafter transcribed to typewriting, by computer;

That I am neither attorney for nor a relative or employee of any of the parties to the action; further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially interested in its outcome.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this October 30, 2020.



DAMIEN STONEBERGER
STORYCLOUD

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

SCOPIST CERTIFICATE

I, the undersigned, do hereby affirm:

That the foregoing electronically-recorded proceedings were scoped by me to the best of my ability.

I further affirm I am neither certified or financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party to this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name.

Dated: November 17, 2020

Stephanie Morano

STEPHANIE MORANO

<p>A</p> <p>a.m 435:4 486:14 491:24 492:1</p> <p>AA 471:24,24 472:1</p> <p>ability 438:19 439:16 441:18 444:2 450:9 452:23 489:16 519:13 613:13 618:5</p> <p>able 439:5 441:24 450:1 451:16 452:24 453:2 466:2 470:20 496:20 504:24 513:5 550:4 552:15 552:24 563:9 572:6 581:12 587:25 599:8</p> <p>ABRITATOR 483:21 550:2 550:10,13</p> <p>absent 441:25</p> <p>absolute 454:15 583:16 584:25</p> <p>absolutely 437:5 452:13 474:1 476:12 520:6 528:12 540:13 548:1 557:13,15 605:14 609:12</p> <p>abstain 476:16</p> <p>abstained 602:6 611:15</p> <p>abstention 602:3</p> <p>abstinence 440:23 441:2</p>	<p>441:25 443:7 443:10 444:3 446:25 450:12,15 458:3 461:3 463:17,21 471:9,9,12,12 472:4,19 474:23 476:1 476:3,8,18 477:8 492:11 501:17 514:5 514:18,21 537:6 538:25 563:23 567:7 579:5 611:18</p> <p>abstinent 477:14 522:22 583:7</p> <p>abuse 458:18 459:19 461:22 462:2 462:5 468:20 470:3 496:11 498:5,6 510:3 523:8 542:21 599:17</p> <p>abused 501:1</p> <p>abusers 514:9</p> <p>Academy 498:15</p> <p>accept 446:22 450:9 453:7 471:13 540:2</p> <p>acceptable 535:25</p> <p>accepted 463:23 464:1 470:12,23</p> <p>access 523:1</p> <p>account 585:23</p> <p>accuracy 458:1 463:15 500:9 533:9 539:4 577:17 578:11</p>	<p>accurate 466:8 470:13,24 476:12 500:18 523:4 523:7 537:15 537:16 578:14</p> <p>accurately 470:23 525:6</p> <p>accused 517:22</p> <p>acknowledged 513:15,23</p> <p>acquire 602:22</p> <p>acronym 542:6 542:20</p> <p>act 500:7 590:4</p> <p>action 486:25 617:8 618:7,8</p> <p>activities 512:12 612:25</p> <p>activity 593:14</p> <p>actual 590:11</p> <p>acumen 517:24</p> <p>add 534:9 587:1</p> <p>addicted 599:2</p> <p>addiction 438:4 495:17 495:19 497:22 498:11,13,24 500:21 506:9 507:1 513:4 554:14 555:7 599:3</p> <p>addictionolo... 442:23</p> <p>addition 527:12</p> <p>additional 554:5 565:10 602:22 605:21</p> <p>address</p>	<p>567:11</p> <p>addresses 473:21 476:10,20</p> <p>addressing 497:10 524:5 577:23</p> <p>adds 482:19</p> <p>adequately 452:24</p> <p>adhere 441:1 441:16</p> <p>adherence 602:3</p> <p>adhering 602:5</p> <p>adjuration 481:4</p> <p>Adjustment 435:11</p> <p>administer 493:9</p> <p>administered 494:13 510:11</p> <p>administration 432:4 561:13 561:16 562:1 571:17</p> <p>administrator 437:4</p> <p>administrators 455:17 456:4</p> <p>admissibility 513:25</p> <p>admissible 588:4</p> <p>admit 557:2</p> <p>admitted 548:13</p> <p>adulterated 500:14 536:5</p> <p>advertised 479:17</p> <p>advisement 447:14</p> <p>advisories 502:14,16,22</p>	<p>516:9,11,12 516:17</p> <p>advisory 502:12</p> <p>advocate 438:18 500:8</p> <p>aerospace 541:14,18,25 614:15</p> <p>affect 504:24 519:9 522:2 532:16 537:20</p> <p>affiliated 496:24 498:17</p> <p>affiliation 497:24 498:10</p> <p>affirm 435:14 493:10 597:18 610:6 618:3,6</p> <p>Africa 558:23</p> <p>aftercare 600:1</p> <p>age 573:3</p> <p>agencies 538:6</p> <p>agency 502:4 538:8,15 561:13</p> <p>agent 538:12</p> <p>ages 583:4</p> <p>ago 544:13 546:9 564:10 584:11</p> <p>agree 461:15 463:14 467:20,24 469:1 472:12 475:22 477:19 482:4 491:8 506:12 525:15 564:24 565:11 568:17 573:1</p>
---	---	--	--	---

573:22 agreed 447:7 458:5 470:24 474:21 475:9 475:19 484:22 485:23 490:17 547:20,25 548:25 agreement 448:7 473:12 473:24 474:12 475:20 476:9 476:20 490:14 538:16 ahead 475:17 494:5 563:11 589:19 aim 564:6,11 air 430:12,14 431:4,9,16,21 439:23 547:20,21,24 547:25 549:1 549:1 551:1 571:19 581:16 598:15 aircraft 442:14 452:23 airline 437:11 437:13 438:1 452:21 460:16 462:12 507:10,12 542:20 571:4 571:14 586:2 594:6 598:17 611:2 airlines 435:10 436:9 452:15 461:13 462:1 467:25 492:7	542:7 574:7 598:17,23 599:10 611:3 614:21 airport 440:13 airtight 533:13 Alabama 495:14 496:7 554:22,25,25 555:2 Alan 614:14 alarm 520:23 alcohol 438:2 438:10,15 440:9 446:6 454:6 455:14 461:9 463:10 468:13,17,20 468:20,23 469:10 475:3 479:12 481:9 485:10 498:24 500:22,25,25 501:2,4,5,8 501:11,18,18 501:18,19,22 502:1 503:2 503:16,22 504:1,10,20 504:21,22 505:5,9,13,17 506:11 508:24 509:9 515:23 520:3 520:21 522:23 523:1 527:15 528:24 531:24 536:14,25 537:13 538:24 543:14 544:8 549:21,25 552:3,16,21 552:23 553:4	553:17 556:1 556:2,8 563:22 564:23 565:7 565:16,20 568:14 573:1 574:21 576:10 580:8 580:11 581:13,15,22 582:9,12 583:6 586:21 591:23 602:4 602:6 603:15 604:3 611:9 611:11,12,16 611:21,23 613:10,23 615:2,3 alcoholic 525:12 551:10 609:6 614:8 alcoholics 441:12 578:24 allocated 599:23 allow 484:15 531:15 563:18 allowed 449:15 485:5 allowing 452:23 allows 437:22 aloof 603:9 aloofness 602:12 ALPA 436:22 437:9 439:20 440:17 448:15 456:3 456:16 458:5 462:2 467:1 473:12 475:9 485:24	488:19,25 490:9,12,17 493:6 550:22 550:23 575:10 alternate 493:24 Alternative 481:5 alternatively 494:5 ambition 596:11 AME 447:2,17 489:17 541:15,15,19 542:24,25 547:7 600:3 Amendment 524:1 American 495:18 497:21,22 498:11,13,14 508:4 AMEs 462:4 amount 524:3 532:1 568:16 569:25 596:15 599:24 amphetamine 459:23 ample 451:14 amplify 522:19 569:23 576:4 analysis 507:22 512:13 514:16,22 584:15 603:17 analytical 524:20 525:4 525:5,8 analyze 585:21 analyzed 520:1	analyzing 518:11 and/or 498:5 angry 614:3 annual 505:19 answer 455:24 458:13 462:14,16,23 477:11 482:12 492:6 559:6 588:24 589:18 593:17 answered 456:1 462:21 462:22 477:17 559:7 576:12 anticipate 462:13 Antonio 505:19 anybody 435:19 463:2 540:10 597:23 610:13 anymore 485:12 597:7 608:1 apart 615:4 apologize 543:12 546:14 550:8 607:20 apparently 491:19 523:15 532:9 appealed 490:12 appeals 607:4 appear 510:20 578:21 579:2 appearance 602:7,20 Appearances 431:1 432:1
---	---	---	--	--

433:3 appeared 578:16 appearing 435:10 applicability 526:2 applicable 458:15 applicant 536:3 application 515:22 527:23 applied 458:18 460:12 479:1 532:13 536:14 539:3 539:15 591:6 applies 439:25 460:17 apply 442:7,8 460:21 538:9 591:5 613:19 appointed 502:9,11 516:7 appreciate 453:20 approaches 574:10,11 appropriate 484:2 487:12 496:14 518:6 535:22 appropriately 443:9 approval 521:7 approve 521:17 561:3 approved 521:10,15 523:22,23 537:1 544:11 560:2,6,11,14 560:25 approving	561:10 approximate 479:7 525:19 525:21 approximately 545:22 552:19 591:11 arbitral 513:24 Arbitrator 513:14 arbitrary 526:12 ARBITRATION 430:6 arbitrator 431:2 435:5 435:11,13,18 435:21,25 447:12 452:10 453:11,13,15 453:16,18,22 455:10 462:21 475:15,17 476:21 477:19 482:13,23 483:2,6,9 486:8,10,17 490:22 491:2 491:8,12,21 491:23 492:16,19,23 493:2,4 494:10,13,17 495:9 506:8 506:13 516:1 540:16,19,21 540:22 542:14 544:14 555:4 559:5 574:16 576:11,16,20 576:23 577:1 577:6,14	587:16 594:25 595:2 595:4,7,10,13 595:14,19,22 595:24 596:13,21,24 597:2,4,6,10 597:12,14,18 597:22,25 609:16,18,22 610:1,4,6,10 610:13,16 616:1,4,6,10 616:12,13,19 area 474:10 argue 474:17 argument 474:4,5 476:21 arguments 455:7 arrangement 538:19 arrow 493:20 article 434:9 434:12 526:22,24 560:17 562:9 562:21,25 564:1 583:15 583:20 articles 459:4 549:12 artificially 476:13 Artis 433:18 511:12,13 610:18,24 614:20 ASAP 437:12 aside 455:4 458:7 610:14 asked 477:17 486:20 491:3 492:5 495:8 500:1 506:18 506:22	513:17 546:13 549:16 559:25 562:12 587:19 588:9 588:9 590:9 594:3 asking 456:12 462:8,10,11 462:16 480:18 484:5 493:1 542:19 544:3,3,4 545:10 557:19 560:4 aspects 534:8 assess 524:21 assessed 440:9,9 468:13 assessment 446:1 468:22 assigned 440:16 512:12 600:10 assist 530:25 assistance 606:2 associated 529:11 association 430:12 431:21 508:4 547:21,25 549:1 599:12 ASSOCIATI... 431:16 assume 462:16,22 463:13 517:25 593:21 assuming 464:11 assurance	500:10 astounded 603:25 Atlanta 431:7 431:11,23 440:13 443:21 488:10,11 attempt 544:12 attend 571:17 attendant 511:25 527:24 611:1 611:8 613:15 614:21 615:13 attended 509:11 attendee 571:20 attending 599:23 600:1 attention 447:23 478:15 attorney 609:14 617:7 617:9 618:8 attribute 534:3 611:18 audible 497:13 audience 571:24 572:22 audio 497:12 515:10 617:4 AUFDENKA... 431:5 August 437:17 444:16 452:6 461:1 488:2 506:14 author 503:14 503:17 505:9 505:10 authority 489:4
---	--	---	--	---

authorize 524:20	466:5,5 478:20	516:23 536:14 537:6	472:11 490:3 491:10 500:3	bit 439:19 446:14,16
authorized 541:10	479:11 486:12	589:4 591:6 594:22 602:1	507:20 531:13 539:5	491:22 508:18
authors 562:23	490:21,23 491:20,22	606:8 613:12	542:5 543:2 576:17 584:2	515:16 526:16 532:3
automatically 536:2,5	492:1 501:6 501:17 503:4	basic 459:4 514:7	592:1 602:5 608:7	548:15,16 561:22
availability 596:1	511:9 527:2 544:15	basically 603:19 607:4	beneficial 497:16	562:23 563:7 564:3,17
available 481:11 482:5	555:14 556:25	607:20 608:14	530:24 benefit 510:10	566:2 568:3 569:1 574:1
491:3 555:20 555:20	557:17 564:3 568:2 585:25	basis 452:11 454:25	538:15 best 449:11,13	590:19 597:10 601:1
595:16 609:10	592:8 605:1 606:19,20	482:14,18 512:18	450:22 452:22 465:2	601:23 607:22
616:16 average	607:7 background	575:13,13 580:4	467:22,23 468:2 613:15	blanked 481:14,17
440:19 519:19	436:21 495:12	BAT 537:9,22 Beardsley	613:18 615:22 618:5	blind 576:4 blood 465:23
aviation 541:6 541:9 561:13	515:22 541:13	456:16 457:3 Beardsley's	better 501:16 515:17,18,24	479:19 501:18 527:8
561:16 562:1 571:16	backstop 441:21	490:3 beat 439:6	515:25 516:2 542:13,14,15	529:16,19 530:4,23
avoid 526:8 533:4 539:23	bacteria 531:24	becoming 499:16	552:20 563:14 569:1	531:15,18 532:2,7,8
540:3 avoidance	bad 542:10 608:2	began 517:11 beginning	584:16 598:22	533:2,18 556:2 564:22
532:5 aware 447:1	bag 533:14 balancing	439:8 447:25 517:13 581:3	Betty 547:1 beverage	568:16 569:13,16,19
459:17,18 461:7 467:16	588:23 bam 608:23	begins 564:19 behalf 448:15	503:25 beverages	570:2,2,3 581:9,11,12
479:21 480:1 480:6,6,11	Barely 519:3 bargaining	448:21 449:16	551:10 big 574:23	581:15,21 590:14 593:5
486:1 558:25 561:8 590:10	473:12,24 474:11	behavior 602:7 602:19	575:1,7 bigger 554:7	593:5,13 blow 562:16,22
593:11 613:18	475:20 476:9 476:19	behavioral 469:10	Biloba 504:6 binds 581:22	563:6 564:17 566:2 568:3
<hr/> B <hr/>	490:14 Barry 571:19	481:11 482:5 613:22	binge 553:3 biology 456:24	568:23 572:3 572:5,20 573:24,25
B 442:5,8,11 475:10	based 454:8 460:8 463:4	613:17 believe 443:24	457:7,19 biomarker	blowing 574:20
608:16 back 443:4,19	464:14,20 468:11 477:9	444:19 445:15	572:25 biomarkers	Bldv 431:10 board 431:14
445:25 446:11 447:6	479:23 488:10 509:6	449:17 456:15	528:24 543:14 544:8	431:14 432:5 432:5 435:10
450:14 453:8 453:14,17	509:15	469:20	bird 612:21	

447:14 452:9 452:10 475:12 483:9 483:22,22 484:2 490:13 493:5 495:16 496:6 497:21 497:22,25 498:2 510:10 513:24 541:17 551:14 555:2 555:5 562:11 574:16 board's 475:10 475:18 boards 498:1 498:22 boat 612:22 body 479:6 501:19 502:2 504:16 581:18 bold 531:14 533:13 563:8 book 435:24 508:5 books 599:22 602:2 bottom 509:1 510:7,8,14 511:10 516:19 524:12 526:20 538:3 564:2 568:1 570:6 573:24 bound 484:24 485:2,19 Boundaries 496:25 497:3 497:4 box 532:23 533:3 break 486:11 486:12 490:20	576:15 595:14,20 breakdown 586:20 breast 611:19 breath 454:18 455:14 463:11 501:18 536:25 537:1 537:11 574:21 594:23,23 breathalyzer 503:17 556:2 574:18 breathalyzers 594:24 breathed 504:15 breathing 503:14 504:16 Brian 431:14 brief 444:10 476:22 495:11 596:12 briefly 444:5,8 449:10 451:22 574:15,15 614:13 bring 449:5,7 449:15 450:4 480:25 482:25 492:24 524:5 526:14 545:7 580:15 585:17 brings 487:8 577:21 broad 482:8 broadly 521:17 brought 438:12	449:17 450:17 463:24 478:14 603:3 brutally 606:16 606:17,21 build 612:20 612:21,22 built 439:16 bullet 505:8,16 505:16 510:13 511:6 511:7 518:19 539:12,13 575:18 576:2 bullet-pointed 511:2 burden 514:15 514:17 Burdette 431:3 435:12 453:11,16 490:19,25 492:14,22 493:5 506:8 513:14 540:21 576:11 577:1 587:15 594:25 595:14,22 609:16 616:4 616:12 Burns 431:15 448:23 449:8 450:20 488:5 488:6 business 615:7 butt 606:12 button 493:18 493:19	603:2 call 437:8 438:3 440:24 445:19 493:25 494:5 508:3,8 546:16,17,18 555:9 587:21 596:8 605:21 called 440:12 445:23 501:22 510:16 519:15 581:24 603:11 604:6 calling 494:6 596:9 Calls 488:20 canceled 534:1,2 539:20 571:7 cancer 611:20 cant 493:12 capital 531:14 captain 435:8 435:14 436:6 436:9,18 439:18 444:4 448:23 449:8 450:19 452:5 453:6,20 454:3,22 457:6 462:18 462:24 469:15,24 482:15 483:10,14 486:20 488:5 488:6,14 489:24 492:3 492:4,15,19 515:8 596:3 599:11 604:23 card 533:2 593:6,13	cards 593:5 care 529:1 595:23 cared 611:19 career 530:12 578:4 584:9 589:21 careers 584:6 584:14 careful 536:19 537:21 carefully 529:4 531:2 caret 493:18 493:21 carry 450:18 455:17 487:10 case 430:9 435:15,22 436:21 438:9 438:25 449:23 450:15 461:11 473:22,25 474:1,3,18,25 475:13 483:19 485:14 486:22 488:18 489:11 493:6 493:11 513:23 514:16 518:11 519:17 524:19 525:6 531:1 544:17 556:8 588:22 596:4,6 597:19 598:2 609:24 610:7 610:11 cases 439:5 530:24 543:3
C				
		C-DBS 569:21 California 495:15,24		

