UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

KARLENE PETITT,)
Plaintiff,
VS.)No. 2018-AIR-00041
DELTA AIR LINES, INC.,
Defendant.)
DEPOSITION
OF
STEPHEN DICKSON
OCTOBER 31, 2018



1	STIPULATIONS
2	
3	The deposition of Stephen Dickson is taken
4	on this, the 31st day of October, 2018, on behalf of
5	the Complainant, pursuant to notice and consent of
6	counsel, beginning at approximately 9:05 a.m. in the
7	offices of Esquire Deposition Solutions, 101
8	Marietta Street, 2700 Centennial Tower. Atlanta,
9	Georgia 30303.
LO	The signature of the witness was reserved.
L1	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	



1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	
4	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
5	LEE SEHAM, ESQ.
6	SEHAM MELTZ & PETERSEN, LLP 199 Main Street
7	Seventh Floor White Plains, New York 10601
8	Phone: (914) 997-1346 ssmpls@aol.com
9	
10	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:
11	LINCOLN O. BISBEE, ESQ. MORGAN LEWIS
12	1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
13	Washington, DC 20004 Phone: (202) 739-5807
14	lincoln.bisbee@morganlewis.com
15	IRA G. ROSENSTEIN, ESQ.
16	MORGAN LEWIS 101 Park Avenue
17	New York, New York 10178 Phone: (212) 309-6960
18	ira.rosenstein@morganlewis.com
19	MARGUERITE H. TAYLOR, ESQ.
20	DELTA AIR LINES, INC. Department 981 1030 Delta Boulevard
21	Atlanta, Georgia 30354
22	Phone: (404) 715-2861 meg.taylor@delta.com
23	
24	
25	



1	E X A M I N A T I O N I N D E X	
2		
3	STEPHEN DICKSON,	
4	EXAMINATION BY MR. SEHAM	5
5		
6	EXHIBITS	
7		
8	Exhibit No. 1 Responses to Interrogatories	49
9	Exhibit No. 2 Letter Dated 3-17-16	59
10	Exhibit No. 3 Assessment of Safety Culture	88
11	Exhibit No. 4 Email Chain	98
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1	STEPHEN DICKSON,
2	having been first duly sworn, was examined and
3	testified as follows:
4	EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. SEHAM:
6	Q Good morning. My name is Lee Seham. I'm
7	with the law firm of Seham, Meltz & Petersen. And
8	we represent Karlene Petitt in this matter.
9	Today I'm going to ask you questions
LO	which you will be responding to under oath
L1	concerning her AIR21 action against Delta Air Lines.
L2	Do you understand that?
L3	A Yes.
L4	Q And by the way, I'm going to ask you a
L5	series of introductory questions just to make sure
L6	that you are prepared that you understand the
L7	process you are going through.
L8	Now, if you do not understand any of
L9	my questions, please let me know so that I can
20	rephrase or repeat the question for you. Do you
21	understand?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Do you understand that the deposition
24	today is going to be transcribed by the court
25	reporter and that everything you say here today will



1	be recorded?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And please speak slowly and clearly so
4	that the court reporter can take down what you say.
5	Do you understand?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And you understand that your testimony
8	today is given under oath as if you were in a court
9	of law?
10	A I do.
11	Q All of your answers to my questions must
12	be given verbally. You cannot respond by nodding
13	your head or shrugging your shoulders since the
14	court reporter can't take down nonverbal answers.
15	Do you understand that?
16	A I do.
17	Q And one of the purposes of this deposition
18	is for our side to determine your knowledge of
19	certain facts and events. Do you understand that?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And if you subsequently testify in a
22	different manner before the court or tribunal, we
23	will use this deposition to impeach your testimony.
24	Do you understand that?



Yes.

Α

1	MR. BISBEE: Objection. And wait just a
2	moment before answering just in case I have an
3	objection. Thank you.
4	BY MR. SEHAM:
5	Q And if you don't know an answer to a
6	question, you should say that you do not know. I do
7	not expect you to guess or speculate. Do you
8	understand?
9	A Yes, I do.
10	Q Have you ever testified in court before?
11	A No.
12	Q Have you ever testified in an arbitration?
13	A Yes.
14	Q How many times have you testified in an
15	arbitration?
16	A I don't know for sure. A handful.
17	Q Fewer than ten?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Do you recall if there have been any
20	credibility assessments of your testimony by
21	arbitrators that were negative?
22	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Vague. Calls for
23	a legal conclusion.
24	THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that.
25	



STEPHEN M. DICKSON PETITT vs DELTA AIR LINES

1	BY MR. SEHAM:
2	Q You don't read the arbitration decisions
3	at issue after you have participated in the
4	arbitration process?
5	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Overbroad.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	BY MR. SEHAM:
8	Q You do read them?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Have you ever been a party in a
11	litigation?
12	A No. No. Other than as an employee of
13	Delta Air Lines.
14	Q What litigation was that?
15	A Well, we had a case with a vendor, a
16	flight deck vendor, Innovative Solutions and
17	Support, a few years ago that was doing some that
18	had entered into a contract with Delta to modify MBA
19	cockpits. And I have also I'm also divorced as
20	well.
21	Q Was there litigation related to that?
22	A No.
23	Q Have you ever declared bankruptcy?
24	A No.
25	Q Do you understand that you have been sworn



to tell the truth and that, if you fail to tell the 1 2 truth, there could be adverse consequences? 3 Α Yes. Are you taking any medication or other 4 5 drugs that might impair your ability to testify 6 today? 7 Α No. 8 Are you suffering from any kind of illness 0 that might affect your ability to testify today? 9 10 No, I am not. 11 Are you currently under the care of any 0 type of medical doctor? 12 13 Α No. 14 Do you understand everything that I have 15 said up until now? 16 Α Yes. 17 Have you ever been treated for a mental 18 health issue? 19 Α No. 20 Q Have you ever lost your temper at work? 21 Objection. MR. BISBEE: 22 THE WITNESS: No. 23 BY MR. SEHAM: 24 Ever yell at anyone at work? 0 25 Α No.



1 Have you ever addressed a co-worker with 2 profanity? 3 Α On occasion. 4 Can you recall any of those instances? 0 5 Α No. Not specifically. 6 Have you ever cried at work? 0 7 Well, I will rephrase that. I did --Α No. 8 I was emotional when I announced my retirement. 9 Are you familiar -- or have you heard of 10 the AIR21 statute? 11 Α Yes. 12 What do you understand the AIR21 statute 0 13 to provide? 14 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Calls for legal 15 testimony. 16 THE WITNESS: I am not -- I'm not 17 intimately familiar with the statute. I know 18 that it is -- in general, it protects anyone 19 who is raising concerns from a whistleblower 20 standpoint. 21 BY MR. SEHAM: 22 And how long have you had that knowledge 23 that you just described? 24 Α Ten years. 25 Q Could you state your current legal name.