543:5,9,13 544:1,6 577:4 578:16,20,22 579:7,15 583:24 589:21 590:19,21 catch 552:12 606:22 categories 468:3 category 468:5 caught 604:9 606:15 causative 588:25 cause 455:5 503:23 504:1 504:17,23 505:5 514:16 533:20 535:3 576:10 581:17 582:16,18 caused 451:11 causes 501:1 503:15 caution 521:1 533:13 cede 544:4 cell 546:18 581:23 606:6 cells 532:2 570:2 cellular 574:19 center 440:11 440:12 444:16,17 450:25 476:4 495:22 496:18 509:23 547:2 614:1 centered 523:17 Centers 524:25	centigrade 569:16 Centre 431:22 certain 438:12 517:2 certainly 437:10 439:25 441:3 447:7 452:15 455:9 459:10 500:24 518:2 602:16 606:11 607:13 CERTIFICATE 617:1 618:1 certification 523:9,11,13 523:25 525:18,19,21 525:22 526:1 529:19,22 530:4,18 614:16 certifications 498:4 541:18 certified 495:16 498:8 498:14 499:4 525:24 618:6 certify 523:19 523:21 617:3 certifying 499:19 cetera 578:5 CFR 523:9 567:18 chaff 528:10 chair 493:5 chairman 432:4 435:11 481:12 challenge 554:7 chance 552:20 chances 596:14	change 450:10 519:13 532:1 532:4,7 556:21 608:4 changed 607:13 changes 533:20 chapter 508:8 characteristi... 572:23 characterized 464:25 580:21 592:2 charge 453:5 charitably 513:22 chart 508:20 508:21 chat 601:25 Chattanooga 600:22 check 467:22 541:4 599:25 checking 601:3 chemistry 456:24 457:8 457:19 chief 436:16 436:23 452:21 469:18,24 470:1 472:3 487:5 choice 471:13 chorus 456:1 chose 609:7 CHRIS 431:9 Chris.B.Puc... 431:12 chronology 444:6 circumstance 453:6 circumstanc... 529:7 556:18	557:21 596:10 cite 558:18 cited 568:19 City 600:22 claim 552:2 563:22 clarification 497:14 565:22 608:6 clarify 492:6 553:20 590:9 classification 598:14,16 clear 462:7 477:18,20 484:20 492:5 513:14,19 528:19 532:19 554:8 575:22 602:10 603:8 clearly 440:25 CLIA 524:1,19 525:18,21,25 click 493:18,23 clicked 607:17 clinical 481:11 482:5 517:24 521:2 522:6 522:17,19,20 523:25 524:21 525:4 525:10 528:23 529:3 534:8,12 535:11 553:22 561:5 566:12 clinically 561:4 close 578:20 closer 515:13 cloudiness 602:11 cloudy 603:9 CMS 524:21,25 Cochran	488:14 cockpit 466:3 466:14 470:21 codified 467:4 471:5,7 coffee 601:24 cognitive 445:3 510:18 Cole 436:8 collaborative 437:9 collateral 511:11,16 545:24 546:20 colleagues 579:8 581:6 collect 530:7 collected 529:9 collection 464:15 465:23 519:22 529:12,17 530:16,23,24 531:1,3,15,17 531:20 532:24 533:23 collective 473:12,24 474:11 475:20 476:9 476:19 490:14 collector 529:10 530:15,25 531:4 538:5 collectors 529:19,25 530:4 college 558:22 cologne 504:12
---	---	---	--	--

colognes 504:7,13	commuted 601:1	516:18 537:19	602:3 607:25 608:24	577:25
column 524:19 564:5	company 431:4,13,14	complied 512:17,21	612:24	conducts 480:19
combination 563:17	431:14,15	comply 474:21	concerns 450:24 521:3	confer 488:24
584:21 585:7	433:5 434:3	512:11	521:13,14	489:4,17
combining 572:12,13	435:23	component 484:9 514:22	524:22 530:5	conference 546:15 573:7
come 439:17	439:21 444:5	535:20	579:9 581:19	conferences 552:8 570:21
471:16	447:21,23	components 487:3	582:20	570:24 571:5
486:12 501:6	448:13,14	comprehens... 535:21	584:12	conferred 488:17
523:2 543:14	451:21,23	computer 617:6	590:20	confess 540:2
544:15 571:4	452:1,3	CON'T 432:1	conclude 503:20 509:7	confidence 531:7
589:1 600:20	483:13,24	concentrated 519:6,20	565:3,5	confident 512:1 564:25
600:20	485:14	534:12	concluded 453:19 454:5	579:8 586:23
601:23	489:20,21	concentration 519:8,13,19	446:3,4 454:9	586:24
603:13	490:7 514:18	519:22 520:7	491:5 512:17	confidentiality 500:17
606:24	562:8,10	568:15	563:5,6 565:4	confirm 462:13
comes 450:8	570:17,20	concentratio... 504:9	568:22,23,24	465:24 466:8
532:1	577:2 580:17	concept 458:17 459:2	602:8	466:9 514:10
comfortable 438:19	596:4 604:23	459:7,22	conclusions 505:2 602:3	579:5 615:17
coming 449:6	613:3	479:10,13	607:24	confirmatory 466:25
542:12	company's 596:18	532:14	condition 540:7	confirmed 500:13 536:1
571:13 581:9	compare 526:2	concepts 594:4	conduct 448:18	536:24
607:8 616:9	compared 594:7	concern 474:13	452:25	569:12,15
comment 451:3 549:5	comparison 479:20	484:25 510:2	458:14	confirms 563:24
574:22	competent 512:6	521:11 528:5	463:20 465:8	583:16
commercial 479:18	complaint 469:13	531:22	469:9 480:20	conflict 465:19
507:10,12	complete 444:2 451:16	578:18 579:5	509:16	538:18
517:10,11	474:22	579:10 614:7	512:24	conflicting 464:23 465:5
560:12,25	completed 444:16	concerned 512:4 521:21	546:19	465:11,13
586:1	470:18,25	584:3,5,18	conducted 457:25 458:8	conflicts 483:17 486:1
commits 530:16	481:24 577:2	604:7	461:1 463:14	confront 557:1
committee 432:4 517:6	614:8	concerning 483:15,15	465:3 511:11	confused 587:19
committees 502:14 516:8	completely 531:1 602:5	512:24 544:9	537:22	confusing 461:24,24
common 538:4	completion 440:21 596:3	580:17 601:8	546:23	connected
commonly 501:1 504:8	compliance 452:18 470:8		559:12	
communicat... 483:9	complicated		conducting 554:20	

493:16 connection 567:3 cons 506:1 conscientious 603:8 consequences 475:25 518:1 530:15 consider 449:6 449:8,16 482:4,16 495:10 514:15 527:22 533:14 535:4 559:18 566:12 567:16 591:4 608:16 consideration 478:22 523:5 534:9 considered 440:1 444:13 511:22 516:20 565:6 582:11 considering 449:21 585:2 consist 523:14 579:22,25 consistency 578:12 consistent 446:17 459:14 520:2 520:4 532:23 551:22 553:5 constantly 612:15 614:23 constitute 475:24 construed 538:17 consult 461:11	462:24 473:1 473:5,8 477:21 547:1 consultant 498:20 501:7 614:15 consultation 461:16 462:19 566:8 566:10 consulted 456:13 457:13,16,23 488:22 554:19 consulting 498:21,23,25 consumed 568:16 consumes 438:5 consumption 479:12 527:16 568:14 contact 478:3 478:11 491:9 538:4 604:4 605:5,13,14 605:15 607:5 contacts 605:24 contained 504:14 contains 508:8 contamination 529:6 531:23 contents 615:21 context 461:9 466:18,25 481:10 514:19 522:5 522:10,13 528:8 536:9 536:23 537:6 538:24	539:17 566:13 579:17,24 continue 441:4 480:10 505:25 507:15 510:22 continued 437:15 512:11 557:4 579:4 continues 438:11 531:12 538:11 continuing 556:18 605:17,23 contract 432:4 440:24 441:15 442:3 442:5,7,8,9 442:11 443:3 443:12 446:25 452:18 467:6 467:7,8 471:8 472:13 473:23,25 474:2,8,20 475:4,9,10,22 475:24 476:2 476:6,15,17 483:15,20 484:10,10,25 485:1,6,7 486:2,6 489:1 489:22 490:9 492:9 514:17 605:11 608:16 contracted 461:2 contractor 538:8 contradictions	483:17 contrary 505:2 contrast 529:23 contrasted 526:5 contribute 439:21 602:8 contributed 468:16 control 439:7 439:10 450:4 controllable 439:7 controlled 440:15 450:1 529:5 conversation 491:14 conversations 601:18 cooked 504:20 504:21 cooperate 514:24 copy 452:5 correct 442:5,6 445:8 447:19 447:20 454:6 454:7 455:21 456:18,19,21 458:3,10 459:20 461:4 464:2,6,7,10 465:1,6 466:10,14,18 466:19,19,20 466:22 467:6 467:23 468:2 468:6,7,9,12 469:22 470:15 471:2 471:3,18,19 471:21,22 472:4,5,7,8 472:10,11,23 477:2,3	478:17,23,24 480:5 483:5 484:23 488:9 488:13 489:19 492:25 496:12 509:4 509:5 515:16 528:24 537:2 537:3,23 549:9,22 551:8,9,11,12 551:20,21 552:13 554:21 556:9 561:5,10,14 565:16 575:16 580:22 587:10 589:5 589:10,11,14 589:15 592:13,15 593:2,3,3,10 593:23 594:22,25 599:18 604:12 613:21 615:20 correctly 477:13 496:9 563:3 correlation 522:17,19,20 568:15 corresponde... 483:13 corroborated 512:20 513:8 513:10 corroborating 522:23 cough 504:4 could've 609:3 council 502:12 502:12
--	---	---	--	---

453:24 456:2 457:17,18 483:24,24 485:15 487:6 615:25 617:10 countertop 613:5,6 615:6 countervailing 514:2 country 501:2 couple 444:7 454:12 575:3 581:8 612:16 course 450:23 451:10 548:9 573:2 602:9 609:4 court 447:13 518:12 527:6 543:3,8,12 577:4 585:5 courts 498:21 covered 485:7 594:21 Creat 518:22 create 581:13 created 462:3 creates 438:6 creatinine 463:4 476:14 481:17 519:5 519:7,16,17 549:24 550:18 554:3 589:24 credibility 481:19 criteria 508:5 508:10,21,23 508:25 509:6 510:21,22 511:2 523:16 criterion 509:10,15 criticism 606:12	cross 433:7,12 454:1 492:5 541:1 cross-exami... 453:23 544:15 577:2 579:18 cross-refere... 566:22 cues 469:10 cup 601:24 curable 439:6 cure 441:11 current 495:20 currently 541:8,9,12,24 542:3 548:25 554:10,12,17 555:13 576:16 598:13 599:10,14 600:1 curriculum 495:6 543:1 544:2 577:4 curtail 515:21 cut 520:9 cut-off 519:4 520:9 cutoff 458:18 458:24 460:1 460:8,11,14 460:18,22 464:14,19 467:12,14 476:13 515:23 516:22 517:7 517:12,15,23 518:3,6,10 520:10 526:3 527:12,18,21 527:24 528:18 548:18,24 549:8 551:19	552:5 558:14 570:12,15 589:9 592:5,7 592:8,13,15 592:17,23,25 cutoffs 459:13 516:5,7,10 526:8,12 CV 434:17 495:5 503:5 <hr/> D D 581:20 daily 527:16 Damien 430:24 435:6 617:3 617:17 damn 527:1 Danford 430:8 432:9 444:6 444:11 445:10,24 446:19 447:6 448:16 449:7 449:15 450:17,19,24 451:10,14 452:7,17 453:5 454:5 455:20 456:14 457:14 461:11,17 462:20,25 463:19 465:24 466:13 468:5 468:8 469:1,2 469:22 470:11 472:25 473:4 473:7 474:21 476:11 477:2 477:5,12,21 478:14 479:22 480:4 480:9 482:6	484:21 486:7 488:1,8,10 489:25 490:8 493:6 506:15 507:2,9 509:24 510:5 512:15 513:18 514:11,12 519:2 534:5 539:22 540:1 544:24 545:17 546:23 549:21 556:13 566:8 579:4 584:9 585:24 586:1 586:8 587:7,9 587:20 592:20,21 596:5,9 600:11,17 603:14 605:8 605:8,24 610:14 611:25 612:19 613:14 614:7 Danford's 434:7 436:11 447:18 452:11 453:7 460:8 464:14 464:20 467:17 469:13,19 472:23 477:15 486:22 488:18 489:6 489:17 512:24 514:23 519:24 529:8 545:25 612:13,25	613:25 dangerous 438:3 dark 597:6,9 data 510:1 512:19 513:8 516:8 521:2 553:10,22 554:5 582:19 date 545:12 546:3,4,5,10 586:12 596:16 618:9 dated 614:14 614:19 618:12 dates 545:14 David 433:15 598:6,12 day 442:13 464:9 465:5 465:10 491:7 581:14 607:7 days 443:24,25 527:16 543:19,20 564:9 573:12 575:3 615:4,5 DBS 529:8 532:24 de 521:8 dead 491:6,14 deal 474:24 489:16 506:1 517:24 577:22 602:25 dealing 604:1 deals 486:24 487:2,4,15,20 488:7,16,24 489:4,9,16 decaliter 519:11 December 614:14 decide 452:25
---	---	--	--	--

decided 460:14 466:24 518:3	454:15 528:20	511:25 512:7 547:19,24	437:23 438:15,20,22	details 546:9 556:23
deciding 461:17 555:10	definitive 528:17 558:11	548:25 550:22,23 575:10	440:9 442:10 468:14 475:3	detect 479:12 505:9,13 513:6 527:14
decision 436:10 447:15 449:3 449:6 450:11 452:11 454:8 454:25 456:14 462:20,25 467:1,3 478:6 478:9,12 482:6,16,18 483:11 488:2 490:12 589:1 596:7	degree 438:12 456:24 457:7 457:19 568:14	592:15,16,22 594:8 598:15 598:17,19,23 599:10 600:14 605:9 606:9 609:14 611:3,4,6 614:21 615:13,25	depending 504:17 556:22 582:7 depends 528:2 552:17 557:21 566:16 575:5	527:15 537:13 552:15 568:14
decisions 438:7 453:3	degrees 569:16	606:9 609:14 611:3,4,6 614:21 615:13,25	depth 566:18	detectable 481:9
deck 441:24 446:12 450:14 451:17 452:19	delay 543:24	Delta's 461:2,7 461:16,18 470:2,8 473:8	derivative 454:19 455:15 463:11	detected 502:3 527:11
declined 478:21	deliberations 483:23	Deltas' 461:8	derive 538:15	detecting 552:20 553:17 565:7 602:15
decongesta... 459:24	delivery 524:25	demonstrated 568:17 569:17	describe 437:2 449:10 499:12 520:18 529:1 555:4 571:22 599:19 600:16 605:23	detection 478:16 479:24 553:6 573:14 594:11 602:23
deeming 467:15	Delta 430:14 431:4,9,10,16 435:10 436:9 436:13,22,24 437:6,8 438:17 439:22 440:11 441:7 443:5 446:18 448:6,21 449:21 452:15 456:3 456:15,18 457:5 458:5 460:7,14 461:13,20 462:1,3,5,17 462:18 464:1 464:13,18 466:12 467:2 467:14,25 473:9,13 474:25 475:8 483:17 485:24 486:21,24 488:19,25 490:16 492:6 492:11 493:6	denial 434:8 451:23 609:6 609:7	described 446:17 459:7 468:3	deter 594:12 594:19
deeper 557:11		denied 506:21	describes 569:8	determination 451:12 468:16 507:8 512:13
defense 596:17		denies 514:21	description 434:2 500:18	determinatio... 484:25 485:6 496:18
defenseless 439:5		dense 527:3	design 582:22	determine 460:12,22 465:25 466:2 466:9 500:12 516:10,25 526:11 528:16 536:8 580:10 582:23 584:21 585:3 585:22 613:13
define 528:2 608:8		Denver 570:22	designated 461:21 521:8 538:6	determined 454:11 458:15 464:4
defined 441:13 526:13 591:22		deny 557:4 564:10	designating 499:17	
defining 526:3		denying 566:15	designation 499:14	
definitely 535:9		depart 444:1	designed 533:23	
definition		department 431:10 474:12 500:3 502:5 529:24 530:13 561:25,25	desserts 504:20,21	
		depend 556:17 557:22	detail 437:3	
		dependence 438:1,6	detailed 455:8 536:7	
		dependency 438:4,9,10 468:21,24		
		dependent		

466:12	dialysis 590:4	director	472:3	476:15 506:4
468:20 486:7	Dickson	437:14	disease 438:3	508:13
514:8 537:14	436:18	448:23	439:11	534:18
537:15	Diego 495:16	461:18 473:8	442:16 475:2	536:12 542:8
determining	difference	487:7,21	484:18	555:10,11
518:8	519:12 525:3	488:3,11	527:17	564:24
deterrence	582:5	489:10	disinterested	565:15
594:7,10	different	495:22 496:4	617:5	doctors 454:22
detrimental	443:17,17	496:6 594:17	disorder	571:16 574:6
521:22	460:22	disagreeing	506:19	document
develop 501:4	471:15	604:14	508:21,25	448:1 452:4
developed	498:21 548:2	disavowal	509:9 510:2	467:3 471:4
502:14,15,19	569:17	455:3	510:18,18	481:3 482:24
502:22 512:5	574:11,13	discharged	511:4	485:23
513:4,5	583:4,5,5	471:14	disorders	495:10
521:12	differentiate	484:13	496:1,11	499:24
559:25 560:2	564:7	disciplinary	508:6,9,11,14	501:17 503:6
560:5,10,14	differentiating	486:25	disparate	503:7 507:1,3
560:19	563:18	Discipline	483:25	518:17
561:11 578:3	difficult 515:10	505:12	display 494:4	529:14
578:5 584:11	difficulties	disciplined	dispositive	531:11
developers	577:19	467:25 611:6	514:20	534:19
537:17	digging 557:11	discounted	dispute 474:15	565:25
developing	digital 574:18	534:6,13	474:16 476:4	567:24
496:14	dilemma 565:8	discover	476:5,6,7,10	documentati...
501:11	dilute 519:10	535:24	476:17,20	535:23
505:24	diluted 481:18	discovering	disputed 477:5	documented
development	diplomat	501:10	disputes	503:21,22
520:19 521:9	497:20,21	505:23	490:17	documenting
521:18	direct 433:7,12	discovery	disregard	501:25
device 537:1	433:16,19	543:21	485:5	505:12,24
537:13 556:4	436:4 447:22	544:13	disregarded	506:5
devices 537:14	453:20 455:3	discretion	466:13	documents
DHHS 459:13	466:6,6,21	518:10	distant 564:8	435:21
460:1	469:23 478:3	discuss 463:2	distinction	518:20
DHS 473:9	478:22 495:1	discussed	594:9	529:15
diagnose	545:10 549:5	484:1 487:9	distinguish	551:15,16
508:24	554:8 560:18	525:14	600:17	570:19
525:11	572:25 598:8	discussing	distinguished	597:25
diagnosing	599:13	601:7	495:18	610:10
508:6,10	610:20	discussion	498:12	Dodge 433:15
diagnosis	directive	483:22 512:9	600:19	596:25 597:1
580:3,4	514:25	512:10	Division	597:3,5,8,11
Diagnostics	directly 454:18	513:16	614:16	597:15,17,21
473:1	455:14	564:15 566:2	doctor 440:16	597:24 598:3
dialogue	463:10	566:7	441:19	598:6,12
483:12	537:23	discussions	462:25	609:12