1	A Stephen Marshal Dickson. Stephen with a
2	P-H.
3	Q Have you ever been known by any other
4	names?
5	A Only my nickname Steve.
6	Q And have you strike that.
7	Did you review any documents to
8	prepare for your deposition today?
9	A Yes. With counsel.
10	Q What documents did you review?
11	A Ms. Petitt's initial initial complaint,
12	cursory review of some of the documents associated
13	with this case.
14	I can't you know, there were a
15	number of them in the last couple of days with
16	counsel.
17	Q When you say Ms. Petitt's initial
18	complaint, are you referring to her AIR21 complaint?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And cursory you can't recall any of the
21	other documents that you
22	A There were probably 10 or 15 documents.
23	Q My question is: Can you recall any of
24	them?
25	A Let me think.



1 Let me intercede and ask: When did you 2 review these documents with counsel? 3 Α On Monday. Mr. Seham is not asking -- if 4 MR. BISBEE: 5 there is ever a time when an answer would call 6 for communications between you and counsel, I'm 7 going to instruct you not to answer because 8 those would be privileged. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. I know that Mr. Seham is not 10 MR. BISBEE: 11 going to try to ask for that but just in case 12 it were to come up. 13 BY MR. SEHAM: 14 So can you recall any of these 10 or 15 15 documents that you -- when you say -- excuse me. 16 When you say Monday, you mean two 17 days ago? 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. Can you remember any of these 10 or 20 15 documents? 21 Α There was Delta's response to the initial 22 complaint. There was the OSHA -- initial OSHA 23 ruling. And then there had been some additional --I think, as part of this proceeding, some additional 24

protected activity that had been outlined. I also



1 went back -- the report that Karlene went over with 2 Captain Graham and myself. 3 Written safety report? 4 Again, not in great detail but Α Yes. just sort of -- I looked at the outline and other 5 6 documents to refresh my memory in terms of timeline 7 of how things occurred. 8 When you met with counsel, who else was 9 there? It was -- it was Mr. Bisbee, and 10 11 Mr. Rosenstein was on the phone. 12 Okay. Just the three --0 13 And also Kellev Jestina from Delta as well 14 was part of the meeting. 15 Kelley? 0 16 Jestina from our legal department. 17 Did you talk to anyone else -- outside of 0 18 the meeting to which you just referred, did you talk 19 to anyone else about your deposition? 2.0 Α No. 21 Am I correct in understanding that you 22 just recently retired? 23 October 1st. Yes. 24 What was the position you held prior to 25 your retirement?



Senior vice president of flight operations 1 2 at Delta Air Lines. 3 Senior vice president of --0 4 Flight operations. Α 5 0 -- flight operations. 6 All right. So in terms of -- well, 7 before I go into the hierarchy, could you give us 8 your career background in terms of your flight 9 experience? I started -- I graduated from 10 Of course. 11 the United States Air Force Academy in 1979 and 12 spent the next 11 and a half years as an Air Force 13 pilot, T-38 instructor, flight examiner. 14 I am sorry. T-38 instructor? 15 Instructor and flight examiner. Α Yes. 16 0 Okay. 17 And then F-15, eventually qualifying as an Α 18 instructor and a flight examiner as well. And in 19 late 1990, I resigned from the Air Force, 20 interviewed with Delta, and began new hire training 21 as a 727 flight engineer in February of 1991. 22 Q Okay. 23 And then flew the line as a 727 pilot, 24 first flight engineer and then first officer. 25 And in 1999, I was asked to take a



1 role in flight operations initially as the executive 2 assistant for the director of flight operations. 3 continued to fly throughout. 4 I qualified as a 767 first officer in 5 January of 2002 and a 737 captain in 2004, a 757/767 captain in 2005, and an A320 captain -- I believe it 6 7 was 2013. But it was right around 2013, 2014. 8 That was my flying career. 9 0 How many -- so you never made it to 10 captain on the 727? 11 I qualified on the 757/767, and my Α No. 12 first captain position was the 737. 13 How many total flight hours did you have on the 767? 14 15 I think -- I don't recall for sure. 16 think about 1,200. I would have to go back and 17 look. 18 How many total flight hours on the 737? 0 19 Α 600 or thereabouts. 20 And how many total hours on the 757, 0 21 slash, 67? 22 I'm sorry. I thought you already asked me Α 23 that one. 24 I asked about the 737 and --0 25 MR. BISBEE: You first asked the 767.



THE WITNESS: Yeah, which would include 1 2 the 757. BY MR. SEHAM: 3 4 Oh, pardon me. Pardon me. The way you described -- you know, I 5 6 You just moved up -- you described in 2002 you 7 got on the 767. 8 Α Yes. 9 And then, when you talked about 2005, I heard you say 757. But if you say 1,200 hours on 10 11 the 767, is that 1,200 hours total for the 757 and 12 767? 13 Yes. Α Yes. 14 That would include both statuses as first 15 officer and captain? 16 Α Yes. 17 And then on the A320, how many hours? 0 18 Α About 400. 19 So is it fair to say that your total 20 flight hours at -- and then on the 727, do you 21 recall how many hours? 22 I don't recall. I mean, my total flight 23 hours at Delta is about 7,500. 24 7,500 total. But the total since 2002 25 would be under 2,500?



1	A Probably about. That's probably about
2	right. Most of that was in the first nine years of
3	my career.
4	Q Now, as senior VP of flight operations, I
5	want to get a sense of where that is in the
6	hierarchy. Who was to whom did you report?
7	A I reported to the chief operating officer.
8	Q Who was that?
9	A For the first portion of my tenure, it was
10	Steve Gorman.
11	Q Steve Gorman?
12	A Yeah. G-O-R-M-A-N. And for the last, I
13	think, four years probably four to five years
14	it has been Gill West.
15	Q To whom do to whom does the COO report?
16	A The CEO.
17	Q And then below you as senior vice
18	president of flight operations, who would have been
19	below you?
20	A It would have
21	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Vague. You are
22	asking his immediately below him, I'm
23	assume.
24	BY MR. SEHAM:
25	Q Yes, who is immediately below?



1	A (Captain Jim Graham, the or it would
2	have been	the vice president of flight operations
3	and chief p	pilot.
4	Q Z	And then below Jim Graham?
5	A I	Well, then there was
6	I	MR. BISBEE: I think he is still
7	answe	ring.
8	ŗ	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Then there
9	BY MR. SEH	AM:
10	Q T	Were you still answering?
11	A	Yes. The managing director of flight
12	training.	
13	Q :	Is under Jim Graham?
14	A 1	No. Is under me.
15	Q (Oh. And he is sort of parallel to Jim
16	Graham?	
17	A 1	Right.
18	Q :	So tell me again. Managing?
19	A I	Managing director of flight training, the
20	director o	f quality assurance and compliance,
21	managing d	irector of crew resources and scheduling.
22		And then, as of about a year ago, we
23	added anotl	her officer position. The vice president
24	of I'm	trying to remember what we were calling
25	it. Busine	ess operations.



Then who would be below Jim Graham? 1 Okav. 2 Α He has essentially three direct reports. 3 He would have the managing director of flying 4 operations, managing director of line operations. 5 Of what? Line operations? 0 6 Α Line operations. Yes. 7 Okay. 0 8 And the managing director of flight Α 9 standards. 10 Is there a -- does Delta observe a strict 11 chain of command that would prevent individuals 12 within this hierarchy from communicating with 13 persons above their immediate report? 14 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Vaque. 15 Overbroad. Compound. 16 BY MR. SEHAM: 17 Did you understand the question? 0 It is not a strict chain of command. 18 Α 19 So, for example, would you ever have 20 direct communications with the managing director of 21 flight operations without him going through Mr. Graham? 22 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. Would you ever have direct 0 25 communications with Ed Bastian or Steve Anderson,



1 the CEOs, without going through Norman or West? 2 Α Yes. Richard Anderson and Ed Bastian -- I 3 would have on occasion direct contact with them. 4 In general, what would you communicate with Richard Anderson and Ed Bastian about? 5 6 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Overbroad. 7 THE WITNESS: Anything that they wanted to 8 communicate about or anything that I felt I 9 needed. Now, when I was doing that, I always made 10 11 sure that, you know, my boss knew what I was --12 what was going on or what I was communicating 13 about. 14 BY MR. SEHAM: 15 Can you describe the types of 16 communications that you would take directly to Rich 17 Anderson or Ed Bastian? 18 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Assumes facts not 19 in evidence. Overbroad. 20 THE WITNESS: Can you be more specific? 21 BY MR. SEHAM: 22 I'm not sure I can. You say that you on 23 occasion would communicate directly with CEO 24 Anderson or CEO Bastian. What would prompt you to communicate



directly with them?

MR. BISBEE: Same objection. Also foundation.

THE WITNESS: Well, most frequently it would be if they had a question about the operation, if we had had a noteworthy event.

You know, it could have been something on social media or something, an operational event they had questions about. And, you know, I would certainly provide that background.

So that was typically -- I would just be responsive to whatever they were asking about with respect to -- usually with respect to flight ops but not entirely.

Other times when -- they would communicate when they were -- if they were going out on the road with employees.

Sometimes I would make sure that they were informed on what the most current issues were with the operation or with the division so they would be equipped to be able to answer questions from employees when they went out in the field.

BY MR. SEHAM:

Q Can you recall any communications that you



had with either Ed Bastian or Richard Anderson over
the last three years?

A Yes.

2.0

Q Okay. To the best of your recollection, recount them to me, if you will.

A Well, one example was the -- we would typically go to the Air Line Pilots Association MEC meetings. And I would spend time with the MEC, the Master Executive Council.

And, you know, I would make sure that he was conversant with the current issues with respect to the union and flight operations, and that was certainly typical. I don't remember when the last meeting was.

I think it was in July or August, was our last time in front of the group.

Q Did you ever have -- anything else that you can recall? Any other topics that you would communicate on in, say, the last three years with either Anderson or Bastian?

A Well, certainly when I decided to retire, you know, I spent some time with -- Ed was the first one that I talked to about that decision and the considerations for, you know, what I thought the roadmap should look like going forward.



1 Okay. How about with respect to 2 Ms. Petitt? Did you ever have any conversations or 3 communications with either Mr. Anderson or 4 Mr. Bastian? MR. BISBEE: 5 Objection. Vaque. Compound. There were -- as I recall, 6 THE WITNESS: 7 there were one or two occasions that came up 8 where Mr. Bastian had just referred issues back 9 to me. 10 I believe -- or as I recall, these were emails from Karlene to him. And so he just 11 12 wanted to make sure that we had -- that we were 13 handling everything appropriately. 14 And it was not that -- anytime I would 15 ever hear from a line pilot directly to the 16 CEO, you know, he would make sure that I was 17 aware and that we were handling whatever the 18 issue was. 19 BY MR. SEHAM: 20 Did he ever communicate to you or 21 Mr. Graham a request that he be briefed about issues 22 that Ms. Petitt was raising? 23 Α Yes. 24 Did you brief him on the issues related to



Ms. Petitt?

1	A In a very general way, yes.
2	Q When did that occur?
3	A I don't remember exactly. I don't
4	remember the date.
5	Q Do you remember the year?
6	A It was either it probably was in late
7	2016 as Karlene was undergoing the Section 15
8	evaluation. I think that is
9	Q You don't have do you have any
LO	recollection of briefing him on issues related to
L1	Ms. Petitt prior to the Section 15 process?
L2	A I don't recall.
L3	Q And what did you say to him about the
L4	Section 15 process?
L5	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Foundation.
L6	THE WITNESS: I didn't say anything
L7	specifically about the Section 15 process to
L8	him.
L9	I just told him that we had we had
20	we were working through an issue with one of
21	our pilots and that we were handling it in
22	accordance with the processes that we had in
23	the pilot contract and also, you know, making
24	sure that the operation was going to be to

be safe and that we were -- we were handling



1 the situation. 2 It was not -- I don't normally talk about 3 individual employee issues with the CEO. BY MR. SEHAM: 4 5 0 But in this case you did? 6 Just in response to his question. Α 7 So he asked you what is the status of 0 8 Ms. Petitt's Section 15 process? 9 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Foundation. 10 THE WITNESS: Not specifically. I think 11 he just asked -- his question was more general 12 than that. 13 BY MR. SEHAM: What was his question? 14 15 MR. BISBEE: Objection. 16 THE WITNESS: It was -- it was just, "Please, please brief me." That was pretty 17 18 much it. 19 BY MR. SEHAM: 20 But the time that this occurred -- it was after she had been referred to the Section 15 21 22 process? 23 I don't recall specifically. Α 24 But you are --0 25 Α My sense is that is when it was, but I



don't recall.