dogs 597:24	497:14 506:8	466:4 502:3	519:7 534:21	587:19
doing 501:24	507:1 513:18	506:21 512:2	535:20 536:8	590:10
511:8 522:21	514:6,8,22	517:4,23	538:5,14	early 594:11
546:15	515:10,20	525:12	548:8 566:23	594:13,19
552:10	518:18	527:14	567:2 571:25	ears 613:17
556:19	542:19	548:12,13	583:1 586:6	Eastern 491:15
578:25 587:2	544:21,23	551:10 552:3	587:4 588:5	491:16,17
599:24	547:1,8	552:11,15	594:5,21	595:17
602:20	550:15 559:6	557:2,8,25	611:9	easy 462:18
donor 481:14	562:10,15,16	558:1,15,20	drug-free	eat 612:22
530:23,25	563:8 565:25	559:2 563:19	499:16	EBT 537:9,22
535:23	566:3,20	563:24 564:8	drugs 438:2	edition 508:6,7
536:10 538:5	568:5 569:3	564:11	536:4 556:4	educating
donors 481:17	570:10,20,22	565:11,16	575:19	470:7
527:8	571:12,19	575:3,20	dry 479:19	educational
DOT 459:12	572:6 574:1	578:17,25	533:2,19	495:11 497:6
460:1 461:25	577:3 592:2	580:7,9	drying 532:23	497:8 498:20
480:18 523:8	595:6,9,10,25	583:16,22,25	533:20	541:13
526:4 534:23	dramatically	584:22	DSM 508:3	effect 532:11
535:6 539:17	519:9 584:6	586:20	DSM-5 507:22	540:11
548:3 566:23	drank 518:1	588:14	507:24 508:1	effective 565:9
567:1,2,3,10	519:20 586:8	591:19 602:4	508:8,20,24	eight 568:25
567:14	586:9,13,15	602:15 603:5	due 468:8	578:21
doubt 442:19	586:17	603:12	516:21 517:4	either 466:9
489:2	588:20 604:3	607:11	524:21	472:13
Doyle 431:14	604:22	611:15	599:17	503:23 531:1
483:14	606:18 607:6	613:14	DUI 444:12	531:23
DPAC 436:22	draw 602:2	drinks 527:16	468:12,14	574:19
436:25 437:5	dreams 608:2	573:11	duly 436:3	583:14
437:18,20	dried 465:23	575:23	494:24 598:7	588:19
439:20	529:16,19	611:23	610:19	elaborate
444:23 445:1	530:4,23	drive 601:7	duration	439:19
445:11,19	581:12	driven 468:14	479:14 579:4	electronically...
452:16 456:4	drink 511:23	driving 600:21	580:7	618:4
462:4 464:1	511:24	drop 491:6,14	duties 496:20	eleventh
468:4 480:13	552:24 553:2	616:2	507:9 599:20	543:21
488:18,24	573:9 574:23	drop-down	duty 442:10,13	eliminate
594:7 604:7	575:1,8,21,23	493:25	507:12 534:5	529:5
DPACs 604:10	583:6 588:20	drops 531:17	586:1	elucidate
Dr 434:9,10,17	588:21,23	532:6	<hr/>	563:21
444:25,25	589:2 591:23	drug 434:13	E	email 494:1
447:2,4,7,16	609:2 611:11	461:7,9 470:8	earbuds 494:2	570:19
455:3 478:5,8	611:12,21	485:10	494:6	emailed 483:3
489:17 491:1	613:10 614:8	498:23	earlier 548:5	562:13 571:8
492:24 493:2	615:1,7	499:20 500:9	560:17	571:11
493:4,14,15	drinking 453:8	500:11,14,17	566:17	embrace
493:25 495:6	465:24 466:1	513:9 517:4	567:22 576:7	439:16

Emilio 432:4	employers	error 508:17	466:22	591:18
Emily 432:9	500:16	509:2 530:16	470:12,24	592:17
446:13	employment	590:1,7	479:23 481:9	EtG/EtS
447:24 452:3	436:11	error-free	500:23	454:24
481:1 482:25	451:13	584:21,22	501:11,25,25	481:10
489:23	452:12 484:8	especially	502:15 503:1	518:21
492:25	499:20	530:9	503:16,24	563:18,20,24
493:13	547:19	ESQ 431:5,5,9	504:1,17	564:10 565:8
497:10	enforcing	431:17,21	505:17,24	ethanol 454:18
499:22 503:4	470:2,4	essential	506:1,6	504:9,14,23
505:6,15	engage 601:15	437:10,25	515:23	505:4 516:21
506:23 507:5	engaged 477:5	535:20	516:20	516:25
508:16	543:23	essentially	517:10 518:6	520:14
510:24	engagement	437:6,20	518:21,23,24	537:23
518:16,20	601:10,11	440:1 450:8	519:3,22,25	565:20
527:2,4	engine 608:22	466:1 479:5	520:24	568:16
531:11	ensure 441:25	484:16	521:23	582:14
532:21	443:10 449:2	566:10	523:20 525:8	Ethanol-Bas...
534:15	452:22	establish	534:11	503:15
535:12	500:15 531:3	514:1,18	536:15 537:7	ethics 497:7,9
537:25	533:23 539:4	558:8 559:1,2	537:10,16	ethyl 481:3,3
538:10	ensuring	559:10	538:25	501:22 502:1
544:20	439:13	established	539:15	581:22
550:11 562:9	enter 451:15	463:21 474:7	543:14 544:6	Ethylglucon...
562:16,22	468:8,23	482:15	548:15,19	503:16
563:5,16,25	entered 444:15	499:15,17	549:2,9 551:7	EtOH 564:9
564:14 565:1	475:21	516:6	551:11,17	565:11,15,20
565:22,23	599:16	establishes	552:10,11,15	EtS 445:13,17
566:19	entries 507:7	533:22	553:7,17	445:20 446:2
567:24	entry 468:11	establishing	554:3 556:2	446:8 454:16
568:20 570:6	503:13,13	458:2 463:16	556:13	463:6,9,9,15
570:18	environment	514:5	557:18 558:5	463:18,18,19
571:11 572:1	504:24 603:4	estimate 499:8	558:5 559:20	463:25 464:3
572:19	environmental	estimating	562:2 564:20	464:5,14,20
573:23 616:2	503:25 505:4	499:6	565:5 567:11	464:24
employ 564:6	520:4 551:5	et 578:4	567:15,18	465:11
employed	enzyme 581:20	EtG 445:12,16	572:17	466:20 481:9
598:13,18	581:21,21,24	445:20 446:2	574:22 576:3	500:23
600:14 611:4	593:14	446:8 449:24	577:12 578:9	518:23
617:10	episode 553:3	454:12,16,17	579:19	520:12
employee	equal 536:14	455:13 456:6	580:18 582:3	523:20
449:21 536:3	539:3	458:1 460:8	582:6 584:10	536:15 537:7
538:7,12	equation	460:19 461:1	585:9 586:11	537:10,16
577:24 617:8	519:14	463:3 464:3,4	588:11	538:25
617:9 618:8	equivalent	464:24	589:12	539:15 549:3
employees	471:24 472:1	465:11 466:8	590:22,24	549:15,16
496:19	601:2	466:12,16,20	591:10,11,16	556:2,13

557:18 565:6	533:22 557:3	583:17,18	567:23,25	518:24 525:3
577:12 578:9	557:25	584:1,1	568:7 570:17	537:5 551:7
580:19	591:24	exchanged	570:20 572:2	551:11 557:9
evaded 521:9	evidential	595:15	580:17	569:4,23
evaluate	537:1	exclude	602:21	574:15,24
495:24	ex 451:5	458:24	614:11,12	614:25
506:18,19	ex-felon 614:3	513:17	615:14,16,19	explaining
585:11	exact 478:18	exclusive	616:3	477:10
evaluated	499:8 594:1	612:7,9	exhibited	520:25
511:1 586:23	exacting	excuse 467:21	469:10	explanation
evaluating	480:13	496:25	exhibits 434:1	453:7 481:6
474:14	exactly 450:2,5	507:25	434:3,11	500:13
496:10	502:10	520:22	435:22 444:7	535:25
511:16	537:24	532:22	598:1 610:11	579:21
548:12	561:11	549:17 550:2	Exhibit 434:12	explanations
559:20 580:9	603:20	excused	exhortation	536:8
580:18	exam 510:12	492:20	481:5	explicitly
588:13	510:14,17	exhibit 434:4,5	exist 458:10	471:8
evaluation	588:6	434:6,7,8,9	584:23	exploration
434:19	examination	434:10,13,14	existence	581:3
440:20	433:7,7,8,12	434:15,16,17	437:7	explored 481:6
444:25 472:7	433:12,13,16	434:18,19,20	exists 535:25	exposed
472:10	433:19 436:4	435:24 444:5	expect 488:17	516:24
500:21	453:20 454:1	446:14	expedite 495:9	exposure
506:10 507:2	486:18 495:1	447:21,23	514:3 539:9	481:8 516:21
544:25	514:13,20	448:13,14	experience	517:8 520:2,4
545:12	541:1 554:9	451:21,23	514:8 548:9	520:5,12,14
546:23,24	577:9 587:17	452:1,3	553:23	563:19
547:2,5,8	598:8 610:20	480:24,25	602:14,23	565:11 573:4
555:12	examinations	481:13	606:8 613:12	576:9 582:8
556:20,20	513:25	482:25 483:3	614:4	582:15
557:6,7 558:2	examiner	485:14	experienced	exposures
566:18	541:7,10	489:20,21	593:21	517:3
579:20,22,24	Examiners	493:1 495:3,5	expert 455:4	expound 559:8
585:24	497:25 555:3	499:21,23	489:5 501:8	express 514:5
587:23 589:4	example	503:5 506:23	506:9 543:18	604:4,16
608:1	458:21	506:25 524:6	544:1 596:17	expressed
event 472:14	504:19	524:10	ExperTox	521:11 591:8
474:9 488:22	521:23	526:14,23	551:17	604:21 605:2
events 504:10	522:21 525:8	529:14	ExperTox's	expressly
615:3	531:25 532:2	530:20	552:2	472:14,17
everybody	558:4 580:12	534:15,17	experts 456:7	474:23
442:17 452:5	589:23	543:2 544:20	456:9	extended
585:4	exceeded	544:21 545:1	explain 452:9	454:14 463:8
evidence	543:19	550:3,7 562:8	487:2 500:1	497:8
488:21	exceptions	562:10	510:13	extent 512:15
513:17	573:21	565:22,24	511:13	512:23 514:7

524:22	588:15,18,20	473:15,18	594:4,5,12,20	financial
584:20	facts 513:8	478:25	594:21	538:12,15
611:11	523:5 580:6	479:10,13	federally 526:3	financially
extra 492:11	585:12,13	485:9,11,12	526:6,10	617:11 618:7
extraneous	fail 608:11	485:16,18	548:3 578:7	find 526:19
516:21,25	failed 467:21	486:23	Federation	567:24 583:7
517:8 520:12	476:15	490:13	505:18	600:24 614:4
564:7 565:11	failing 607:10	500:22 502:4	feedback	finding 481:7
extremely	607:11 608:2	507:22	542:10	509:20
438:2 614:23	608:23	509:11	feel 437:25	526:17,19
eyes 602:11	failure 458:2	520:15 522:4	441:8 487:12	577:18
613:16	463:16	523:24 524:3	606:23	findings
F	589:23 590:3	526:22	feeling 512:5	521:20
F 431:5	590:16,16	529:14,15	fellow 469:13	563:21
FAA 434:15	fair 543:4	532:10,24	495:18	finds 553:10
437:6,10	553:7 564:11	539:21 542:6	498:12	fine 446:17
447:17 480:5	567:1,10,14	542:19 548:8	599:16	494:12 511:8
480:9,15,18	567:17 578:3	568:6 575:10	felon 451:5	515:4 576:20
542:3 574:6	578:6 594:9	593:5 598:21	felt 447:8	589:24
611:8 614:13	fairly 444:18	599:4 613:25	506:21	finger 531:16
face-to-face	445:1 501:20	614:2	517:22	531:19,22,25
587:10 602:2	520:13 553:1	family 440:5	557:10	fingers 582:14
facility 443:19	596:12	548:10 603:1	586:24	finish 498:3
451:15	601:17	far 479:11	ferment 533:20	finished 535:8
468:23	false 506:22	481:2	581:13,17	589:18
509:12 538:6	526:8,9	fashion 544:10	fermentation	finishing
538:16	527:10,24	fast 501:19	581:11	596:15
fact 445:3	528:4,8,11	father 615:8	593:16,17	fired 470:12
475:4 477:5,7	535:1,3	FDA 521:7,10	fermented	firmly 578:14
477:13	559:13 570:8	521:11,15,16	581:15	first 430:8
482:14	570:12	521:20 560:3	fermenting	436:3 439:22
509:11 514:7	578:17,22	560:6,11,15	533:5	448:16
539:21 575:2	579:2,2,6,11	560:16,18,24	field 520:16	494:24 499:3
583:22	581:5 582:19	federal 499:15	583:1	503:13
585:11,17,24	582:23	499:15,17	fifth 508:7	506:14 507:9
588:25	583:11 584:3	523:17,18,22	fight 609:7	508:6 510:5
factor 585:2	584:12,18	523:23 534:1	fighting 484:18	512:10,15,23
588:13	590:12 607:2	534:21 538:6	figure 579:12	517:11
factors 468:16	607:12	538:8 561:8	581:7	518:19
523:1 525:14	falsely 517:22	561:13,14,16	filed 448:11,15	519:24
531:24	528:7	561:20,23	449:1 467:20	521:24
534:12	FAMHSA	562:1,3,6	490:11	526:21 529:8
536:21	502:5	566:22,23	fills 462:17	530:21 534:4
537:20 551:5	familiar 445:13	567:6 571:16	final 440:20	544:23 568:3
557:22 586:5	458:17 459:1	571:19	449:6 535:6	568:25 572:4
587:5 588:13	459:3,22	577:11,15,25	535:21	584:11
	461:6 473:11	578:2,11	536:21 565:5	591:25 598:7

599:4 610:19 firsthand 612:13 fish 612:20 fit 507:9,11 586:1 five 440:18 444:20 502:13,15 524:8 527:10 527:24 555:15,15 573:19 579:1 606:5 five-minute 486:10 490:20 five-minutes 486:9 flat 475:4 flew 587:9,22 587:24 flight 436:19 437:15 439:21 440:17 441:24 446:12 450:14 451:17 452:19 454:23 462:1 474:12 492:7 511:25 534:5 541:21 611:1 611:8 613:15 614:21 615:13 flights 453:1 float 600:25 flow 500:15 flown 587:25 fluke 608:19 fly 452:23 flying 436:15 436:23 448:24 487:7	487:21 488:3 489:10 599:9 612:12,24 focus 446:14 475:12 503:12 526:7 530:20 538:1 577:16 focused 578:11 focusing 531:14 fold 519:12 582:5 folks 489:16 follow 455:23 530:11 531:2 531:4,8 549:13 556:16 590:6 follow-up 442:8 549:14 559:21 572:14 followed 446:2 449:23 455:23 474:14,17 481:4 533:24 572:17 578:22 579:1 579:19 590:22 591:17 following 451:24 458:7 458:9 538:8 539:10 563:20,23 564:23 600:2 614:19 follows 436:3 494:24 598:7 610:19 Food 434:13 FOPP 467:9 472:13 474:8	485:9,20 486:2,2 forced 607:7 FORD 431:6 foregoing 617:4 618:4 forensic 458:1 463:15 522:5 522:8,10,13 527:25 528:3 forget 502:9 586:10 forgive 527:5 forgiveness 527:6 form 559:4 567:21 formal 577:24 formalized 530:3 formation 582:17 593:14 former 547:7 formerly 562:24 forth 513:9 531:5 532:2 forward 438:12 439:17 449:5 449:7,15,17 450:8,17 463:24 487:8 found 501:21 504:17 521:25 524:14 582:3 foundational 486:22 four 444:19 527:16 573:14 606:5 fourth 564:15 frame 444:18 446:15 482:2 487:24 framed 490:8	frames 443:17 frankly 515:2 Fred 456:16 457:3,5 490:3 free 470:8 free-for-all 526:11 frequent 566:16 615:2 frequently 522:25 575:5 friend 603:1 frightening 614:3,4,5,6 front 435:21,24 544:22 598:1 610:11 fruits 504:21 fulfill 536:11 full 436:6 442:1 497:2 530:21 fully 521:5,24 522:7 615:8 function 461:8 497:3 536:11 599:15 functions 434:18 500:5 539:2 funded 583:12 furniture 612:22 further 475:2 492:14,18 507:20 508:18 520:13 535:14 540:14 546:8 557:3,6,11 563:21 579:20,21,21 579:24 580:18 584:15 587:8 587:13	593:25 595:5 595:8 615:24 616:10,11 617:8 618:6 <hr/> G <hr/> GA 431:11,23 Gable 472:20 game 441:23 gaps 575:7,15 garbled 542:12 gatekeeper 500:8 gel 503:15,23 522:1 582:13 gels 504:7 gender 573:3 general 436:21 459:20 487:2 514:16 571:23 583:23 600:4 601:22 602:18 generally 442:15 449:20 487:20 528:9 539:14 560:24 573:18 600:24 601:21 612:13 genetic 582:2 582:7 geographical 601:2 Georgia 431:7 612:11 germane 544:16 getting 440:4 487:11 542:10 596:11 605:25
--	---	--	---	--

Ginkgo 504:6	499:25 503:4	585:11 586:5	482:15	534:20
girlfriend	510:9,16	591:20 601:5	486:20	guidelines
511:19	515:11	601:19,22	489:24 492:3	470:19
512:20 513:7	518:18	606:1 609:4	492:4,15,20	guys 597:1
545:25	524:11	609:13	492:22	600:25
546:20	531:11	good 435:9	Graham's	605:16,19
give 435:15	535:12 538:9	452:25 454:3	483:11 596:3	607:8 608:10
447:15	540:16,22	454:4,5 460:6	grams 553:4	608:20
493:11	556:6 562:24	491:12	granite 613:3,5	
495:11	563:11 564:1	494:11,18	615:6	H
505:25	564:3,20	502:1 516:3,3	gray 474:10	hair 479:23
524:13	565:24 568:1	517:9 520:22	great 536:17	504:11
529:21 542:3	568:2,21	520:23	537:18	551:16
550:20	569:10 572:6	521:23	595:21 608:5	552:10,11,15
570:13	573:18,25	524:14 525:8	greater 552:4	552:21,25
586:14,25	585:25	528:15	558:14	553:7,17,24
597:19 610:7	589:19 595:2	540:10 550:8	559:13	558:6 576:7
given 445:24	595:22	553:22	Gregory	591:11,18,21
462:14 475:1	599:22 606:6	561:22 563:8	433:11 491:1	592:11,18,19
515:21	606:18,19,20	566:3 570:7	494:23 506:9	592:20,21
549:21	607:5,7,18	576:23 599:8	grievance	593:2
575:12	608:10,12	600:24 601:6	430:8 434:7,8	Hair/nail 576:3
gives 519:18	609:4 610:2	602:10	448:11,15	half 546:12
554:4 572:15	goes 443:2	615:15	449:1 451:23	half-hour
589:8	481:18	Goodbye	490:11	545:20
glad 477:20	going 442:4,20	595:13	Grievant 432:9	half-life 478:25
gloves 530:22	442:20	gotten 604:10	grievant's	479:8
glucose	443:11 444:4	606:15	453:24	hand 435:13
581:15	445:5 447:6	govern 534:23	group 441:3,9	487:8 493:9
glucuronide	447:12 452:2	governed	growing	503:15,23
481:3 501:23	453:23	476:16,17	578:19 579:9	504:7 509:20
502:1	454:11	government	579:10	522:1 551:5
go 440:10,18	462:22	458:24	guaranteed	582:13 589:7
443:6,14,15	464:17 466:5	499:16	442:1	597:15
444:5 446:9	473:22	502:23	guess 448:10	617:12
446:16,19	482:13,24	523:22,23	480:16	handle 556:24
447:6 448:11	491:8 492:25	534:22 561:9	518:18 539:7	handling
450:14	493:19 495:4	561:14	542:16 546:3	613:19
451:16 453:8	495:10 501:7	Graham 433:6	546:10	hang 545:7,11
453:9 465:16	522:2 527:6	435:8,17,20	553:15,16	546:2,7,7,9
471:6 475:17	538:1 539:6	435:23 436:2	554:5 559:10	570:11
484:14	543:16,17,20	436:6,8,9	560:21	happen 439:14
485:15	544:20	439:18 444:4	562:19 599:7	590:17
489:23	548:16,19	452:5 453:6	guessing	606:24
490:22	552:12 569:3	453:20,22	553:22	607:21
492:12	569:10 571:7	454:3,22	Guidance	happened
493:20 494:5	576:21 585:2	462:19,24	434:20	584:10 590:1