- Q But your recollection of what your response was related to a Section 15 process?
- A No. Just that we were under -- we were involved in a performance issue but not specifically relative to, you know, Section 15 or a -- anything else or training or anything else. I was not that specific with him.
- Q You told him that you had a performance issue with Ms. Petitt?
 - A Just that we were working through an issue with one of our pilots, which is a fairly routine thing. We have issues coming up all the time.
 - And this was something that we were handling within flight operations according to normal protocol.
 - Q Are you testifying that the name Petitt was not referred to in your discussion?
 - A I did not -- I did not -- I did not mention a name. Now, obviously, he was, I believe, in the email thread -- she had sent him a note. But I did not specifically refer -- you know, use her name in conjunction when I briefed him.
- Q But the inquiry from Mr. Bastian -- was it referring to her?



1	A Yes.
2	Q And your recollection is that this
3	occurred after she was referred to section the
4	Section 15 process?
5	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Asked and
6	answered.
7	THE WITNESS: I don't remember.
8	BY MR. SEHAM:
9	Q I'm getting a different answer.
10	A Not that precise.
11	Q You don't remember. Okay.
12	Have you ever been disciplined?
13	A No.
14	Q Have you ever received a written warning?
15	A No.
16	Q Now, was your was your retirement
17	prompted by any event in terms of your work life?
18	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Vague and
19	overbroad.
20	THE WITNESS: No. My retirement was
21	entirely my decision.
22	BY MR. SEHAM:
23	Q And do you know who O. C. Miller is?
24	A Yes.
25	



STEPHEN M. DICKSON PETITT vs DELTA AIR LINES

1	with the company?
2	A He retired some time ago. But he was the
3	one of Captain Graham's direct reports. He was
4	the managing director of flying operations.
5	Q Was he terminated or retired?
6	A He retired.
7	Q Was there any incident that prompted him
8	to retire
9	MR. BISBEE: Objection.
10	BY MR. SEHAM:
11	Q that you are aware of?
12	A No.
13	Q And Phil Davis. He is no longer with
14	Delta either. Correct?
15	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Foundation.
16	THE WITNESS: Phil is still with Delta.
17	BY MR. SEHAM:
18	Q Okay. But he has been demoted. Correct?
19	MR. BISBEE: Objection.
20	THE WITNESS: No.
21	BY MR. SEHAM:
22	Q Has his position changed?
23	A Yes.
24	Q What was it before?
25	A Phil was the regional director for our



- 1 west domiciles, which includes Los Angeles, Salt 2 Lake City, and Seattle. 3 And, now, what is his position? He is a -- he is flying the line as a 4 pilot. 5 I believe -- I'm not sure what fleet he is 6 on. 7 And you know the circumstances which led 0 8 to his going from regional director of west 9 domiciles to flying the line? 10 Α Yes. 11 What were the circumstances that led to 0 12 that? 13 Normal course of business. 14 I'm not sure what you mean by that. 15 he -- was that his decision? Or did Delta prompt 16 that? 17 No. Our normal practice is for career Α 18 broadening and for leadership progression, also to 19 make sure that we are continuously building a bench
- years.

 And the expectation is that then that

individual will move back into a line position to

and broadening the experience of our leadership

that -- our leadership positions are generally two

to three, in some cases, as much as four or five



20

21

22

1 continue their development. 2 When you -- Delta is the only commercial 3 airline you have worked for? 4 Α Yes. And before you started to fly for Delta, 5 6 you had no experience flying Boeing aircraft. 7 Correct? 8 Α Unless you call the F-15 a Boeing No. 9 aircraft, which it originally was not. 10 And did you have any experience flying 11 Airbus aircraft prior --12 Α No. 13 And how did you get your position at 0 14 Delta? 15 You know, I was -- I was asked to come in 16 and -- could you restate the question? 17 How did you get your job at Delta? 0 18 I was -- initially, as I said, in 1999 I Α 19 was asked to come in as the executive assistant for 20 the director of flight operations and had never planned to progress within the organization the way 21 22 that it turned out. 23 But I was offered various 24 opportunities to progress through positions of 25 increasing responsibility and, in 2005, became the



director of flight operations and then, in 2006, was 1 2 asked to become the senior vice president. 3 My immediate predecessor had retired; 4 so I just ended up moving in his position. Well, I'm asking a different question. 5 6 When you hired on at Delta, you 7 started flying -- your first job at Delta was to fly 8 a 727. Correct? 9 Α That's correct. Now, prior -- how did you get hired 10 11 initially by Delta? When I left the Air Force, I interviewed 12 Α 13 at Delta and American and decided I had more family 14 here in the Atlanta area. My grandparents and 15 ancestors were from here. I was more familiar with 16 Delta; so I decided to take the job offer at Delta. 17 Did you have -- with your application, did 0 18 you submit recommendations? 19 Α I'm sure I did. 20 Okay. Can you recall from whom you had 21 obtained recommendations? 22 Α No. 23 Did you have any particular sponsor within 24 the Delta organization at that time? 25 Α No.



1	Q What was your flight experience or how
2	many flight hours did you have when you got hired by
3	Delta?
4	A About 2,700. 2,700.
5	Q Now, in the Air Force, did you were you
6	a commanding officer of the flight group at some
7	time?
8	A I was a flight commander and yes, at a
9	couple of different points.
10	Q What were your commands?
11	A I was a flight commander in the Seventh
12	Tactical Fighter Squadron at Holloman Air Force Base
13	and a flight commander at Bitburg Air Base Germany
14	in the 22nd Tactical Fighter Squadron.
15	Q While you were holding any of the
16	commander positions, were you familiar with the
17	phrase and usage, quote, I can't make it longer, but
18	I can make it harder?
19	MR. BISBEE: Objection.
20	THE WITNESS: That was not I'm familiar
21	with that phrase. Yes. But it was not
22	associated with any of those positions.
23	BY MR. SEHAM:
24	Q What does phrase mean to you?
25	A That was a I was in the last all male



class at the Air Force academy. And there was a group of cadets my senior year. There was a controversy associated with our class ring on what was -- what was going to be on our class ring.

And there was a group that was upset by the decision of the commandant to mandate a change in the design. And they put essentially a poster up on the academy grounds, and they were disciplined for that.

Q I understand that to be background, but that phrase, "I can't make it longer, but I can make it harder" -- does that refer to the flight training experience or --

A No.

0 What does that refer to?

A That refers to -- that was a dig at the commandant of cadets that -- the graduation date was coming up, and it was already set. And, you know, essentially, I can make your experience more difficult. But the timeline is what it is.

We are all going to graduate and go on after that. It was in very poor taste, but that was what the message was about.