590:8 608:20 happening 579:15 happens 459:5 539:19 607:25 608:19 happy 614:24 hard 515:2 544:22 harder 584:16 Harper 447:2,4 447:7,16 489:17 547:8 HARRISON 431:6 Harry 469:15 490:4 Hartsfield 431:22 hat's 609:7 head 493:17 494:14 604:7 headed 602:10 heading 507:13 510:13 511:12 539:8 headphones 494:3,4 health 461:19 468:9 472:4 473:8 474:13 474:15,16 476:7 496:7 502:5 505:18 508:10 594:18 health-related 476:5 healthcare 524:24 hear 493:13,14 493:14,16,17 494:8,15 501:14 515:11,24	539:25 541:4 577:13 597:1 605:1 607:15 607:18 610:3 610:4 heard 442:25 459:9,10 490:7 532:12 hearing 448:10 448:12,19 449:1,11,11 449:14 450:23 451:10 490:11 540:18 544:12 Hearsay 447:10 heart 572:9 heighten 596:14 held 496:3 517:9 help 439:11 475:2,5 493:13 501:23 506:22 524:24 528:16 555:9 555:11 580:10 585:21 597:9 606:3 helped 501:4 helpful 462:9 517:5 528:20 555:21 helping 615:6 helps 563:21 579:5 581:1 613:2,3 hematocrit 569:22 570:1 hereto 617:10 hereunto	617:12 hesitate 539:8 hey 607:14 609:2 HHS 536:10 HHS-certified 538:13,17 hid 602:17 hidden 438:11 438:11 hide 602:18 hiding 603:10 high 458:24 459:13 460:2 504:8 548:18 564:8 583:24 586:14,15 587:6 588:19 589:2,24 596:11 higher 518:3 518:15 593:24 608:12 highest 453:4 highlight 530:21 563:6 563:15 564:2 highlighted 494:12 highly 481:18 513:5 518:14 519:20 537:15 565:6 572:13,14 HIMS 437:7 447:2,17 462:3 489:17 542:6,20,24 542:25 547:7 547:19 548:7 552:8 555:17 570:21,24 571:5 573:7 575:11 584:20 585:5 585:8 594:16	598:22,25 599:1,5,9,13 599:16,20 600:5 606:9 606:10,13 history 513:10 hobbies 504:10 hold 435:5 483:2 542:16 543:16 568:2 591:20 607:15 honest 513:6,7 606:17,17,21 hope 595:15 596:19 hopeful 583:21 hopefully 475:6 hospitals 504:8 555:7,9 561:4 host 555:6 556:5 hour 543:21 546:12 hour-and-a-... 545:20 hours 494:19 545:22 housekeeping 595:23 616:21 houses 612:21 HSS 502:11 huge 524:3 human 487:6 502:5 585:2 598:21 humans 519:12 hundred 517:14,14 548:24 583:4 hundreds 525:24	hurt 579:14 hygiene 504:11 <hr/> I <hr/> i.e 538:5 idea 533:6 571:3 identification 439:13 447:21 448:13 451:21 452:1 480:24 489:20 495:3 499:21 506:25 524:10 526:23 534:17 562:8 570:17 614:11 615:14 identified 437:23 442:10,12 538:22 599:17 identify 456:9 456:12 461:12 462:15 471:4 482:22 499:24 534:18 536:3 536:5 555:8 557:7 li 531:6 IITF 538:13,17 538:20 illnesses 583:5 impact 474:2 530:11,12 impaired 440:1 440:1 impairment 501:1 555:8
--	--	---	--	---

impartial 500:8	481:8 573:4	613:22	500:16,17	instance
imperative	incidents	indicia 511:4	511:16,24	452:16
531:2,4	585:14	individual	512:16 514:4	instinct 594:2
533:15	586:19	458:22	515:1 536:9	instructions
importance	include 471:17	459:23	565:10	529:17,22
539:1	471:20	462:11,19	612:24	530:9
important	487:11	472:15 475:5	informed	INT'L 430:12
441:17,20	496:18	480:22 487:9	603:21	431:21
445:4 446:7	498:23	513:2 514:9	605:25	intake 564:9
450:1,11	507:18	566:15 582:2	ingested 454:6	565:7
474:6 500:25	includes 441:2	582:6,6	inhalation	integral 514:22
511:14,15	481:7 535:22	590:15	458:23 459:1	integrity 500:9
512:6 530:7	including	595:15 603:9	459:8,10,15	533:15,23
531:18 532:6	496:10	604:2	initial 440:11	540:11 609:1
535:2 536:11	508:11,14	individual's	441:4,9 443:2	609:8
536:19	514:19	514:1	444:21 448:9	intent 442:13
537:20	536:10 583:1	individually	448:10,12,18	447:24 448:3
566:12 599:1	585:24	439:10	448:25	448:6 451:12
606:10,11	600:21 607:8	individuals	449:10,11,14	490:10
imposing	incorporation	485:1 488:21	450:10,23	intention
600:23	564:8	498:22 513:4	451:1,10,11	480:12
improper	increased	517:22 553:2	471:17	intentionally
438:8	524:24	559:20 583:7	490:11	576:14
improve	incredible	583:10	501:24,25	interest 501:4
515:13	481:16	593:20,24	517:12	538:12,18
524:24	incredibly	602:15	initially 439:11	interested
527:18	439:3	industry	initiate 477:1	526:19
improved	independent	460:17	initiated	543:12 556:7
527:21	500:8 539:3	542:21 551:1	465:22	568:24 572:4
Improvement	546:22	594:6	innocent/ext...	617:11 618:7
524:1	INDEX 433:1	inference	563:19	interference
improving	434:1	528:19	innovation	543:24
497:12	indicate	influence	532:25	internal 495:15
in-depth	546:10	442:14	inpatient	495:16
556:20 557:7	591:19	538:21	472:15 474:9	497:22
in-house	indicated	influenced	507:19	internationally
440:14	614:24	569:21 570:1	509:12	506:2,6
443:22	indicates	influences	input 487:11	interpret
in-person	614:20	438:7	493:22,24	535:11
546:14	indicating	informal	535:11	interpretation
in-vitro 581:18	469:10 574:6	513:16	insecticide	506:10
inability 438:6	indication	information	504:7	interpreted
incident	586:14,15	440:4 449:2	inserted 533:4	481:10 521:1
468:12	indicative	451:19	inside 533:13	528:7
585:14	514:23	481:11 482:5	insistence	interpreting
586:11	558:15	482:17	451:17	499:19 565:8
incidental	indicators	487:13 489:8	insistent 455:3	577:19

interrogating 476:23	inviting 579:23	483:18,25	475:11,19	kidney 590:3
interrupted 502:17	involve 496:13	484:1 487:8	560:19	kind 442:25
intervene 555:11	534:25 555:5	490:8 524:5	justified	482:16 487:3
intervening 555:10	590:21	533:17	557:11	517:5 518:15
Intervention 598:21	involved 440:6	543:13	<hr/>	526:12 528:8
interventions 555:12	459:19	544:16 556:8	K	531:21 533:6
interview 510:5 511:17	486:21 487:3	599:17	Kassin 431:5	543:21
513:2 535:23	488:8,12	issued 448:6	433:7,8,12	564:16
536:10 545:4	489:6 492:7	451:24 452:7	435:7,8,9	568:23 569:4
545:11,18,24	499:6 505:23	484:20,21	436:1,5	575:25 576:6
546:1,19	506:5 516:6	issues 451:6	447:22	579:3,10
547:5	521:20 523:1	456:6 485:10	453:11,14,16	580:11
interviewed 511:13 513:3	525:14	487:16	453:17,19	582:18,20
544:24	528:10	494:19,20	454:21	583:5 584:25
548:10	536:20 555:6	497:7 498:5	461:23	586:19 590:1
interviews 511:11	557:22 577:5	506:2 544:9	473:22	590:8,17
545:15	578:15	552:10	474:20	595:25 597:8
546:20	585:20	it'd 441:19	477:17	600:25
intimately 485:11	involvement	603:3	481:12 482:1	601:25 604:9
introduction 568:2,4,12	436:24 437:3	item 532:21	482:8 483:7	606:22
invalid 500:14	437:14	533:11	486:17,19	kinds 523:16
536:4	480:21 601:9	<hr/>	490:19	knew 513:23
investigate 465:12,18	involves	J 431:5,14,14	491:13,17,22	587:25 603:6
487:10	483:13 487:4	jail 523:2	492:2,14	604:12
investigation 455:6,8,19	557:8	James 433:6	506:12	know 438:20
457:25 458:8	involving	436:2,8	513:13 515:4	440:7 441:11
458:14	444:6 476:14	job 475:7	515:15,18	446:18 451:6
463:14,20	488:25	484:15 536:3	540:16 541:2	454:12,14,17
465:3,8	irregular	598:14,16	541:3,3	454:20,21
486:24	575:13	jobs 441:23	542:10,18	455:13
investigative 486:21	irrelevant	joint 467:1,3	544:1,14,18	456:22,23,25
invitation 579:22	454:24 474:2	475:21,21	550:2,6,11,14	457:9,11,20
	issuance	Jones's 455:3	562:9 565:21	460:6,11,15
	443:4,13	Journal 505:11	571:8 576:11	460:16,20,21
	445:7 447:1	Jr 547:8	576:18,21	460:24 462:3
	447:18	judged 446:6	577:1 579:17	463:6,9
	561:17	466:3	587:15,18	464:13,18
	issuances	judgment	591:25	467:11,11
	561:17	438:7 452:25	594:24 595:5	468:15,19,22
	issue 440:7	453:3 522:16	595:22,25	469:15
	448:3 455:20	July 447:23	598:4 609:14	472:20
	461:4 468:9	448:16,19	609:16,19	475:20 479:7
	468:17,20,21	451:10,24	616:1,4,8	479:14,16
	468:25 469:1	June 551:16	keep 578:25	480:8,12
	474:2,18	Junior 489:18	580:9	482:9,10,11
	475:11,23,25	jurisdiction	Kevin 432:5	485:13 486:8
	476:3,16		453:22	504:15

510:19	602:19 603:7	517:11	503:14,17	letting 438:19
511:15 512:6	603:10,12,19	523:10,19	505:8,10	603:19
513:3 516:5,9	603:20,21,25	524:23	leaders 582:25	level 453:4
516:19 517:1	604:1,8,9,11	550:16,20	leading 443:1	460:2,8,11,14
518:2 522:7	605:20,21	laboratory	learn 451:9	464:14,19
522:24 524:2	606:2,3,4,4,5	460:13 461:2	learned 447:5	467:14
525:5,7,8,9	606:6,13	465:1,4,9,10	603:13,21	481:17
526:11,13	607:4,4,9	473:2,5	614:5	493:22 517:2
528:5,7 529:7	608:1,2,10,13	479:18	leave 451:20	517:12 519:5
529:18 530:8	608:14,17,19	500:13	leaves 501:19	519:11,16,23
531:25 533:3	608:21,22,25	521:12	lecture 548:7	520:6 575:20
533:19 534:8	609:1,5	523:24,25	Lee 431:17	levels 458:18
534:9 535:2	611:25,25	529:11 531:5	453:24	458:24 460:5
535:10	613:1	535:5,7,21	leeway 578:8	460:18,22
536:17 540:9	knowing 578:3	536:1,2,4	left 504:13	463:4 476:13
546:4 549:4	knowingly	538:13,17,20	524:19	481:9 515:23
551:25 553:9	454:6	554:2 559:25	576:22	516:20
553:16,22	knowledge	560:2,5,10,14	legal 456:2	517:25 526:3
554:4 555:9	457:24 459:5	560:19 561:5	457:17,18	liability 518:4
556:18 557:3	465:2 467:22	561:10	474:4 487:5	license 587:11
557:8 558:12	467:23 468:2	591:22 592:1	577:24	licensed 497:7
558:19	468:10 469:3	592:4	legitimate	499:18
562:21	469:4,11,14	labs 517:17,21	500:12 536:8	521:18
568:25 572:9	469:23 479:3	518:3,3	length 444:20	licenses
573:21 575:6	479:4 484:13	523:12,16,21	510:6	496:22
575:22 576:9	492:8 505:2	525:23,25	lengthy 601:18	Licensure
578:3,19,24	536:7 549:18	526:11	603:2	505:12
580:1,2,5,15	612:13	589:22 590:2	lenient 525:25	lie 605:4
581:2,17,18	615:22	lack 530:3	let's 477:19	life 601:22
582:9,20,21	known 496:25	549:17	486:10,11	608:5,14,17
583:18,21,23	598:22	land 581:16	510:8,23	613:12
584:7 585:16	knows 586:22	language	524:4 530:20	light 521:1
585:16,17		524:18	535:17	597:7 603:7
586:4,20,21	L	large 522:24	547:14	lightly 452:14
586:25	lab 434:13,14	583:3	570:11	likelihood
587:14	499:20 521:2	law 513:23	letter 434:4,16	587:6 588:19
588:19,24	521:8,17,19	lawsuits	447:18 452:6	588:22 589:1
589:23 590:5	560:4 583:1	517:21	464:25	589:2
590:14 591:1	590:1,7,15	layer 531:17	484:19,20	Likewise
591:12	592:5	532:8	547:9,11	590:13
592:24	LabCorp 434:5	layering 532:5	614:19	limit 475:10,11
593:15,18,19	label 460:12	532:13,14,16	615:17,18,21	475:18
594:1,14	labeled 459:15	lays 508:5,9	letterhead	478:16
599:6 601:3	460:3	LDTs 521:12	614:14	limited 544:5
601:17,17,18	laboratories	524:19	letters 531:14	596:1
601:20,21	460:21	lead 486:25	547:10,13,17	limiting 515:1
602:9,16,19	479:20	487:17 488:2	563:8	limits 517:1

<p>limping 504:4 line 430:12 431:16,21 471:2 516:19 547:20,21,25 549:1 551:1 570:6 lines 430:14 431:4,9 524:18 547:24 549:1 568:25 570:7 links 574:19 list 457:22 504:11 510:16 543:8 listed 508:24 lists 503:14 505:8 literature 551:25 little 437:2 439:19 446:14,16 491:22 497:15 505:7 508:18 515:15 516:18 519:21 520:7 526:16,21 531:12 532:3 535:13 540:8 548:15,16 562:23 563:7 564:3,16 566:2 568:3 569:1 573:25 574:1 580:18 590:19 597:10 598:20 600:7 600:9,25 601:23 607:22 live 612:10 livelihood</p>	<p>578:4 liver 527:17 lives 584:6,19 LLP 498:19 localization 569:22 located 440:12 location 570:3 601:2 log 491:9 599:22 602:1 logged 491:10 logs 606:6 long 438:8 452:4 492:6 496:3 499:2 504:11 536:18 537:19 545:17 546:6 546:9,11 554:15 567:23 580:16 598:18 600:5 600:22 603:5 611:4,15 longer 443:22 502:2,3 537:12 552:14,17 601:15 605:11 look 444:8 448:14 451:22 452:2 489:21 506:20 507:13 509:10 510:23 519:7 523:6 536:20 539:10 558:24,24 568:1 577:25 579:11 580:5 581:8,9</p>	<p>585:12 586:4 586:7 587:5 588:18 589:3 590:5 607:14 615:16 look-back 479:11 looked 516:8 586:7,16 588:11,15,20 589:22,24 590:2,2 591:7 looking 448:2 481:2 487:25 489:23 500:6 501:16 507:7 510:7,18,21 512:9 516:7 516:23 521:17 524:14 562:14 564:4 564:18 566:1 568:4 571:10 588:12 591:15,16 looks 508:25 528:15 586:9 586:13 lose 530:18 584:8,14 loss 614:25 lost 527:1,3 605:13 607:16 616:17 lot 464:16 505:3 518:14 521:16 525:13 527:6 530:10 534:7 557:8 583:2 590:23 592:6 607:7 614:6 lots 501:2 love 585:4,5 low 476:13</p>	<p>481:18 507:17 520:6 520:9 534:11 548:24 551:7 563:18,20 565:8 575:20 587:7 590:24 590:25 591:3 591:4 lower 517:23 517:24 518:4 518:9,18 519:11 527:20 549:8 592:6 Iseham@ss... 431:20 Lynn 445:1 478:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <hr/> <p>M.D 433:11 494:23 Main 431:18 maintain 441:23 446:25 447:1 450:15 458:2 463:16 471:9 471:11 472:19 474:22 maintained 471:12 477:7 maintaining 440:23 443:10 492:10 makeup 582:7 making 451:3 482:6,16 483:14 484:25 485:6 534:4 551:3 572:10,22 600:2 Mallory 604:6</p>	<p>604:17,22,23 man 475:6 management 483:17 492:7 manager 614:15 managing 448:23 487:7 487:21 488:3 489:10 mandate 472:14,17,18 486:6 mandated 526:3,4 611:9 mandates 474:9 manner 439:14 467:25 manual 434:20 534:21 566:22,23 manufacturing 613:3 615:6 MAR 606:20 608:7,9,9 609:4 Marcos 432:4 Marietta 612:11 marijuana 459:8,14 marijuana-re... 458:22 Mark 431:3 435:11 493:4 marked 447:21 448:13 451:21 452:1 480:24 489:20 495:3 499:21 506:25 524:10 526:23 534:17 562:8 570:17</p>
--	--	--	--	--

614:11	482:11,11	495:19	442:3 489:15	604:10
615:14	484:8 497:12	497:22,23	513:8 521:25	Michele 472:20
marker 500:22	512:21 517:2	498:11,13,24	542:2 544:24	microphone
501:11,21	525:5,10	500:21	545:24	493:15,20,21
502:1 503:2	528:6,22	506:10 507:2	566:17	493:24 494:4
503:16 505:9	539:23	541:14,18,25	570:23	515:13
505:13	569:24	meds 504:4	574:15 580:7	mid 440:20
506:11	581:24	MedTox	581:11	middle 527:5
520:21,22,23	meant 439:3	434:14	mentor 441:20	604:9
564:7	506:21	meet 523:16	message	Mike 431:14
markers	507:18 575:5	545:3 546:6	571:23 574:5	601:4 602:9
498:24	575:9 593:17	587:9 599:21	met 508:25	602:21
500:25 501:3	MEC 431:16	600:13	509:7 587:20	603:18 604:1
501:4,5,8	mechanical	meeting	612:6	605:15 606:3
505:5	537:12	441:13	metabolite	609:1,1
MARR 443:21	mechanism	448:22	454:19	610:15 612:6
MARs 609:1	474:17	451:24	455:15	613:1,3
material 544:9	mechanisms	meetings	463:11	Mike's 601:3
materials	581:4	471:25	501:22	606:15 614:2
526:20	mechanize	505:19	metabolites	mild 509:9
529:17	585:20	599:24	458:23	military 541:22
matter 445:3	Medicaid	600:16 601:9	459:14,23	Miller 469:16
532:9 588:23	525:1	601:20 602:2	metabolized	469:24 490:4
595:23	medical	603:4	501:20	milligram
matters 486:25	442:23 461:8	MELTZ 431:18	method 569:21	552:5 592:7
616:21	461:12,16	Member	methodologi...	milligrams
Mayer 432:5	462:12,15,24	431:14,14	465:20	519:11
MD 614:15	480:5 495:13	432:5,5	536:15 537:7	milliliter
mean 470:4	495:22 496:4	members	methodology	478:17
474:1 481:16	496:6,6	452:10	454:17	518:23,25
481:23 482:9	497:25 498:8	483:10 484:2	455:13	527:9,15,22
505:3 522:20	498:8,15,21	548:11 555:5	463:10,25	527:24
528:12 561:9	499:13,14	574:16	464:2 520:16	558:14 559:2
561:12	500:2,12	membranes	578:8	575:25
567:17 569:7	528:9 534:20	581:23	Metro 443:21	milliliters
571:13 575:2	535:11,25	memo 434:15	Michael 430:8	527:14
578:4 580:24	536:8 541:6	memorandum	432:9 480:22	mind 493:8
583:16,19,25	541:10 555:3	614:13	493:6 506:15	514:14
585:18 594:6	571:16	memory	507:2 534:5	522:16 591:9
594:20	594:17	510:19,19	600:11	minimal 520:2
605:19	614:16	mental 508:6	603:14,16,18	520:13 582:8
606:21	Medicare	508:10 510:2	604:13,22	minor 517:3
meaning	525:1	510:12,14,16	605:8 610:14	minute 491:3
520:23	medications	510:18,18	611:25	550:3 576:15
meaningful	583:6	511:4	613:13,23,25	595:20
455:6	medicine	mention 543:3	614:7	609:17
means 482:9	495:15,17,17	mentioned	Michael's	minutes

595:18 616:5 mischaracte... 591:24 misconduct 469:6 mispronoun... 593:16 misses 574:23 575:19 missing 574:5 misstate 561:9 misstatement 513:22 misused 536:3 mL 517:14,18 model 537:4 578:1 modified 514:17 moisture 533:18 mold 533:20 moment 545:7 546:2,7 596:24 603:6 603:21 money 540:10 monitor 439:9 441:20 478:1 478:3 501:3 555:16,19 562:17 563:9 566:3,4 599:14,15,16 599:20 600:6 600:10,11 602:24 604:8 605:7,12,16 605:17 monitored 437:24 471:16 555:17,25 608:14 monitoring 437:21 470:8 471:20	477:22 496:14 507:16,18 512:12,17 528:14 547:24 548:2 550:21,24 554:10,14,16 554:23 555:14 556:11,19 561:17 567:7 575:12 584:8 593:22 594:8 594:16 601:16 602:1 606:20 Monju 456:16 456:18 month 575:7 599:22 600:13 605:20,22 606:4,6 monthly 471:25 601:9 months 543:18 543:22 544:13 569:19 mood 510:19 MORANO 618:15 morning 435:9 454:3,4,5 Morris 432:5 515:8,8 motion 513:17 519:2 596:16 596:19 Motivation 598:22 mouse 493:17 mouth 504:10 515:13 mouthwash 522:1	move 444:7 445:22 446:13 477:19 482:21 483:1 491:4 499:22 505:6,7 508:16 509:19 515:5 524:15 526:15,18 527:2 529:13 539:6,12 559:7 565:3 570:6 573:25 615:15 moved 466:17 491:19,20 moving 493:22 515:20 544:20 MRO 434:18 434:19,20 462:15,17 498:7 499:2 499:13 500:4 500:7,18,21 506:10 507:2 533:22 535:3 536:11,23 537:5,7 538:3 538:7,11,13 538:14,20,21 539:2,3,10,18 566:21 567:5 567:6,9,15 MRO's 534:25 567:1 MROs 566:22 583:3 muffled 515:16 mute 493:18 493:18 494:12 607:17 muted 493:12 mutually	439:21 547:20 <hr/> N N=200 527:8 N=222 527:17 nail 576:7 name 436:6 454:14 456:13 463:8 480:22 490:3 493:4 497:2 504:3 541:3 598:10 610:23 618:10 named 457:2 names 456:12 nanogram 527:23 558:14 nanograms 478:17 517:14,18,20 518:23,25 527:9,13,15 527:22 548:25 559:2 559:13 575:24 nanograms/... 518:22 narrow 576:15 576:21 national 497:25 498:1 498:1 502:12 nationally 506:2,6 nature 504:9 561:2 necessarily 550:19 553:25 558:11 590:17 necessary	438:14 443:25 447:9 449:3 537:6 544:17 551:14 556:7 need 439:11 441:12 443:17,18 445:6 475:16 487:12 493:19,23 494:3 515:4 521:5 524:13 529:4 531:11 550:3 552:14 556:6 563:15 579:10 581:1 583:7 587:8 606:16 610:1 needed 468:23 507:20 601:13 needs 475:13 531:8 532:3 583:12 590:3 negative 445:12,20 446:2,11 450:13 464:6 464:24 465:15 466:11,17,20 478:16,20 518:23 519:25 520:8 520:12 522:25 534:7 534:11 549:3 553:24 556:13 557:17,18 563:20 586:18,19 592:6,11 negatives 526:9 587:3 neither 553:11
---	---	---	--	--

618:6 network 574:19 neurocogniti... 546:23 588:6 neuropsych... 509:21,22 neuropsych... 444:24 neutral 435:11 493:5 never 457:25 459:9,15 465:3 467:20 467:21,24 468:25 469:1 472:22 541:21 542:23 602:11,11 605:22 611:19 nevertheless 460:25 527:20 537:5 new 431:19 501:4,21 505:9 533:6 577:17 578:8 578:13 newer 536:16 577:21 nightmares 607:10 nine 568:25 non 439:14 440:3 468:4 503:25 514:18,21 603:1 non-abstine... 461:3 463:22 475:23,23 476:2,3,8,18 477:13 non-oxidative 501:22	non-regulated 567:8 non-volunteer 442:11 Nope 435:23 normal 444:20 488:23 490:16 519:10,21 520:7 592:7 596:10 normalization 463:3 normalize 519:14 549:24 550:17 554:3 normalized 518:22,24 550:20 normalizing 476:14 519:15 normally 533:1 544:1 nose 613:17 note 446:7 450:11 481:8 531:15 noted 615:1,3 notice 434:6 441:10 447:24 448:3 448:6 451:12 490:10 noticed 543:2 579:7 November 618:12 nuances 454:24 number 494:1 503:7 505:25 509:1 517:5 524:7 531:10 543:5 549:12 551:5 556:22	582:17 584:17 589:9 589:13 numbers 478:19 527:7 569:11,17 591:1 594:2 numerous 588:21 NW 431:6 <hr/> O <hr/> oath 493:9 494:14 obfuscation 543:23 object 442:20 442:21 473:22 481:12 483:7 513:20 543:16,17,24 559:4 567:21 591:20 objection 442:24 447:10,13 481:25 482:8 482:14 488:20 513:13,13,13 513:15 589:17 objective 587:4 589:8 594:6,8,11 603:8 606:23 obligation 439:23 obligations 496:21 observational 510:20 observations 601:8 observe 613:17	obtained 480:4 521:7 529:2,5 obviously 450:15 451:6 483:8 556:7 occasionally 605:20 occur 465:19 occurred 449:11 585:14 615:1 occurs 583:10 586:20 October 430:18 435:2 614:20 617:13 offer 446:22 475:5 484:13 offered 443:14 446:19 484:10 498:2 539:22 579:17,20 604:25 606:2 offering 484:7 492:12 office 469:24 597:9 officer 430:8 448:16 461:8 461:12,16 462:12,15 498:8,9 499:13,14 500:2 506:15 507:9 510:5 512:15,23 518:13 519:24 529:8 534:5,20 officers 498:15 528:10 official 436:10 officially 444:23 offline 595:2	Offentimes 560:13 oh 494:11 499:25 502:7 516:3 526:19 605:14 okay 435:5,6 435:25 437:2 437:18 439:18 442:3 442:15 443:12 444:4 444:15,22 445:9 446:13 446:22,24 447:16,22 448:3,5,14,21 448:25 449:7 449:20 450:23 451:4 451:9,22 452:2,9 453:11,13,22 454:17 455:12,19 456:5,23 457:1,4,7,12 457:18,21 458:7,21 459:7,12,22 460:1,11 461:15 462:22 463:2 463:9,13,25 464:8,23 466:11,21 467:6,20 468:15,19,25 469:8,15,18 470:1,22 471:4 472:20 472:22 473:20 474:20 475:15 478:2 478:5,21 479:4,7,22
--	--	---	--	---

482:2,20,23	541:4,21,24	594:20 595:4	536:12 539:1	561:19
482:24 483:2	542:2,16,17	595:5,7,19,19	552:23 554:2	581:18
483:6,7 484:5	542:23 543:1	595:25	558:9 577:11	outweighed
484:24	544:14 545:3	596:13,21,21	583:13	588:22
485:13,19	545:6,11,17	597:3,11,12	588:14,22	over-the-cou...
487:20,24	546:11,13,17	597:13	589:5 593:12	504:4
488:6,16	546:22 547:1	598:10,18	604:16 605:2	overall 446:5
489:3,8,15	547:4,7,16,18	599:19 602:7	606:9	overcome
490:5,19,22	548:6,9,15	605:13	opportunity	565:7
491:8,12,21	549:7,17,19	607:20 609:4	446:19 449:4	overlapped
492:16,23	549:20	610:5,10,13	451:15 453:2	479:24
493:17 494:3	550:11,12,15	610:16	475:2,5	overrule
494:5,7,13	550:21 551:3	612:18,23	484:14	447:12
495:8,20	551:10,13	613:10	492:12	oversaw 437:1
496:9,17	552:3,7 553:7	615:15,16,21	539:22	oversee
497:2,10,17	553:24 554:8	616:10,13,19	opposite	554:14
497:24 498:4	554:18 556:1	old 615:11	559:14	overseeing
498:10 499:5	557:14 558:3	once 443:7	optimal 572:15	554:10
499:12 500:6	558:12,17,25	448:5 480:1	option 453:5	oversight
500:20 501:6	559:5,24	487:15 575:6	options 451:20	459:19 472:7
501:10,16	560:16 561:7	575:6 599:22	order 439:16	472:10
502:8 503:4	561:19,22	600:13 605:8	440:22 441:1	480:21
506:13 507:6	562:9,17,22	605:10,20,22	441:1 443:18	524:22,24
507:6,24	562:24	ones 456:10	444:1 460:22	overtime 517:9
508:17 509:1	563:11,25	510:15	480:10 514:3	overview
509:4,6,10,15	564:14 565:1	537:15	531:2 540:3	495:11 500:4
510:1,8,9,22	565:21 566:4	554:12,13	553:4	500:7 510:17
511:1,6,18,21	566:19	ongoing	ordered	OWI 444:12
512:15	568:20 569:2	496:15	548:18	owned 487:21
513:12 515:7	569:20 570:5	512:18	organization	owning 488:7
516:3,14	570:11	585:18	496:24	
517:10	571:22 572:1	op 572:6	organizations	P
518:16,18	573:3,20,23	operating	498:21	p.m 491:14,16
521:11	574:22	442:14	origin 507:24	491:17,20,20
522:14	576:11,21,23	operations	507:25	540:24
523:24 524:4	577:1,6,15	436:15,19	ought 522:9,13	576:24
524:13 525:3	580:13,21	437:15	outcome	609:20
525:18 526:6	583:14 587:7	440:17	617:11	page 433:2
526:14,15,19	587:13,15	448:24	outliers 527:11	434:2 483:1
527:4 529:1	588:1,3,4,6	454:23 462:2	outlined	489:22,24
529:13	588:10 589:7	487:7,22	440:25	503:5,11
534:18,22,25	589:25 590:7	488:3 489:11	441:15	505:6,15
535:5,14,18	590:9,25	492:7	outpatient	507:5 508:16
537:4 538:11	591:8 592:10	opinion 507:11	507:19	508:20
539:7,12,17	592:20 593:1	507:16	outside 442:10	509:19 510:7
539:21	593:4,12,16	519:24 522:9	458:9 484:10	510:8,14,15
540:16,24	593:20 594:3	522:12 531:4	526:9 533:2	510:24 511:9

512:8 518:16 518:17 524:12,15 526:15,18 531:12 535:13,14 537:25 539:7 539:12 563:6 564:1,3,5,9 564:15 565:24 566:1 567:25 568:1 568:21 571:12 572:2 572:3,19 573:23 614:17,18 paint 608:20 panel 474:6 476:5 481:7 544:11 paper 464:16 531:15,17,20 531:22 593:9 papers 501:25 568:9 584:15 591:7 paragraph 474:22,23 500:6 512:10 527:5 535:17 536:13 538:2 538:10 564:19 565:2 565:4,5 568:3 570:5 614:17 parentheses 551:22 Parkway 431:22 part 435:22 442:16 461:9 461:25 462:4 462:4,8,10 469:6 471:17 485:24 488:16	489:15 502:13 508:12 512:13 514:6 515:3 523:9 530:14 535:6 536:23 541:10 544:2 544:24 546:24 547:2 547:5,8,23 561:7 562:2,6 567:18 568:24 587:23 598:1 604:20 participant 599:6,7,13 participants 462:6 468:4 538:4 550:5 571:14 participate 471:24 506:18 participated 502:25 528:23 578:15 participating 496:19 participation 438:17 442:2 492:21 616:14 particular 452:16 473:25 474:25 481:15 544:7 568:6 572:10 572:21 574:8 593:9 particularly 537:14 parties 475:19 544:21 617:8	617:10 parts 445:1 party 618:8 pass 540:14 609:13,13 615:24 passed 498:2 passengers 613:20 passive 458:23 458:25 459:8 459:10,15 path 606:19 609:3 patient 563:22 599:22 patients 527:17 Patrick 431:15 448:23 488:5 patterns 602:10 Peachtree 600:21 peer 471:20 477:22 478:1 478:3 559:12 599:14,15,20 600:6,10 602:23 604:8 605:7,12 people 438:14 438:19,23 457:13 487:12 501:3 504:9 511:15 516:24 518:2 530:7,9 548:19 549:2 554:6 572:3 579:1,14 580:2,5 581:19,24,25 582:1,2,8 583:6 584:14 585:11,15,20 586:21	606:14 607:22 612:8 people's 584:6 percent 438:21 452:24 504:14 527:10,19,20 527:21,24 528:5 558:11 570:9,14 583:24 587:1 588:13,14,15 601:11 604:2 percentage 570:2 percentage--- 593:23 Perez 480:22 perfect 481:2 503:12 507:6 508:19 521:3 522:15 527:4 528:21 535:17 585:4 585:6,7 587:5 588:24 614:18 perform 496:20 530:25 performance 469:2 523:20 539:2 performed 479:18 530:23 538:25 performing 500:20 perfume 504:7 504:12 period 479:25 512:2 533:3 553:5 576:8 578:23 583:8 periods 575:16 permission	490:20 person 438:5 457:1 461:20 462:17 488:7 512:6,7 518:1 525:12 536:6 545:3 547:3 548:11 557:1 574:20 580:5 585:16 586:22 587:20 589:24 590:3 590:5 617:5 person's 530:12 personal 602:19,20,25 personality 509:22 personally 477:23,24 478:2 518:9 604:15 personnel 467:17 487:6 persons 457:21,22 PETERSEN 431:18 PEth 445:23,24 446:3,7,7,9 446:10 449:18,23 450:21 465:16,22 466:7,11,18 466:21,24 467:12,15 470:13,24 478:15 479:1 479:8,11,19 479:25 487:25 500:23 520:15,19 521:4 522:2,3
---	--	---	---	--

522:4,9,12	614:25	pillars 455:5	place 448:8	582:10,20
523:3,20	PEths 527:7	pilot 435:10	450:3 527:3	604:14 607:5
526:5 527:12	phase 440:20	436:16,24	532:22	608:25
528:13 534:4	phenomenon	438:22 439:4	533:13	pointing 574:9
536:15 537:7	581:10	440:4,5,6,8	539:18	574:10 587:6
537:10,16	philosophy	440:15,15,22	544:13 569:9	points 510:13
539:1,15	475:6	440:25 441:3	594:5 607:3	511:7 539:12
543:15 544:7	phone 494:1,1	441:24 442:9	608:18	539:13 551:3
547:12	494:7 542:17	443:2,8,10,12	Plains 431:19	572:10,21,24
549:14 553:8	546:16,17,18	446:10,11	plan 487:9,11	573:16
553:12,14,15	606:6	448:6 449:5	plastic 533:14	policies 470:2
553:25 556:3	phonetic	450:3 452:21	533:19	470:4,9
556:19,25	456:17	456:18	play 501:10	policy 449:20
557:10,16,24	phospholipa...	469:18 471:5	please 435:14	449:21 462:2
558:5,13	581:20	471:8,23	436:6 446:15	462:5
559:1,12,18	phospholipids	472:3 480:10	481:1,8 493:9	polyclonal
559:22	581:23	480:14,22	493:17,20,23	581:24
561:18 562:5	photo 574:20	484:12 485:7	494:18	polygraph
563:17,20,23	physicals	485:21 487:5	501:15 515:6	513:11,16,18
564:6,22	541:10 542:3	487:5,9	526:18	513:25 514:4
565:9 566:11	physician	488:11	532:22	514:13,20
566:14	496:7 505:18	489:14	540:23 542:9	588:2
567:12,15,19	536:7,20	507:10,12,15	562:11	population
568:13,15	554:22	541:16	564:21	438:21,22
569:13,16,17	593:22	580:22,24	566:20	portion 530:20
569:20 570:1	594:18 600:3	584:22 586:2	568:21 570:7	531:13,13
572:17,22,23	physicians	598:17,19	572:20	564:18
572:25	499:18,18	603:1 614:22	597:16	pose 535:19
575:18,24	505:10,14	614:25 615:5	598:10	position
577:12 578:9	554:11 555:8	pilot's 469:24	609:17,23	436:13,23
578:16 579:2	pick 592:13,15	474:13,15,16	610:1,22	477:6,15
579:8,12,20	592:16	587:11	616:5	487:18
580:17 581:5	594:13,14,19	piloting 469:2	po 579:2,11	495:21 496:3
581:17,20	picked 592:24	pilots 430:12	point 437:16	513:20 518:5
582:3,9,17,23	603:6	431:16,21	439:10	positive
583:2,8,11,15	Pickett 431:14	441:15	442:17	445:12,20,25
584:4,16	picking 497:11	443:17	453:19	446:2,3,5,6
585:9 586:12	592:22	452:23	461:14	446:10,11
588:12 589:8	picogram	459:20	462:11 488:4	447:8 449:18
589:10	552:4	469:13 470:1	505:8,16,17	449:22,23,24
590:12,21,22	picograms	470:7 471:16	511:6 518:19	450:21
590:25 591:1	592:7	485:17 486:3	531:10 534:8	451:20
591:3,10,17	picture 591:15	541:11 542:3	538:4 550:8	452:17
592:17	piece 593:9	547:21,25	560:21	458:18 459:1
596:17	pieces 445:4	549:1 555:16	561:12,21	459:16 460:8
603:14,18,24	450:7	599:2,16	574:8 575:18	460:13,23
605:4 608:24	piling 532:7	pizza 612:22	576:2,5 577:2	464:14,19,24