Q How would a position -- a person in that position make it harder?



1 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Calls for 2 speculation. 3 THE WITNESS: There were many ways. You 4 know, restricting someone to the base, causing 5 them to have some sort of disciplinary activity, you know, restrict them to their 6 7 room. 8 There were a lot of different ways that their last few months -- or the last two or 9 three months of the undergraduate experience 10 11 could be made more difficult. BY MR. SEHAM: 12 13 More difficult flight training --14 Α For those individuals. 15 More difficult flight training 0 16 requirements? 17 This was not flight training. Α No. No. 18 This was undergraduate education. 19 During your service, were you familiar 20 with the acronym LCWB? 21 Α Yes. 22 0 What did that mean? 23 That means -- well, that was the design 24 that was on -- that had been on our class ring. And 25 it -- again, not in the -- not in the best taste.



But it stands for "last class with balls." 1 2 Is that a ring that you wore? 0 3 Δ No. Can you -- there was a new director 4 5 position for flight operations created recently. 6 Correct? 7 I don't remember. There is a new vice 8 president position. Yes. There was a new director 9 position about a year ago. That would be Phil Lindsey, who is the managing director of business 10 11 operations and technology. 12 0 Wasn't there a new position of executive 13 vice president of flying air operations that was 14 created? 15 Α No. 16 Do you know who William Lynch is? No. 17 Oh, yes. That was not in flight Α 18 operations, though. Yes. I do know Bill Lynch. 19 Okay. What position does he hold? 20 He -- I don't know what the -- exactly what the title is. But I believe it is executive 21 22 vice president of air operations. 23 When was that position created? It was created within the last couple of 24 Α

I believe it was created in August.



1	Q Okay. Do you know why it was created?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Why?
4	A This is it was created for Mr. Lynch's
5	development and essentially to potentially groom him
6	to be the next chief operating officer.
7	So it was to broaden his base of
8	his base of experience so that because he had not
9	overseen flight operations at Delta. And he also
10	had not overseen inflight service.
11	So it was he had been involved in
12	the ground operations and in the maintenance
13	organization previously. This was to round out his
14	experience.
15	Q You said you had 2,700 hours when you
16	first got hired by Delta in terms of flight
17	A Yes.
18	Q And would you agree that that is
19	significantly fewer hours than the pilots being
20	hired today at Delta?
21	A No.
22	MR. BISBEE: Objection.
23	BY MR. SEHAM:
24	Q Do you have any sense of the average
25	number of flight hours experience of newly hired



1	Delta	pilots?
---	-------	---------

A I don't know the most recent statistics. It depends on the individual's background.

Q You seem to very emphatically say that it wasn't -- the 2,700 wasn't low in comparison.

But you don't have a sense of what the average is of pilots hired today?

A For a tactical aviator, they will tend to have fewer hours to begin with; so that is going to be in the range of 2,000.

If you have someone who has regional airline experience or larger airplane experience in the military, they are going to be more in the range of 3,500 to 4,500 hours.

Q Would you agree that your total number of flight hours are substantially less than the average Delta pilot of 10 years tenure with the airline?

MR. BISBEE: Objection. Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: My hours are certainly less than if I had flown the line for my entire career. Yes.

BY MR. SEHAM:

Q Would you agree that that makes you less qualified to render opinions on flight operation



1	issues than pilots who have more hours than you?
2	A No.
3	Q Can you explain why the answer is no?
4	A Experience experience is important.
5	But, you know, beyond a certain
6	point, you know, I have always maintained my
7	qualifications and currency throughout my tenure and
8	have the benefit of benchmarking flight operations
9	and safety programs not only within the U.S. but
10	around the world.
11	So I think I offer a perspective that
12	more than compensates for the fact that I wasn't
13	flying a regular schedule.
14	Q Would you agree that someone with twice
15	your flight hours on a particular piece of equipment
16	would have more valid opinions about its operation
17	than you would have?
18	A Certainly. That is why we have fleet
19	captains and line check pilots, instructors on
20	individual fleet types. Those are the subject
21	matter experts within those narrow disciplines.
22	Q Would you agree with the proposition that
23	the more hours that somebody has the more valid his
24	opinions are about operational issues?



No.

A

Τ	Q why wouldn't you agree with that?
2	A Again, I will use the analogy in the
3	military. You know, not all hours are created
4	equal.
5	So the hours spent in transition or
6	in tactical operations where you have got a lot more
7	multitasking going on versus in crews flight you
8	know, you have just got different issues coming up.
9	Generally, the most challenging parts of a flight
LO	commercially are in transition.
L1	So you can make a case that a
L2	domestic pilot, just as an example, who is operating
L3	very high frequency, relatively short haul flights
L4	actually is more proficient or could have a better
L5	perspective than a pilot who is in long haul
L6	international operations continuously and, in some
L7	cases, having difficulty maintaining their landing
L8	recency.
L9	So, really, you have to look at each
20	individual situation a little bit different.

Q Now, if you could tell me -- again, your position at the time you left the company was?

A Senior vice president of flight operations.

Q Senior vice president of flight



21

22

23

24

1	operations.
2	How long had you held that position?
3	A Twelve years.
4	Q In that position, what were your job
5	responsibilities?
6	A I was responsible for, most importantly,
7	the safety of our daily operations, particularly as
8	they pertain to the flight deck but also the
9	interfaces with the other operating departments.
10	I was responsible for the training
11	and standardization of our pilots and the planning
12	and resources and scheduling of the flight crews to
13	execute the network schedule.
14	And then I was also the chief labor
15	negotiator with the Airline Pilots Association. So
16	I worked very closely with the labor relations
17	department to work with the pilots union on a whole
18	range of issues.
19	Q And you have in your position, do you
20	interface with the FAA?
21	A Yes.
22	Q With respect to what issues?
23	A A couple of several different ways. In
24	Washington, I interfaced with the FAA; at times, all

the way up to the administrator level on issues



about, you know, how Delta is doing with respect to our daily operations in the air traffic control system and the -- you know, any regulatory or compliance matters that we had.

But on a day-to-day basis, I generally worked with the Delta certificate management office here in Atlanta.

- Q And we will refer to that as the CMO.
- A Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q What did you interface with the CMO about?
- A A number of ways. We would have monthly meetings with the CMO as a group to go over, you know, training initiatives, safety metrics performance, make sure that they knew about -- you know, that we were very transparent about the introduction of new fleet types or avionics upgrades that were being made.

There were a whole host of issues that we were talking about. Also, as we were seeking approval for curriculum modifications or bringing new tools, new technologies into play, we would make sure that they had visibility and all of that.

And then -- so that was sort of on a systematic basis. Of course, there were



interactions within each fleet. You know, each inspector within each fleet would have more intersections at the more detailed level.

Any time we would have an event that, you know, was an emergency or something that was fairly high profile that might be reported in the news media or might show up in a report somewhere, we would make sure that we would call the FAA, between myself and the safety department -- that they knew, you know, what was going on and they were brought in the loop as soon as possible.

Q When you talk about curriculum modifications, are you talking about pilot training curriculum?

A Yes.

Q Do those modifications -- is it required that modifications to Delta's training program for pilots be approved by the FAA?

A In general, yes. I mean, it depends on -you have got several different -- you know, as we -it is a culture of continuous improvement. So as
there are new -- new training vehicles, new
technologies, new ways of thinking about training,
we would certainly, you know, make sure that the FAA
was informed.



1	It didn't always require a formal
2	approval. It kind of it sort of depended upon
3	how that interfaced with the rest of the training
4	program.
5	Q And these discussions that you listed in
6	terms of discussions with the FAA in Washington
7	and with CMO office in Atlanta, did they frequently
8	concern compliance with federal aviation standards?
9	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Overbroad.
10	Foundation.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes. Absolutely.
12	BY MR. SEHAM:
13	Q So it would part of your job
14	responsibility was to be would be to be familiar
15	with federal regulation touching on flight
16	operations?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Now, as senior vice president of flight
19	operations, did you have responsibilities with
20	respect to pilot performance issues?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And could you describe what those
23	responsibilities were?
24	A Well, through the managing director of
25	training and the managing director of flight



standards, we were making sure that pilots were current and qualified on the fleet, that they were going to be operating in passenger operations.

So that was really accomplished through the training department and through the flight standards department.

More broadly, certainly from a people perspective, we also were making sure that, you know, pilots were following the company's, you know, rules of the road and our -- you know, executing our core values and also that the company was complying with the pilot contract and that the pilots were also living up to their obligations in the pilot contract as well.

Q During the course of your work as senior vice president of flight operations, were pilots who were having performance issues, flight performance issues brought to your attention?

A In most cases, no.

Q Was there a category of cases that you -- that were brought to your attention?

A The vast majority of times, if a pilot was having difficulty -- and very few did -- that was handled in the normal -- normal processes. An additional day of training or an additional briefing



1	to get to get the individual up to the level of
2	performance.
3	If it got to the point where we had
4	someone who was facing what we would call a job ride
5	essentially where they were coming up on you
6	know, where they had exhausted their opportunity to
7	be able to qualify on the airplane, on occasion I
8	would be informed about that but not necessarily in
9	every case.
LO	Q Would you be informed if a pilot's
L1	inflight performance had been determined by its
L2	fellow pilots to compromise safety?
L3	MR. BISBEE: Objection.
L4	THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.
L5	BY MR. SEHAM:
L6	Q To whom would that be reported?
L7	MR. BISBEE: Objection.
L8	THE WITNESS: It might be handled within
L9	the ASAP event review committee.
20	Generally speaking, the chief line check
21	pilot would then follow up with that individual
22	if it was something that occurred in line
23	operations where they were operating out of



out of normal standards.

24

BY MR. SEHAM:

- Q Is there any obligation of cockpit crew members -- if they have determined that a fellow pilot is compromising inflight safety, do they have an obligation to report that to management?
 - A They should. Yes.
- Q You say they should. Is that codified anywhere? Is that a written policy?
- A Yes. I can't tell you exactly where it is written, but we want to get feedback about -- about performance issues from our flight crews.

 Absolutely.
- Q So if a pilot determined that a fellow pilot was compromising safety during a flight, to whom is he expected to report that occurrence?
- A A couple of different ways. You talk to the domicile chief pilot. You could talk to the chief line check pilot on that fleet.
- But the preferred method of handling that would also be to submit an ASAP report because then we can process it in accordance with our safety processes.
- And there is a certain -- there is a protocol for handling those kinds of operational performance issues.



- 1 Now, an ASAP -- that is an acronym. ASAP. 2 That -- the person who submits that retains his or 3 her anonymity. Is that correct? 4 That's correct. But you would expect in that ASAP report 5 0 6 that the person submitting it would specifically 7 identify the individual who is compromising safety? 8 Α Yes. I mean, we -- the event review 9 committee would know which flight it pertained to, 10 and they could follow up with that individual. 11 And ASAP reports are not brought to your attention? 12 13 I mean, I have -- I have them 14 available to me. But I'm not -- I think we are 15 going to have something like 27,000 ASAP reports 16 this year. 17 So the -- I'm not reviewing every one 18 We are mainly looking, at my level, for of those. trends and elevated areas of risk that we need to 19 20 mitigate. 21 Do you know a Delta employee by the name 22 of Kelley Nabors? 23 I don't know her personally, but I -- I
 - MR. BISBEE: I will just say we have been



know her name.

24

1	going about an hour. If you are going to
2	switch to a whole new topic, it might be a good
3	time for a break.
4	MR. SEHAM: We are going to take a break
5	every hour? Okay. Maybe just two questions.
6	BY MR. SEHAM:
7	Q Did you know that Ms. Petitt, during her
8	psychiatric examination, was kept in a locked room
9	for over five hours without a break?
10	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Foundation.
11	THE WITNESS: No.
12	MR. SEHAM: You need a how long do you
13	need? You want a break?
14	MR. BISBEE: I would like to take a break.
15	Yes.
16	MR. SEHAM: Okay. How long is the break.
17	MR. BISBEE: Let's go off the record.
18	Thank you.
19	(A recess was taken, and the
20	deposition continued as
21	follows:)
22	BY MR. SEHAM:
23	Q Mr. Dickson, during the break did you
24	discuss your testimony with anybody?
25	A No.