465:14	585:9,9	512:25 529:5	570:24,25	473:7 477:20
466:22	586:11	538:18	presented	478:5,8,11
467:11,15	588:11,12	565:10	480:2 485:13	482:6 496:5
479:25 481:6	589:10,13,14	580:17	510:2	586:17
487:25	590:12,22,22	potentially	preservative	private 541:16
500:13	590:24 591:1	520:14	593:8,12	probably
503:16,17,24	591:3,4,10,10	531:23 532:7	preserving	437:12 499:3
504:1,17	591:11,16,17	533:5	484:8	499:7,9
505:5 506:20	591:18 592:1	practice	president	502:10
506:22 512:3	592:8 603:14	446:18 492:8	436:15,19,23	545:20
515:23	603:24 607:1	556:10,11	437:16	546:12 553:1
517:25	607:2,12	practices	press 531:16	553:3 556:6
518:12,21	614:25	526:5 613:16	531:19	572:3,9 575:4
519:3,5,25	positives	practicing	pretty 451:7	575:4,8
520:1,9 523:3	470:13,24	541:24	501:19,20	576:10
523:6 525:9	504:23 526:9	pre-date	513:19 517:9	578:20 606:3
526:4 527:25	527:10 535:1	509:18	526:10 540:9	probation
528:4,7,9,11	535:4 549:8	pre-dating	577:16	518:13
534:10 536:1	579:6 582:23	509:16	599:21	probe 483:16
536:24	584:13	pre-terminat...	prevalence	problem
547:12	possession	455:6	582:23	515:12 533:7
548:19 549:2	615:2	prefer 517:17	prevalent	533:8 538:22
551:7,11,20	possibilities	517:19	615:3	550:10
552:25	557:23	pregnancy	prevent 533:19	559:17 580:8
553:25	582:10	560:25	538:19,21	580:11
556:13,25	possibility	preliminary	593:13	585:18,19
557:10,18,24	458:25 533:4	486:22	prevention	586:17,21
558:4,4,5,5	566:17	prepared	535:1	602:15
558:19 559:1	possible	507:3 565:25	previous	problematic
559:13,20	439:23	preparing	563:17	527:14
563:18,20,23	487:14	544:25	582:15	problems
563:23	501:21 536:7	present 431:13	previously	496:1,2 497:9
564:10,19,23	552:3,6	432:3,8	509:8 616:15	508:10 513:4
565:8 566:14	559:16 578:6	448:22 450:8	Prewitt 444:25	526:17 530:6
570:9,12	599:3	525:7 530:5	478:8	531:7 574:10
573:12	possibly	549:21,25	primarily	574:11
575:24 576:8	538:21	580:6 582:12	504:16	procedure
576:10	post 495:4,5	593:8,13	523:17	530:11
578:16,17,22	506:23	presentation	primary 495:20	procedures
579:2,11,19	534:15	434:10	principles	458:6 533:23
579:19	614:12	505:20	536:13 583:1	538:23
580:18 581:5	post-dating	570:20	print 562:20	proceed 435:7
581:17	509:16	571:23	prior 457:13	436:1 455:10
582:19 583:9	post-treatme...	572:11	461:16	482:3 484:5
583:11,15,19	554:16	583:15	462:19,20,25	494:17
583:22,24	posts 576:3	presentations	467:18,25	544:14 585:8
584:3,7,19,25	potential	549:12	472:25 473:4	587:15 595:4

596:19	496:25 497:3	555:1,17,19	527:9,13,18	485:20 500:4
597:12 598:5	497:4 528:14	556:11	proposition	527:19
610:17	550:24	561:20,24,24	558:13	providing
proceeding	594:16	562:3,6	pros 506:1	441:13
455:7 483:16	professionals	566:23,24	prospect	483:24
514:3 543:19	495:25	567:3,7 571:7	608:15	565:10
616:23	496:10 497:6	575:11,12	protect 500:16	proving 578:17
proceedings	497:7	577:16 578:6	protocol	provision
435:1 617:4,5	program	584:20 585:5	441:14	474:8,11
618:5	436:22,25	585:8 594:7	445:16 446:9	476:8,19
process	437:1,3,5,7	594:18	449:14 450:2	538:2
474:14 476:7	437:10,11,19	598:22 599:1	455:23	provisions
477:1 486:21	437:20,21,21	599:5,9,13,17	464:12	484:24
486:24 487:2	437:24	600:5 601:13	471:10,11	485:16,20
487:4,8,15,20	438:12,16,24	601:19 602:6	533:15	486:2
488:7,16,23	439:3,12,15	602:17 603:2	protocols	psychiatric
488:24 489:4	439:20 440:2	604:7 606:9	458:6,9,15	444:25 472:6
489:9,16	440:10,11,14	606:10,11,13	459:5,6	508:4 514:25
490:10 495:9	440:18 441:7	606:15 607:7	496:14 531:5	614:15
500:10,11	441:13 442:2	608:5,13	532:24	psychiatrist
523:11,15	442:17	614:9	533:12	501:23 600:3
530:5,16	443:22,23,23	programs	prove 440:22	Psychological
533:16 535:7	444:1,11,23	437:13 468:4	441:1	510:11
535:10	445:2,11,19	497:8 505:18	proved 560:11	psychologist
537:12	450:12	530:14	583:22	472:9
577:24	452:16	534:21	proven 558:20	PTSD 607:9
584:23 609:9	455:17,18	542:21	578:14	public 439:24
processed	456:3,4,8,11	550:22,25	proves 583:25	publication
489:8	458:4,7 461:7	554:19	590:18	504:25
processes	462:4 464:1	555:13	provide 438:13	published
490:16	467:21 468:8	561:18 571:4	439:23	501:25
produce	468:11	571:14 578:7	480:13	502:22,24
465:10	470:19	593:22,22	498:20 500:1	503:1 505:11
produced	471:24,25	600:1	500:10 508:2	508:4 563:2
464:5 465:1,5	472:1,16	prohibitions	579:21	568:10
479:23	480:13	538:19	provided 460:9	583:20
536:25	495:23,25	promoted	461:2 464:9	PUCKETT
product	496:7 497:5,6	437:14,16	464:21	431:9
504:11	507:16,18,19	proof 514:15	496:17	pull 570:22
products	509:12,17	514:17,20	512:19 513:9	punch 569:22
608:22	529:19,23	proper 506:1	527:10	570:2
profession	535:21	531:3	529:17	puncture
480:10	539:23 540:3	properly	543:23	531:16,19
610:25	540:12	442:22	provides	purpose
professional	547:19,24	516:10 519:8	474:12,22	437:18
495:23	548:2,8	530:11 532:4	475:22	448:25
496:18,19,20	554:10,15,22	proposed	483:20	461:21

465:22 466:7 481:14,19,22 482:2 483:8 514:5 520:24 538:18 purposes 438:9 452:4 481:20,22 515:1 518:6 529:3 pursuant 523:25 530:14 purview 500:12 put 437:12 442:21,22 447:25 448:1 533:2 551:14 555:14 562:11 565:23 589:9 589:13 597:7 PWA 473:11 473:15,18	455:13,22 458:13 462:18,23 463:3 465:7 465:18 470:22 476:1 477:1,11 480:20 482:3 482:3,9 483:16 484:6 486:23 487:5 492:3 523:3 529:18 535:19 536:12 538:24 548:21,22 554:9 559:7 560:8 566:6 566:13 575:25 581:9 586:8 591:9 591:24 593:4 605:21 608:6 question's 592:16 questions 436:21 442:21,23,25 454:12,24 455:9 461:24 462:7 481:16 492:4,15,18 540:14 548:18 550:7 551:4 558:21 559:24 576:12,13 577:8 587:14 590:10 594:3 595:5,8 603:10 609:14 615:24 616:8 616:11 quick 492:3 515:9	quickly 479:6 quite 437:7 441:4 464:17 519:9 554:19 quoted 524:17 quotes 525:16 <hr/> R <hr/> RACHEL 431:21 Rachel.Sam... 431:24 racist 451:5 raise 435:13 450:24 493:8 597:15 609:22 raised 590:20 593:4 random 445:10 445:12,17 480:18 562:2 575:11,12 range 528:4 555:23,24 557:23 rapid 521:21 rapidly 501:20 Rarely 556:3 rate 527:25 528:4,9 raw 509:22 re-cross 492:17 587:14 Re-Direct 433:8,13 re-enter 470:25 480:9 539:23 re-entered 470:13 re-treatment 443:7,11,14 443:15 446:20 447:6 447:8 452:20	484:8,14,22 585:25 reach 441:18 reached 446:3 457:21 reaching 505:1 react 603:23 read 459:4 481:20 525:16 526:24 530:22 535:18 539:8 547:3,9,14,17 563:3,9,12,13 566:4 569:1,4 572:7 574:1,2 reads 481:5 527:5 535:20 564:22 ready 492:23 real 511:14 515:8 526:7 558:23 560:18 really 437:11 438:10 439:4 443:9 450:18 451:20 512:5 521:5 525:10 528:16,17 540:10 553:10,16 578:21 579:5 580:10 586:16 589:24 605:22 606:23 realm 489:3 reason 458:11 458:12 465:15 494:11 526:18 528:13 596:6 reasonable	517:7 reasons 452:10 478:22 531:9 584:17 recall 448:21 449:12,13 451:8 466:6 467:5,10 472:17,21,24 473:3,6,10 478:18,19 480:23 529:10 545:19 580:16 receive 445:16 469:8 received 478:20 514:25 603:14 receives 443:3 receiving 469:5,12 499:19 recognize 489:25 490:2 490:3,6 recognized 442:15 recollection 450:22 451:2 469:5,12 recommend 507:15 540:5 recommend... 487:17 489:10,12,13 516:16 534:4 549:11 recommend... 507:14 531:8 recommended 540:1 reconnect 542:11
---	---	--	--	--

reconsider 451:11	recurrences 580:9	466:5 471:15 508:19	485:17,22 486:4 507:17	rely 456:7 518:11 521:2
reconvene 595:20	red 532:1 563:13 570:2	516:11 527:4 592:17,19	512:4 540:2 558:8 578:24	530:8 536:21 561:18
record 435:4 436:7 444:10	redirect 486:17 486:18 577:7	refers 511:12 519:2	593:23,24 594:14,19	relying 466:16 584:11
467:17 470:23	577:9 616:10	reflect 477:13 508:22	relapsed 594:15	remains 502:2
475:21 481:21	redundant 576:14	512:25	602:13	remember 463:8 535:13
486:11,14,15 490:22,24	refer 444:4 466:5 505:22	reflects 514:7 514:12	relapses 548:20	546:9 574:25 591:13
491:24,25 492:1 495:10	509:21 518:19	refute 553:25 regarding	593:25	remembered 451:8
525:16 539:9 540:17,22,24	reference 472:13	488:19 566:11	related 456:6 459:8 482:5	Remote 432:9 493:15 494:9
540:25 559:4 565:14	507:17 510:9 510:10	regimented 440:14	485:16 500:20 510:2	remove 515:2 renal 589:23
576:24,25 595:3,23	511:10 513:18	regional 488:11	579:16	590:15,16 repeat 502:20
596:3,22,23 598:11	518:24 526:24 527:8	registers 574:21	relates 485:10 relating 456:14	542:8 590:6 rephrase
609:20,21 610:23	527:23 533:12 542:6	regular 571:20 regularly 472:3	503:1 526:5 relations 487:6	470:22 report 434:14
614:13 616:7	565:15,15,19 565:20 566:7	570:23 607:10	relationship 549:6 611:22	436:17 469:5 469:8 472:2
recorded 617:4	567:22 568:19 570:8	regulated 526:6,10	614:22 relative 617:7	508:17,20 511:21 513:1
records 499:8 recourse 607:1	571:2 574:17 614:19	534:23 578:7 regulations	617:9 618:7 relatively	515:2 524:23 543:18,22
recovering 441:11	referenced 608:7 615:18	567:11,14,18 regulatory	577:17 relegated	545:8,9 546:3 546:8 547:3
505:10 recovery	references 514:19	541:25 rehabilitation	585:10 relevance	551:13 569:8 569:25 592:5
440:12 441:9 443:21	564:16 565:5 referencing	474:9 484:7 507:19	473:25 474:5 relevant 455:9	603:23 reported
444:15,17 445:5 450:25	503:8 referral 557:11	509:16 599:2 608:13	474:1 483:15 498:5 511:22	430:23 436:18
495:23 496:15,18	referred 487:16 505:1	rein 470:20 reissuance	reliability 458:1 463:15	511:23 520:8 520:8 536:2
505:14 509:23	509:12 516:12	480:5 rejecting	577:17 578:12	reportedly 603:14
512:12 547:2 554:11 614:1	545:14 560:17 568:9	513:24 relapse 442:16	reliable 461:2 463:21 464:2	reporter 435:4 486:14
recreation 612:18	referring 461:25 462:1	442:18 443:4 443:8,13,20	526:8 reliably 463:21	491:24 492:1 497:13 503:7
RECROSS 587:17		471:6 472:14 474:10 484:9	relied 458:4 512:23	506:3,7 508:12,15
			528:21	

524:7,9 527:6 540:20,24 542:8,11,15 576:24 604:20 609:20,25 617:1 reporting 538:21 reports 512:24 543:23 569:7 570:9 represent 450:19 representati... 483:14 representative 431:15 538:7 representati... 483:13 488:18,24 571:5,15,15 574:7 represented 583:14 request 543:7 577:3 requests 543:21 require 567:15 required 462:12 480:9 500:22 536:24 537:9 539:18 548:3 601:13 requirement 443:6 471:23 472:2,6,9 523:10 567:6 567:9 requirements 440:22 446:24 522:8 529:25 requires 536:11	573:11 research 501:24,24 521:5,16 591:7 reserve 596:8 Residences 443:21 residency 495:14 resides 600:22 residual 582:11 resistance 606:19 609:3 resolution 474:16 476:7 resolve 474:18 476:6,10,20 490:17 resolved 476:2 resorting 474:18 Resources 498:17 respect 442:24 456:13 460:18 461:3 470:2 477:2 485:7,21 501:8 506:9 511:7 512:16 515:22 518:5 523:8 539:13 544:19 respectable 596:15 respond 475:16 response 466:22 513:21 540:20 551:4 responses 486:3 579:19 responsibilit... 539:11	responsibility 439:25 452:21 responsible 469:21 499:18 521:19 rest 550:5 608:17 restate 473:17 resting 596:4 restored 471:1 507:15 restricted 522:6 restrictions 538:9 result 443:25 449:22 460:7 460:13 461:3 464:19 465:13 466:1 478:15 479:1 481:9 483:23 488:1 500:15 518:21 526:4 532:17 533:21 536:1 536:1,4,10,21 547:12 551:20 552:4 559:13 591:22 result's 558:13 results 434:5 445:14 460:18 463:3 463:23 464:8 464:24,25 465:6,11 472:23 476:12 477:12 481:4 481:10 489:6 500:14 519:9 530:12 531:7 532:4,8 534:7	535:22 536:9 538:14,22 539:4 550:17 563:17,18,21 563:24 564:19 565:8 566:14 567:15 569:3 569:5,12,15 570:12 572:15 580:19 589:12 resume 507:9 507:12 retain 484:15 retained 506:14,17 587:11 retest 589:25 retired 457:5,5 604:24 retrained 530:19 retreatment 451:15 453:9 470:14,17,18 470:25 471:1 471:6,14,17 472:15,18 492:12 539:23 540:2 return 441:24 470:20 472:15,18 484:6,7,7 599:9 returned 446:11 451:17 452:19 466:2 466:13 534:5 review 461:8 461:12,16 462:12,15 467:7,17 498:8,9,15	499:13,14 500:2,10 509:22 514:6 528:9 534:20 535:21,22 536:23 537:5 539:18 567:15 reviewed 518:20 551:15,16 559:12 reviewing 461:21 489:5 499:6,19 538:14 reviews 481:3 524:20 rid 563:13 ride 467:22 right 435:13 437:12 444:19 445:6 445:24 446:16 453:14,15 475:1 476:11 476:25 483:4 490:4,21 491:23 493:9 509:20 517:13 531:6 544:5 556:10 559:14 561:19 562:15 563:7 564:3,15,16 564:21,21 565:4 566:2 569:9,10 570:6,18 571:11 573:2 573:5,7,8,8 573:10 575:14,17,17 576:17 586:13
---	--	---	--	---

587:13	456:10	saw 494:14	550:8	506:19,20
590:12,13	487:25 488:7	584:10	scroll 481:1	517:1 519:15
592:4 594:24	rushed 595:25	saying 477:12	503:10,11	524:4 529:16
596:8 601:11		481:24	507:5 508:18	535:17 539:7
603:18,18	S	521:19 539:9	510:8 511:9	544:16 545:9
605:19 606:1	SACGHS	553:19 569:5	512:8 526:16	547:14 550:4
607:24	524:23	569:6,6,14	526:21	550:12 557:1
608:18	safe 452:24	570:16	531:10	560:23
right-hand	578:6 603:3	574:24,25	532:21	562:15,17,20
564:5 609:23	safeguards	576:5 588:17	533:11	562:21
rigor 525:19	539:14	605:3	537:25 538:2	564:17
risk 442:16,17	safest 439:23	says 474:23	539:11	565:25
507:17	safety 439:21	493:21 504:5	seamlessly	570:10,11
585:19 587:2	453:4	518:20	491:5	572:4 579:11
587:7 593:24	Sager 614:14	529:16	search 501:21	583:9,10
roiled 494:20	SAMHSA	531:14	sec 545:11	587:22,25
role 462:17	502:6,23	532:22,22	546:10	590:8,18
470:1 499:12	523:11,13,19	538:3 539:10	second 445:22	597:8 606:24
500:18	525:19,21,24	545:12 546:2	465:16 483:1	606:25
501:10	sample 460:9	564:5,9 565:2	500:6 505:8	607:13,14,21
534:25	464:9,20	569:7,12	512:9 524:13	608:3,4 612:8
566:21 567:1	481:18 533:5	573:5,17	527:17	613:22
567:4 580:18	581:13,16	575:23 576:3	563:15	seeing 524:13
594:17	582:16	592:5	564:22	seeking
602:23 605:7	589:13	scary 608:15	566:19	584:20
roles 567:4	samples	scene 597:7	570:11	seen 521:3
romantic	527:11	schedule	614:17,17	530:6 550:20
611:22 612:2	529:20 530:8	601:4 608:16	secondary	550:21,23,24
612:7	SAMSA 516:12	school 495:13	608:9	578:19
room 435:19	SAMUDA	scientific	seconds	589:21
569:18	431:21	551:25	453:12	Seham 431:17
597:23	San 495:15	553:10	482:21 595:1	431:18,18
610:14	505:19	558:12,25	607:19	433:7,12,13
roommate	sanitizer 551:6	559:11	Secretary	433:16,19
451:5 614:3	Sanitizing	590:11,13,18	502:11	442:20
ruin 584:19	503:15	scope 474:6	section 473:11	447:10,13
rules 499:15	SARAH 431:5	scoped 618:5	473:16,18,21	453:24 454:2
499:17	satisfaction	SCOPIST	473:23,24	454:4 455:2
523:18	514:10	618:1	474:7,11	455:11,16
530:17 531:8	satisfied 522:7	scores 509:22	475:7 476:9	460:5 461:23
534:1 577:25	satisfy 480:14	Scott 456:16	477:1 535:18	474:4 475:14
578:2,5	514:10	456:18	sections	475:16,18
ruling 447:11	save 439:3	SCRAM 556:4	490:13	476:24
run 456:7	475:6,6	screen 445:4	see 439:2	481:22
487:20	484:11	446:14	445:9 476:16	482:10,14,20
555:13	saved 606:12	447:25	493:22,22	482:24 483:5
running	608:5	530:22 550:4	503:13	483:12 484:4