1	Q Do you know a Delta employee by the name
2	of Kelley Nabors?
3	A I don't know her personally.
4	Q Have you ever met with her?
5	A No.
6	Q Have you had email communications with
7	her?
8	A No.
9	Q Do you know what her title is?
10	A I do not know her title.
11	Q Okay. Do you know what her title was at
12	the time of Ms. Petitt's Section 15 referral?
13	A No.
14	Q Did you ever get a copy of a report
15	concerning well, are you aware of the fact that
16	Ms. Nabors interviewed Ms. Petitt?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Did you ever get a copy of the report
19	prepared by Ms. Nabors concerning her interview of
20	Ms. Petitt?
21	A No.
22	(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 1 was
23	marked for identification and is
24	attached hereto.)
25	



1	BY MR. SEHAM:
2	Q I have handed you a document that we are
3	going to identify as Dickson Exhibit 1. And it is
4	titled at the top "Respondent Delta Air Line, Inc.'s
5	Objections and Responses to Complainant's First
6	Combined Discovery Interrogatories, Requests for
7	Documents, and Requests for Admissions."
8	Have you ever seen this document
9	before?
10	A I don't recall seeing it.
11	Q At any time after August 31, 2018, did
12	anyone come to you and ask you whether you had any
13	documents in your possession related to Ms. Petitt?
14	MR. BISBEE: Objection. If that calls for
15	disclosure of attorney/client privileged
16	communications, you should not answer as to the
17	contents of those communications.
18	THE WITNESS: Can you rephrase the
19	question?
20	BY MR. SEHAM:
21	Q I'm asking well, I don't know if I can
22	rephrase it, but I will repeat it.
23	At any time after August 31, 2018,
24	did anyone come to you and ask whether you had any
25	documents in your possession related to Ms. Petitt?



1 Α No. 2 Were you ever advised that Ms. Petitt had 0 3 made requests for admissions to Delta? 4 Α No. So to the best of your knowledge --5 0 6 I'm just going to object to MR. BISBEE: 7 the line of questioning. When I instruct you 8 not to answer when it calls for attorney/client 9 privilege, that is -- what your response should 10 be is, "I cannot answer that because it calls 11 for privileged communications." 12 He is not -- let me ask Mr. Seham. 13 you asking him if he had any conversations aside from those with counsel? Or are you 14 15 asking entirely? 16 I asked what I asked. MR. SEHAM: No. 17 MR. BISBEE: And are you -- are you not 18 able to answer those questions, Mr. Dickson, 19 because it would call for communication with 20 counsel? And were you answering just with 21 respect to non-attorneys? 22 MR. SEHAM: I think you are violating Rule 23 30 here. 24 MR. BISBEE: I'm trying to help you get 25 clarity.



1 I got a very clear answer. MR. SEHAM: 2 The answer was no. I -- in terms of my diction 3 and emphasis, I emphasized anyone. And the 4 answer came back "No." 5 MR. BISBEE: And my concern is that, in 6 the context of my objection and instruction to 7 the witness, he misunderstood your question in 8 that context, Mr. Seham. 9 And I am simply striving to have you have 10 the clarity for the record you are seeking to 11 get, which I think you would want. 12 MR. SEHAM: Are you saying that the 13 company counsel asked him whether he had any 14 documents in his possession related to 15 Ms. Petitt? 16 MR. BISBEE: Objection. You can't ask 17 him --18 MR. SEHAM: No. I am asking you that. 19 I'm asking you. 20 MR. BISBEE: You can't ask for 21 communications between Delta lawyers and Delta 22 employees or represented parties. 23 MR. SEHAM: Yes, I can. If you want to 24 direct him not to answer, I consider that a 25 Rule 30 violation. I'm not asking what advice.



1 I'm not asking about communications to get
2 advice or give advice.

I am asking whether he was ever asked whether he possessed documents and whether Delta is violating the rules of discovery.

MR. BISBEE: The collection of documents is work product activity. And it is in no way a violation of any rule to assert both the privilege and work product. Why don't you just ask him: Did you search for documents?

MR. SEHAM: If you are directing him not to answer -- this colloquy has gone on way too long. It is taking away from time that I want to pursue questions.

If you are directing him not to answer, then direct him not to answer, and let's move on.

MR. BISBEE: Is it your position that the instruction --

MR. SEHAM: Please direct him not to answer. I have stated my position. You are taking up my time. Direct him not to answer my questions as you will. Please do not coach him because that is a violation of Rule 30.

MR. BISBEE: Mr. Dickson, is it possible



1	to answer the question of whether you were
2	instructed to search for documents without
3	revealing communications with attorneys?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.
5	Can you restate because the timeframe
6	with which you are
7	BY MR. SEHAM:
8	Q I'm asking: From August 31, 2018 to the
9	present, did anyone come and ask you whether you had
10	documents in your possession related to Ms. Petitt.
11	A The answer to that question is no. I was
12	we had already or I had already been
13	instructed to preserve any documents prior to that;
14	so that was already in place.
15	Q Who asked you to do that?
16	A It came from Ryan Linegale, I believe, in
17	an email. And there may have been other
18	communications from our legal department that I
19	don't recollect.
20	Q Okay.
21	A But I do know that there was a request
22	from him to make sure that we had preserved all
23	electronic and any other documentation.
24	Q So since August 31, 2018, have you
25	transmitted those documents that you preserved to



1	anyone?
2	A Have I transmitted them?
3	Q Yes.
4	A No.
5	Q Are you saying because they had already
6	been transmitted to Mr. Linegale?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Now, I forgot where we were frankly.
9	But were you advised that Ms. Petitt
10	had made requests for admissions to Delta?
11	MR. BISBEE: And, again, can you answer
12	that question without disclosing the contents
13	of correspondence with attorneys for Delta?
14	THE WITNESS: I don't recall being
15	specifically advised of that point.
16	BY MR. SEHAM:
17	Q Were you advised at any time that
18	Ms. Petitt had submitted interrogatories to Delta?
19	A I assumed that that was the case, but I
20	was not specifically told of that step in the
21	process.
22	Q Now, I'm going to ask you to look at the
23	take the document in front of you and turn to
24	Page 7.
25	I want you to focus on the response



1 to Interrogatory Number 11 towards the top, which 2 reads, quote, Captain Jim Graham's decision to refer complainant for a Section 15 evaluation was based on 3 4 his conversations with Chris Puckett, Dr. Thomas Faulkner, and Kelley Nabors. 5 6 These conversations occurred over a 7 period from March 9, 2016 through March 17, 2016. 8 Did I read that accurately? 9 Α Yes. Okay. Did you participate in any 10 discussions about Ms. Petitt from March 9 through 11 12 March 17, 2016? 13 Only with Captain Graham. 14 What were the nature of those discussions? 0 The nature of those discussions were the 15 Α 16 concerns that had been expressed by Kelley Nabors in 17 her interview with Karlene. 18 So do I understand that Captain Graham 19 communicated to you that Ms. Nabors had certain 2.0 concerns about Ms. Petitt? 21 Α Yes. 22 And what were those concerns as identified

A They were concerns about whether -- they were concerns about whether she should be flying a



by Captain Graham?