486:13,16	437:16 541:9	460:1,5,13	589:22	613:6 615:12
488:20	sense 513:5	516:22 519:1	590:11	616:8
490:25 491:3	586:23	531:5 601:5	showing 505:4	sit 601:24,24
491:10,13,16	senses 613:16	617:12	513:9	site 529:12
491:19	sensitive	sets 458:24	shown 568:18	situation 439:4
492:16,18,25	532:3 553:8	setting 501:2	609:8	446:1 453:1
493:3,7	553:11,12,13	513:24	shows 471:11	488:19,25
494:17,18	553:17 565:6	518:12 523:5	shuffling	489:6 556:24
495:2 497:10	572:13 573:9	526:7 530:7	464:17	557:4,14
497:18,19	575:3 576:4,9	settings	side 456:15,16	606:15
503:4 506:8	593:2	493:20	544:10	situations
506:23	sensitivity	499:20	596:18	549:13
513:15,21	527:19 572:5	513:25	604:10,10,12	556:12 558:3
515:7,19	sent 494:2	528:14	604:12	six 502:15
516:2,4 524:4	497:8 540:12	seven 524:18	signatories	516:14
524:8,11,16	562:9 570:19	575:23	485:1	524:18
534:15	sentence	611:17	signature	543:18,22
540:14	502:21 506:4	seven-minute	507:8	544:13
543:10,16	508:13	486:12	signatures	552:20
544:9 551:4	512:10 564:4	shake 493:16	489:23 490:2	569:18
559:4 560:1	564:18,20,22	494:14	signed 474:21	573:19
562:12	566:14 568:5	She'll 609:25	significant	578:20
567:21 571:8	568:12 569:2	shelf 561:1	443:23 553:1	591:11,17
577:7,8,10	569:6,11,24	shifts 616:18	564:23	six-month
587:13 588:9	572:12	shipment	568:15	479:24
589:17	sentences	581:14	577:16 587:3	skin 504:15
591:20 592:4	530:22	shocked 604:1	significantly	582:12,15
592:16 595:7	separate	shoo 605:3	569:21	skip 454:11
595:8,12,14	475:25	shop 608:21	similar 539:14	548:16
595:21 596:9	528:10	short 580:11	556:12 561:2	Skipper 433:11
596:14	579:13	shorter 601:15	579:3	491:1 492:24
597:12,13	separated	shortly 552:11	simple 537:12	493:2,4,14,15
598:4,9	440:3	shot 608:12	599:21	493:25 494:8
609:10,13	September	should've	simply 481:15	494:11,16,22
610:17,21	444:17,23	543:11	481:20	494:23 495:6
615:24 616:2	552:9 563:2	544:13	single 468:12	497:15,17
616:11,12,15	570:21	show 525:6	573:9	503:9 506:9
616:20	series 445:9	528:17	sir 435:9 444:9	507:1 513:18
select 493:19	serum 532:1	559:12,15	448:2 453:21	514:8 515:10
493:24 494:3	serve 502:11	562:23	482:1 494:3,7	515:17,20,24
selected	served 501:7	585:15	508:13	516:3 518:18
527:18	serves 538:3	590:14,15	544:19 550:6	541:3,5
send 443:19	service 496:17	showed	557:20 574:2	542:13,16,19
557:5 558:1	services	438:21	576:18 595:6	544:22,23
sending	461:19 473:8	452:17	597:17	550:15 559:6
556:19	502:5 525:1	468:22	598:12	562:10,16,16
senior 436:18	set 459:13	551:19	599:10 600:9	563:9 565:25

566:4,20 568:6 569:3 570:10,23 571:12 572:6 574:1 577:3 592:2 595:6,9 595:10,11 Skipper's 434:9,10,17 514:6,22 570:20 596:1 skirt 603:10 slide 572:5,11 572:20,21 573:24 574:4 sly 613:14 small 495:25 562:20 581:1 smarter 518:14 581:7 Soberlink 556:3,21 574:14 socialize 612:16 socialized 613:7 Society 495:18 498:11,13 solely 518:11 solid 557:25 somebody 446:1 475:8 478:3 492:9 513:6 522:21 540:7 556:19 557:5,24 575:20,21,23 580:7,10 582:12 584:7 585:17 586:4 586:21 589:21 591:16 594:14 somebody's 578:4 594:13	somewhat 573:16 son 613:2,2,3 613:4,8,10 615:5,7 sorry 464:16 473:17 481:23 483:21 486:8 491:16 493:21 502:17 506:3 522:11 526:16,17 527:2 542:8 548:21 561:22 565:19 569:7 589:19 593:17 597:11,14 598:17 600:8 604:19 607:14 sort 517:24 526:20 572:13 sound 438:7 449:3 453:3 577:20 source 463:21 512:16,25 sources 504:1 505:4 516:25 south 440:13 speak 497:15 546:11 547:4 571:1,4,7 606:3 speaking 435:9 571:24 573:18 speaks 444:11 special 443:4 443:13 445:7 447:1,18 541:17	561:17 specialty 454:23 specific 440:25 476:19 488:19 538:16 543:11,12 572:14 specifically 451:4 461:10 467:13 479:3 483:14 599:19 specificity 527:20 528:18 570:9 570:13 572:5 specifics 436:20 439:15 460:4 480:2 specimen 465:4,9 481:7 529:8,20,25 530:15 531:3 532:23 533:14,24 536:6 specimens 500:11 529:2 535:24 spectral 533:12 speculation 488:20 speech 602:10 spelled 467:8 467:9 spend 580:1 spends 613:2 spent 494:19 spirit 484:11 split 446:8 spoke 472:22 482:17 555:7	spoken 571:6 sponsor 440:15 601:21 sponsorship 447:3,5,17 sports 504:10 spot 465:23 479:19 529:16 530:23 533:2 533:18 569:19,22 570:4 576:4 593:5,6,13 603:12 spot's 581:11 581:12 spots 581:9 spray 504:11 squeezing 531:25 stability 569:13,15 stable 569:18 stage 520:18 stages 441:4 stakes 441:16 441:22 stand 508:1 573:18 609:2 standard 445:1 445:16 446:9 455:23 458:6 460:17 464:12 480:13,18 508:1 517:16 527:25 528:3 591:5,6 standards 480:14 535:6 592:9 stands 454:13 463:6 start 495:4 560:13	578:24 587:2 started 437:14 497:5 579:9 586:13 starting 446:15 510:14 539:7 starts 580:7 state 436:6 442:24 505:18 514:14 554:22,25 566:10 598:10 610:22 stated 471:8 478:22 616:15 statement 481:16,21,24 525:15 552:1 560:1 564:11 564:24 565:12 568:13,17 statements 483:25 514:2 states 500:7 507:14 512:11 529:24 530:13 550:16 statistics 438:20 status 510:12 510:14,17 stay 613:25 stayed 458:6 470:18 605:14,15 step 445:21 448:7,9 481:23 492:11 533:11 566:15
--	---	--	--	---

601:19 STEPHANIE 618:15 steps 445:6 531:2 574:13 Steve 432:5 436:18 STONEBER... 430:24 617:3 617:17 stop 544:5 564:21 593:14 611:20 stopped 475:1 stored 569:13 569:16 STORYCLO... 430:25 617:18 strategy 574:5 Street 431:6,18 strenuous 523:15 strenuously 513:20 strike 507:25 stringent 523:16 strong 438:17 441:3 stronger 438:18 stuck 582:14 604:13 students 558:23 studied 532:20 536:19 582:4 studies 502:25 503:1 505:1,3 516:23 528:24 529:3 558:12,19,22 559:1,11,15 581:1 584:18 590:11,14	study 503:14 503:18,20 504:25 528:17 558:23 564:6 564:12 568:7 568:21 569:6 569:25 579:11 580:19,21,22 580:24,25 581:1 582:22 583:3,6,10,11 584:15 590:18 598:22 stuff 608:22 subheading 503:11 510:12 subject 444:24 453:23 458:25 474:24 475:3 492:10 604:5 611:8 subjective 589:4 subjects 564:10 580:19 583:4 submit 514:12 submittal 450:10 submitted 544:11 submitting 514:4 515:1 subpoena 544:11 subscribed 618:10 subsequent 449:18 450:21 478:15 499:15	586:18 subsequently 448:18 substance 437:21,21,22 437:22 438:1 438:9,20,21 458:18 459:19 461:21 462:2 462:5 470:3 496:1,11 498:5,5 501:1 506:19 508:9 508:11,14,21 510:3 514:9 523:8 525:7 542:21 599:17 substances 574:23 substituted 500:14 536:5 success 441:6 successful 440:21 successfully 444:1 451:16 470:18,25 480:4 599:9 successive 531:17 532:5 Sudafed 459:24 460:3 sued 518:2 suffered 486:3 suffers 485:21 sufficiently 459:13 460:2 suggest 581:1 585:8 588:18 suggested 520:11 524:23 suggesting 520:13 suggestions	528:19 suggests 509:8 Suite 431:6,22 sulfate 481:4 summary 572:13 596:16 supervise 554:14 supervising 469:21 supervision 470:7 530:2 supervisor 469:25 supplement 543:8 544:3 577:4 supplements 504:5 support 438:14 439:9 440:17 441:3 441:9,12,14 441:17 443:18,23 498:20 512:20 558:13 563:22 supported 437:24 443:9 supports 514:13 552:1 615:7 supposed 512:22 599:24 sure 435:8 451:7 453:13 461:14 463:12 467:8 470:16 477:24 485:4 486:10 495:13	500:24 515:9 522:3 546:4 553:16 554:4 556:14 561:11 562:14 567:5 568:22 576:1 594:21 595:24 599:23,25 600:2,23 604:3,11 609:18 616:6 surgeon 541:21 571:19 surprised 614:24 surroundings 613:18 survivor 611:20 sustain 482:13 sway 586:5 swear 435:12 435:14 493:10 597:18 610:6 swearing 493:7 597:14 609:23 swim 612:20 Swiss 501:23 switch 603:7 sworn 436:3 494:24 597:13 598:7 610:19 synthesize 483:25 syrups 504:5 system 435:10 458:23 459:14,24 479:8 490:12 493:5 578:11 585:5 607:2,3
---	--	---	---	--

607:3,9 systems 608:18	540:17 547:7 547:10 548:15 580:4 605:5,19	491:9 519:6 525:11 544:23 566:3 574:5 580:16	488:2 492:10 539:24 540:3 577:23	478:15,19,20 479:2,11,23 479:25 480:2 480:17,18 481:7 489:5 493:23 500:14,15 501:11 503:24 504:1 504:18 506:20 513:9 518:12,21 519:1,3,25 520:25 521:2 521:7,9,18,23 522:8,15 523:3,6,21 525:6 527:19 528:4,15 532:3,17 533:25 534:2 534:4,6,10,14 535:11 536:1 536:9,10,25 537:9,22 538:5,5,14,16 538:22 539:19 547:12 548:19 549:2 549:14,20 550:17 551:7 551:7,17 552:11,15,21 552:25 553:8 553:8,12,14 553:14,15,24 553:25 556:19,25 557:10,16,24 558:13 559:21,25 560:24 561:1 562:5 564:19 567:2,2,15 569:19 572:14,15,17
T	talked 449:13 551:14 552:8 574:14 579:16 582:25 583:21 585:13 586:22 590:19 593:20	telling 514:11 514:21 tells 594:2 temperature 569:18 tempted 559:8 tend 580:8 tendency 515:15 tender 506:8 term 478:25 532:10,13 terminate 434:6 436:10 447:24 451:12 452:11 455:1 461:17 462:20,25 482:7 486:6 489:13 490:10 terminated 470:14 471:2 471:5 605:8 605:10,11 terminating 455:20 467:18 termination 434:4 452:6 452:14 454:8 457:13 464:25 468:1 469:19 472:25 473:4 473:7 474:19 474:24 475:3 477:21 478:6 478:9,12 479:17 483:19 484:19,20	terms 442:21 442:22 458:2 459:18 460:6 463:16 464:19 469:2 469:9 470:6,6 474:14 476:6 479:11 483:18 485:5 485:6,20 486:2 489:5 490:8 518:10 524:4 525:19 533:8 554:15 578:17 585:1 585:23 602:14,23 612:18 613:19 terrible 589:23 test 445:12,14 445:15,17,22 445:23,24 446:5,7 447:8 449:19 450:4 450:6,7,13,16 450:21 451:20 452:17 454:16,16,18 455:14 458:2 460:8 463:10 463:11,16,18 463:18,19 464:8,20 465:16,17,22 466:7,8,11,17 466:18,21,24 466:25 467:12,15 470:24 472:23 476:12 477:12	
T-O-P-A-N-G... 498:18 tactic 543:24 take 447:14 452:14 478:21 486:10,11 490:20 492:11 523:4 539:18 543:20 550:11 554:7 555:22 563:25 564:14,20 565:1 566:19 567:24 571:12 572:1 572:19 573:24 576:15 595:19,23 603:4 taken 445:7 449:18 450:20 518:5 544:13 579:23 609:3 takes 448:8 517:24 576:10 581:21 594:5 Talbott 440:12 444:15,16 450:25 478:11 509:13,17,23 547:2 614:1 Talbott's 614:9 talk 478:5,8 493:23 511:15	talking 461:18 461:20 544:7 548:5 571:24 576:6 580:14 586:10 604:13 615:9 talks 505:25 tampered 536:6 teach 554:6 teaches 606:16 team 455:22 456:1 457:22 463:24 TECH 493:15 494:9 technical 494:19 569:2 technician 432:9 536:25 technicians 497:11 teetotaler 591:23 592:3 teetotalers 551:23 telemedicine 545:4,15 tell 451:4 452:9 470:17 473:20 489:24 490:1	talked 449:13 551:14 552:8 574:14 579:16 582:25 583:21 585:13 586:22 590:19 593:20 talking 461:18 461:20 544:7 548:5 571:24 576:6 580:14 586:10 604:13 615:9 talks 505:25 tampered 536:6 teach 554:6 teaches 606:16 team 455:22 456:1 457:22 463:24 TECH 493:15 494:9 technical 494:19 569:2 technician 432:9 536:25 technicians 497:11 teetotaler 591:23 592:3 teetotalers 551:23 telemedicine 545:4,15 tell 451:4 452:9 470:17 473:20 489:24 490:1	488:2 492:10 539:24 540:3 577:23 terms 442:21 442:22 458:2 459:18 460:6 463:16 464:19 469:2 469:9 470:6,6 474:14 476:6 479:11 483:18 485:5 485:6,20 486:2 489:5 490:8 518:10 524:4 525:19 533:8 554:15 578:17 585:1 585:23 602:14,23 612:18 613:19 terrible 589:23 test 445:12,14 445:15,17,22 445:23,24 446:5,7 447:8 449:19 450:4 450:6,7,13,16 450:21 451:20 452:17 454:16,16,18 455:14 458:2 460:8 463:10 463:11,16,18 463:18,19 464:8,20 465:16,17,22 466:7,8,11,17 466:18,21,24 466:25 467:12,15 470:24 472:23 476:12 477:12	

572:17	515:5,21	556:5 559:18	579:12 580:5	510:20 522:2
575:11,11,16	548:17	559:23	582:24	531:21 534:9
576:7,7	560:18 561:7	561:18,20,22	583:22 584:4	534:10 579:6
578:16	561:23,23	561:23,24,24	584:7,19,21	606:24
579:14 581:5	566:21	562:2,5	585:8,15	things 437:9
581:18	567:23	563:20,23	589:3 590:12	440:25
582:19 583:1	591:21 596:1	565:9 566:11	Texas 505:19	481:13
583:11,15,19	596:4,17	566:23 567:8	texts 595:15	503:23
583:24	597:19 610:7	567:12,19	thank 435:6,18	504:22
584:21 585:2	testing 441:14	571:25	435:25	510:16,19
585:3,6,7	444:24 450:2	572:14,22,23	453:21	512:21
589:7,8,10	450:3 454:18	572:25	455:12 460:6	521:25 522:1
590:22,22,25	454:24	575:19	481:2 482:20	528:16 551:6
591:1,3,8,18	455:14,15	577:11,12,16	483:6 486:17	552:7 555:6
591:21	458:19 459:5	577:25 578:2	490:7 492:19	556:22 557:8
592:11,18,19	459:6,19	578:7 579:8	492:20,22	560:25
592:20,21	461:1,7,9,22	583:2,8	497:18	578:23
594:22	461:25	584:10,16,23	502:25	582:17
596:18	463:25 464:2	585:1 586:7	503:12 505:7	585:16
603:15,24	465:4,9,20	586:17,18	506:7,13	586:25 587:1
605:4 607:1	471:10,11,18	587:4,24	508:15,17,19	590:17
607:10 608:2	479:19 481:4	588:1,5 590:6	515:18,20	601:22
608:11,24	498:6,24	590:15,21	516:2 518:20	602:20 603:4
614:25	500:9,11,17	594:4,5,12,18	524:9 527:4	604:10,11
tested 445:18	500:22,23	594:22	531:13	616:21
478:16	505:17	606:13	535:17 541:6	think 440:19
608:17	506:11	607:23 611:9	544:19	450:11 452:4
testified	515:23 517:4	tests 434:13	563:16	452:4 455:10
482:17 543:4	517:10 519:7	445:10,13	565:18,21	461:23 462:8
543:5,9,13	520:16,19	449:18,21	576:23 577:6	462:13,21
544:2,6 551:6	521:4,16	450:16,20	580:13 595:6	465:7 471:15
568:5 579:18	522:3,4,24	466:12 477:9	595:9,10,11	474:5 476:22
593:1	523:8,17,20	480:3 499:5,9	595:12,21	482:15,18
testifies 436:3	526:4,5,6	499:20	596:21	483:3 484:2
494:24 598:7	533:16	510:11 512:3	597:22 598:4	492:23 497:2
610:19	534:21,23	516:5 521:12	609:10,19	499:3 502:9
testify 596:7	535:8,20	521:22	610:16,22	508:19 513:5
testifying	536:14 537:1	523:22 528:6	616:9,12,13	514:13 515:4
454:22 466:7	537:6,11,23	536:16	616:13	521:4,8 522:6
596:6	538:22,25,25	551:11 558:4	Thanks 486:13	522:14
testimony	539:15,17	558:20 560:2	613:7	524:12
435:15	543:14,15	560:5,10,13	theorized	525:23
447:14	544:6,7	560:14,19,25	582:18	528:21
454:25 455:4	547:23 548:3	561:3,11	thickness	529:21
455:4 466:6,7	548:8,16	567:2 577:18	532:8	531:11,12
470:11	552:10	577:21 578:4	thing 435:24	532:18
493:10 514:2	555:18 556:3	578:13 579:2	459:3 462:5,6	533:17

534:13	570:7 571:6	timely 500:15	562:22 563:8	Transportati...
535:12,13,14	600:7,8,9	544:10	568:24	500:4 529:24
535:15,16	thumps 571:11	times 446:21	572:20	530:14
537:16,18	time 436:14	455:24	Topanga	561:25 562:1
538:1 542:2	437:8 438:8	477:12	498:17	travel 439:23
547:15 549:5	438:24 441:5	519:18	total 438:22	traveling
550:6 551:13	443:17	526:25	545:22	439:24
553:12 554:4	444:18,20	528:15	591:15	TRC 440:12
559:6,22	446:15	559:22 571:6	totally 438:5	treat 439:9
560:10,13	447:16 455:9	575:15	475:8 520:21	540:6
561:3,21	455:20	590:23 606:4	522:3 532:19	treated 437:23
567:10,25	456:11	606:5 612:12	533:19	443:5 450:25
571:6 578:2	469:18,25	timing 504:17	575:22	464:13,18
578:12	477:4 479:16	tincture 504:5	581:12	466:25
579:14	479:17	504:6,6	touch 603:16	treating 460:7
583:23,23	483:19	title 457:4	touched	486:3
585:10,12,19	484:21	titled 503:14	439:18 581:8	treatment
587:7 588:5	487:18,19,24	507:1,14	touches	440:10 443:3
589:1,17	487:25 491:6	508:20	531:22	444:21 451:1
591:13,25	491:14,15	tkassin@for...	touching	468:23 480:9
593:16	492:20	431:8	504:15	485:17,21
594:10,20	502:10	today 517:16	toxicologist	495:25
603:3 607:16	507:17 512:1	595:6 596:12	456:5,21	496:10
613:12 616:3	512:2 515:16	596:20 616:9	457:10 489:5	507:21
616:20,21	515:16	616:22	toxicologists	509:12
third 511:11	519:22 533:3	today's 572:16	454:21	554:13
518:18	540:10	Todd 433:18	toxicology	555:13 587:8
524:12 572:2	545:22	511:12,13	457:19	594:15
THOMAS	547:18	609:22 610:3	549:18	tremendous
431:5	552:14 553:5	610:5,9,12,15	tragedy 584:10	441:7
thoroughly	555:15 556:4	610:18,24	trained 518:14	tried 439:8
536:18	565:23	614:20 615:8	training 467:21	516:9 602:18
thought 484:1	566:20 576:8	615:17 616:8	498:3 529:18	605:3
513:6 553:19	576:17,18	616:9,13	529:25 530:1	trip 600:23
563:16	578:23 579:4	Todd's 434:16	530:3,10	true 479:22
596:16	580:1,6,10	told 464:5,5,11	transcribed	512:18
611:20	583:9 585:13	548:11	617:6	550:15,19
thousand	586:9 595:6,9	Tom 435:8	TRANSCRIPT	553:9 559:14
516:20,22	595:17	453:16 541:3	435:1	560:1,5 573:8
517:7 518:15	596:15	609:14	transmit	582:3 594:1,2
thousands	600:21,24	tool 559:19,23	574:20	594:5 615:22
513:3 525:24	601:5 603:13	toolkit 555:25	transmitted	truth 435:16,16
threatening	603:17,19	tools 555:24	582:16	435:16
439:14	604:14	top 437:13	transparency	493:11,12,12
three 430:16	605:22 612:5	490:4 510:23	514:14,23,24	514:1,11,20
435:1 543:19	613:2 615:12	524:15,18	transport	597:20,20,20
543:20 558:4	616:14,18	539:7 545:12	533:14	610:8,8,8

<p>try 438:13 494:6 495:9 539:9 557:6,7 559:5 576:21 578:5 579:12 580:2,4 583:9 trying 474:3 482:22 484:11 494:2 516:25 526:7 540:8 559:10 560:16,21 561:21 569:13 571:3 574:25 581:7 585:3 601:4 603:10 turn 438:13 484:17 503:5 505:15 518:16,17 614:16 turned 521:25 548:13 turns 584:13 Twenty 615:12 twice 575:7 two 449:17,17 450:16 457:12 464:23 465:20 468:3 477:9 480:2 508:25 509:4 509:6 524:8 527:12 543:20 545:14,22 547:13,13 551:19 552:4 552:18 553:4 570:7 573:14 581:14 582:10,10 596:11,19 597:24 600:25</p>	<p>605:16 616:5 type 445:14 527:3 533:13 545:15 555:18 560:24 593:9 typewriting 617:6 typical 444:18 600:17 602:12 typically 440:11,18 443:19,20,24 484:13 529:2 573:6 583:8 601:19,23 typographical 509:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <p>Uh-huh 553:21 ultimately 487:17 489:9 umol/L 527:9 unacceptable 527:25 unbelievable 608:3 undergo 513:10 587:24 underlying 514:1 underneath 576:2 undersigned 618:3 understand 441:16 443:16 450:5 454:15 458:21 459:12 465:7 474:6 476:21 477:6,10,14 477:24</p>	<p>482:20 483:8 484:17 485:4 496:9 521:6 521:24 529:12 535:3 547:18 554:6 560:17 569:14 580:2 584:16,17 585:21 understanding 444:2 447:4 451:18 460:25 483:18 500:24 530:17 571:13 588:10 596:5 understands 440:22 understood 458:8,9 477:4 477:10,11 491:13,18 520:22 547:23 559:6 undetected 575:20 unfortunate 518:2 unfortunately 446:10 450:17 453:1 584:24 597:8 uniform 460:17 union 431:16 432:3,5,5 433:10 434:11 480:24,25 482:25 483:24 493:1 495:3,5 499:21,23 503:5 506:23</p>	<p>506:25 524:5 524:10 526:14,23 529:13 530:20 534:15,17 543:2 544:19 544:25 547:21 565:23 567:23,25 568:7 571:5 571:15 614:11,12 615:14,16,17 615:18 unions 574:7 United 529:24 530:13 550:16 University 495:14,15 unmute 607:17 607:18 609:25 610:1 unrelated 475:8 unreliability 513:16 unsettle 494:20 unusual 465:14 528:3 Update 505:17 uphold 485:24 upper 527:7 urinary 580:18 urine 445:12 445:15 460:9 464:9,9,20 465:4,9 501:18 503:24 518:21 519:1 519:6,6,9,10 519:13,19,21 520:7 522:24</p>	<p>549:20 556:2 561:24 564:10 572:17 574:22 589:12 594:22,23 603:17 USDTL 434:5 473:5 479:16 479:17 529:17,18 530:4 533:1 593:6 USDTL's 478:16 use 452:25 462:3 469:10 490:17 494:2 496:1 502:1 502:14 503:1 504:9 505:9 505:13,18 506:1,6,19 508:9,11,14 508:21,24 509:9 516:10 517:16 518:10 520:15 521:21 522:6 522:14,16,22 528:14 538:15 549:13 552:21 553:18 555:18 556:1 556:3,3 559:19 560:12 562:5 564:23 565:9 576:4 577:12 578:8,13 593:10 594:12,19 613:16,23</p>
--	--	---	--	--

useful 577:21	587:1	vitae 495:6	604:11	596:18,19,24
user-friendly 607:22	values 527:12 550:20	543:1 544:2 577:4	614:18	we're 447:13
uses 575:11 593:6	vapor 503:15 503:15,22	vital 441:9	wanted 449:16	474:3 477:17
usual 488:23	504:14	vitro 582:17	490:1 506:20	477:20
usually 448:7 517:13	608:23	volcano 532:10	544:10	487:24 491:4
555:14	variable 573:17	volume 430:16 435:1 569:23	553:20 566:6	492:23 495:4
557:15,15	variables 532:20	570:3	589:20 596:2	495:9 508:7
578:25	577:22	volunteer 440:4 442:9	608:7	511:16
586:20	579:13	444:13 468:5	wanting 578:13	514:19 522:3
590:24	variation 582:2	volunteers 440:2,3,8	601:12	528:18
601:24	varied 467:14	468:4,5	ward 608:9	532:18
ut 579:24	varies 553:2		Warern 604:6	535:15,15
utilized 517:12 599:2	various 496:21 510:21	W	Warren 604:14	543:20 544:2
V	VEOP 604:24	wait 596:24	604:17,21	544:3,4,5,7
V-DBS 569:18 569:19	verification 535:7,10	waiting 491:11	wash 504:10	546:15 556:7
vague 479:3,4 592:16	607:2	waive 596:18	wasn't 554:8 558:20	565:22
Vaguely 458:20	verify 606:7	Walgreens 561:1	582:20	577:18,23,24
valid 450:10 585:3,22	version 437:6 581:25	want 441:24 451:22	600:23	585:10,10,11
validate 444:3 450:9 453:2	versus 476:8 572:5 582:7	462:13	602:18 603:5	586:10 588:3
validated 443:8 450:16	viable 439:3	481:12	607:11	591:20
471:10 477:7	vice 436:15,19 436:23	483:16	waste 540:9	592:19
validation 451:19 569:8	437:16	484:16,19	water 519:21	596:22
validity 481:8 524:20,21	video 546:14 587:21	491:6 492:5	way 438:8 439:13 440:3	597:14
525:4,5,9,10	view 484:6 528:1 532:16	515:12	442:1 443:9	609:23
566:11	537:4 604:5	524:17 533:1	450:1,5,25	612:15
valuable 554:5 559:19,23	604:16,21	535:16,18	466:9 480:8	we've 441:6
value 467:12 505:24	vigor 536:14 539:3	540:6 541:4	499:6 501:17	453:19 490:7
519:15,15	violated 476:15 490:9	544:21	519:6,11	494:19 495:8
527:8,18,21	violating 475:4	548:15 549:4	521:18	513:17,19
534:6,13	violation 475:24 489:1	554:7 556:6	548:17,17	574:14 581:8
536:17	492:9	557:9 559:9	586:5 588:25	582:4 585:13
537:18 540:8	VIRTUAL 430:6	561:12 564:1	600:18,21,25	594:20
		576:13,14	601:4 603:17	weakness 512:25
		577:20,22	606:2 607:23	website 500:4
		578:10	608:18	week 543:8
		579:13	Wayne 488:14	544:4 552:18
		583:19 590:4	ways 471:15 506:1	575:7 576:3
		594:11,12,13	we'll 444:7	weeks 440:19 444:20
		594:18,21	447:13 448:5	552:20
		595:19	490:21,22	573:15,19,19
			491:22 501:6	591:11,17
			544:15	weigh 487:13
			570:19	weighs 607:12
			595:20	weight 447:15 450:18 534:3

well-being 600:4	447:3,5	working 448:7 548:10	531:21 532:12	0.2 527:9
went 451:1 452:19 490:9 495:13 501:20 520:23 555:7 564:3 600:24 602:17 603:2 603:8	withstanding 476:13	612:21	533:17	04/29/2008 505:19
weren't 558:20	witness 442:21 448:2 462:14 476:23 481:15 482:10 484:3 488:22 490:25 491:5 493:7 499:24 501:8 506:5 508:14 513:19 540:15 543:22 566:5 576:12 589:17 595:16 596:8 596:10 615:25 616:16 617:12 618:9	workplace 469:9 470:2,8 499:16 534:21 567:2	535:14,15,16 537:11 546:18 550:15 552:17 553:21,21 555:2,24 559:8 563:15 566:5 567:17 572:8 573:13 573:22 575:8 577:14 589:20 590:23 597:5 597:10,14 608:10 610:1 613:1	<hr/> 1 1 444:5 475:21 518:16,17 519:25 539:12 549:20 1-2 535:13 576:3 1-3 538:1 1-4 539:6 1-5 528:4 1,400 438:23 1.2 535:19 1/13/18 547:15 10 438:21 505:6 507:5 520:20 521:4 531:10 576:15 578:20 582:5 588:15 10,000 499:9 499:10 10:53 486:14 100 431:22 452:24 517:20 519:4 519:16,18 520:9 558:11 570:9,13 591:4 601:11 604:2 607:7 1010 431:10 10601 431:19 11 529:14 530:20 11:00 486:12 11:09 490:23 11:10 491:24 11:15 490:23 11:17 492:1 117 518:21 519:5,18
wet 533:4	witnesses 433:5,10 490:1 493:8 522:23 596:12,20 597:15 609:23 616:16	works 479:6 487:15	553:21,21 555:2,24 559:8 563:15 566:5 567:17 572:8 573:13 573:22 575:8 577:14 589:20 590:23 597:5 597:10,14 608:10 610:1 613:1	
wheat 528:10	wonder 581:10	worries 494:18	year 548:7 570:24 571:7 611:5	
whereabouts 612:14,25	word 497:14 535:6 536:22 564:16	would've 469:21 470:19,20 488:6,11,17 520:8 534:11	years 467:5 485:12 496:8 499:7 502:13 505:25 514:9 520:20 521:4 554:16,21 555:15,15 578:21 579:1 584:11 598:20 600:8 600:9 602:24 611:17 615:11,12	
wherefores 465:12	words 442:12 443:15 484:16 502:20 519:20 565:2 566:1	wouldn't 471:7 493:8 590:4	yielded 591:21	
WHEREOF 617:12 618:9	work 439:21 475:9 498:19 500:20 601:4	wrap 616:21	York 431:19	
White 431:19	worked 499:2 511:25 554:21	written 562:10	yu 503:14	
Wholly 455:4		wrong 534:14		
Whoops 597:11		wrote 562:21 562:25 583:20 615:18		
whys 465:12		<hr/> X <hr/>		
Wi-Fi 574:19		<hr/> Y <hr/>		
wick 531:15,18		yeah 446:16 447:12 456:2 462:10 464:18 466:23 470:6 474:4 485:3 491:16,19 494:10,16 498:19 502:7 503:10 505:6 505:7,11,12 507:6,6 508:3 508:17 511:1 511:3 512:19 519:1 521:13 528:12		
wife 602:17				
willing 453:7 600:20				
willingness 514:12,24				
window 479:24 552:14 553:5 573:14				
windows 574:23 575:1				
wine 504:20				
Wisconsin 444:12				
wise 611:20				
withdraw 480:20 486:8 577:3				
withdrawing 447:17,18 547:11				
withdrew				
			<hr/> Z <hr/>	
			Zavis 432:9	
			<hr/> 0 <hr/>	

Arbitration Proceedings - October 30, 2020

12 447:23 496:7 554:16 578:20	588:11 589:12	547:12 549:20 551:16 586:10 614:14,20	617:13 300 519:12 527:22 553:4 30354 431:11 431:23	49 523:9 567:17 495 433:12 434:17 499 434:18	
12:47 540:24 13 595:17 14 575:11,11 575:16 14,000 438:23 141 527:8,23 541:10 14th 444:17 15 473:11,16 473:18,21,23 473:24 474:7 474:11 475:7 476:9 477:2 499:7 519:12 520:20 532:21 595:20 16:0/18:1 527:7,12 17 505:15 527:10 618:12 17th 431:6 444:24 18 434:9 474:23 490:13 562:8 562:10 580:17,19 18-14 430:9 180 443:25 18th 447:2 19 434:10 490:13 533:11,12 570:17,20 1900 431:6 1952 508:7 199 431:18 1991 611:5 1st 444:16 445:11 446:15 460:9 464:15,21	2 2 434:4 437:13 452:1,3 474:22 475:21 509:19 510:8 510:14 511:9 539:12 2:00 491:16,19 491:20 20 519:11 528:6 551:16 558:14 559:1 559:13 575:24 584:10 592:7 20-year 615:13 200 439:1 2000 497:6 2001 501:14,16 502:10 2004 505:8 2005 499:4 2006 437:15 502:24 2008 451:11 503:12 2011 496:5 2012 502:24 2013 563:2 583:20 2017 444:16,17 444:24 612:6 613:23 614:23 2018 437:17 445:11,25 446:15 447:2 447:24 448:19 452:6 460:9 461:1 464:21 487:24 488:1 519:25	2019 506:14 552:9 570:21 570:25 586:10 2020 430:18 435:2 617:13 618:12 20th 448:16 22 598:20 606:22 221 570:12 23 547:14 552:9 570:21 24 434:12 526:14,23 567:23,25 568:7 24th 614:20 25 434:13 524:6,8,10 526:15 552:9 570:21 257 518:22 519:17,18 26 568:21 271 431:6 28 615:11,13 28-year-old 614:21	3 3 434:5 489:20 489:21 509:1 510:24 539:12 3:00 491:14,17 576:16,18,24 3:10 576:19,20 30 430:18 435:2 453:12 482:21 527:16 595:1	4 4 567:25 4.8 551:20 592:8 4:00 595:17 4:16 609:20 40 461:9,25 462:4 523:9 530:14 535:6 536:23 567:18 404-715-1152 431:11 404-763-5198 431:23 404-888-3800 431:7 431 433:3 436 433:7 447 434:6 448 434:7 451 434:8 452 434:4 454 433:7 46 518:23,24 519:18,23 480 434:14 486 433:8 489 434:5	5 5 434:6 447:21 447:23 508:16,20 5/1/18 518:20 586:12 5/18/18 547:14 500 517:17 518:15 506 434:19 524 434:13 526 434:12 534 434:20 541 433:12 55 434:17 493:1 495:3,5 503:5,9,10 543:2 56 434:18 499:21,23 562 434:9 57 434:19 506:24,25 544:20 545:1 565:24 570 434:10 577 433:13 58 434:20 534:16,17 598 433:16
				6 6 434:7 448:13 448:14 452:6 60 607:19 610 433:19 614 434:15 615 434:16 6th 461:1	
				7	

<p>7 434:8 451:21 451:23 700 527:13 737 599:11 77 527:20</p> <hr/> <p>8</p> <p>8 478:17 503:13 509:10,15 512:8 565:24 566:1 8/1 545:11,13 8/1/2019 545:18 8/5 545:12,21 8/5/19 545:13 80 527:15 569:16 800 431:22 83 482:25</p> <hr/> <p>9</p> <p>9 503:5,11 547:12 9:35 435:4 90 443:24 504:14 527:21 583:24 587:1 588:13,14 91 527:19 914-997-1346 431:19 943 431:10 9th 445:25 465:23 466:21 488:1 529:8 534:4 588:12</p>				
--	--	--	--	--