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Delta airplane at that particular point in time because of various things that came up in her interview.
 - Q Did Captain Graham give you any particulars about what Ms. Petitt had reportedly said to Ms. Nabors?
 - A He gave some detail. I don't recall specifically what it was.
 - Q You can't recall any of the references that Captain Graham made to Nabors's report in terms of Ms. Petitt's statements?
 - A The one thing that I -- that I do remember was there was a concern expressed by Ms. Nabors that her interview was kind of uncharted territory for her.
 - And she felt that some of the concerns that Karlene had expressed about Delta and about some of the interactions that she had had -- there was a statement made to the effect of, you know, she feels like someone is out to get her.
 - And I think Ms. Nabors -- well, I will leave it at that.
 - Q Aside from this reference to "she feels like someone is out to get her," did Captain Graham make any further reference to statements by



1 Ms. Petitt as reported by Nabors? 2 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Asked and 3 answered. 4 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 5 BY MR. SEHAM: 6 And what did you say in response to 7 Mr. Graham's communication to you? 8 Α I told him that I was -- certainly shared his concern based on this feedback and that we 9 10 needed to make sure that we were getting the 11 necessary support from the subject matter experts 12 outside of flight operations in the company such as HR so that -- and we also needed to make sure that 13 14 we follow the process. 15 And that was my quidance to him, was 16 just make sure that we are fair and we follow the 17 process. 18 What process? 19 In terms of reviewing what the next steps 20 might be. 21 Well, did he raise the prospect of a Section 15 referral? 22 23 That prospect was raised. I don't know if 24 it was in this initial conversation or not. 25 0 You did discuss the Section 15 referral



with Captain Graham sometime before he issued his 1 2 letter -- before the letter -- well, let me pause 3 here. 4 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 2 was 5 marked for identification and is 6 attached hereto.) 7 BY MR. SEHAM: 8 So I'm handing you a letter of March 17, 9 2016, which we are marking as Dickson Document 2. This would be the letter that referred Ms. Petitt to 10 11 the Section 15 process. Correct? 12 Α Yes. 13 So the letter is dated March 17th. At any 14 time prior to March 17th, did you have any 15 discussions with Captain Graham about the 16 possibility of Ms. Petitt being referred to a 17 Section 15 process? 18 Α Yes. Okay. So when did that discussion take 19 0 20 place? 21 It would have been a day or two prior to Α 22 this communication. 23 What did he say to you? 24 Well, let me actually back up. Why was he talking to you about it? 25



A Because he wanted to make sure that I was aware of the steps that he -- that he and the team were taking to follow up on Kelley Nabors's interview.

Q Why would he want to make sure that you

knew what the steps being taken were?

MR. BISBEE: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Well, I am ultimately responsible for everything that happens in flight operations, and he knew that I wanted to make sure that the process was a fair process from an individual perspective but also that we ensured the safety of our flight deck operations.

BY MR. SEHAM:

Q So what did he say to you? You said this is about a day or two before March 17th. Correct?

A Yes.

Q And what did he say to you?

A He said that he had consulted with the stakeholders from HR, labor relations, and legal and that, although ultimately it was going to be a flight operations decision, it was going to be based on the input and advice from those areas.



1 But had he -- had he told you that he had 2 already made a decision? 3 I want to make sure I have got the 4 timing correct. So in the earlier -- you know, before he had consulted, he had not made any 5 decision. 6 7 It was a potential outcome, but it 8 was not a decision. After he had the consultations, 9 he made the decision, and he informed me of the decision. 10 11 Did you have the authority at that point 0 to veto his decision? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 Did you have the authority at that point 15 to ask him to conduct further investigation of the matter before he made his decision? 16 17 Yes. Α 18 And at that point, the only information 19 that you had with respect to suspect statements made by Ms. Petitt was that she felt that someone was out 20 21 to get her. Is that correct? 22 MR. BISBEE: Objection. 23 THE WITNESS: No. That is what I -- that 24 is what I can -- that is the only -- the only

item I can specifically recall.



1	BY MR. SEHAM:
2	Q Do you have any but you made a
3	determination at that point when you spoke to
4	Mr. Graham that it was appropriate for the Section
5	15 referral to proceed. Correct?
6	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Foundation.
7	Misstates his prior testimony.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes. I made the decision
9	that it was a sound course of action based on
10	the diligence that had been performed.
11	BY MR. SEHAM:
12	Q What diligence are you referring to?
13	A The internal consultations that I spoke
14	about earlier with EEO, HR, and labor relations.
15	Q Do you know upon what facts let me hear
16	that again. EEO, HR, and what other
17	A And labor relations.
18	Q Do you know upon what facts well, let
19	me back up.
20	Was it your understanding at that
21	time that EEO, HR, and labor relations were
22	recommending a Section 15 referral?
23	MR. BISBEE: Objection.
24	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I assume that
25	was one of the items that was discussed. I



think that -- that was my understanding from 1 2 Captain Graham at the time. 3 BY MR. SEHAM: 4 Okay. Do you know upon what facts EEO, 5 HR, and labor relations were basing their 6 recommendation? 7 Not specifically. 8 So when you refer to diligence on the part 0 9 of Mr. Graham, you are just referring to the fact that he was being -- he was doing what he was told 10 11 to do by EEO, HR, and labor relations. Correct? 12 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Foundation. 13 Calls for speculation. 14 THE WITNESS: 15 BY MR. SEHAM: 16 Well, what other -- what other diligence 17 on the part of Mr. Graham were you aware of other 18 than seeking advice from those three departments? 19 He was also concerned about if we have a 20 pilot who is not in a state where, either through 21 distraction or whatever other physical or mental 22 situation, able to safely execute flight deck 23 operations. 24 The safety of the operation had to be



a primary consideration in his mind.

1	Q Did he give you any facts upon which he
2	based his concern about flight operations safety?
3	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Asked and
4	answered.
5	THE WITNESS: Only the general context of
6	the interview that had led to the
7	consultations.
8	BY MR. SEHAM:
9	Q So do I understand correctly that you had
10	two separate discussions with Captain Graham about
11	the Section 15 referral? Is that correct? Prior to
12	March 17th.
13	A I think that is I think that is
14	correct.
15	Q And in the second conversation, he advised
16	you that subject to your approval that he was going
17	forward with the Section 15 referral. Correct?
18	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Foundation.
19	Misstates prior testimony.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	BY MR. SEHAM:
22	Q Did you have any email communications with
23	him concerning his decisional process prior to the
24	issuance of the March 17th letter?
25	N I don't recall an email eychange There



1 probably was one. But during this timeframe, I 2 don't believe so. Between the early March and the 3 17th of March, I don't recall any email exchange 4 during that timeframe. 5 Other than these two -- and both of the 6 conversations that you refer to occurred in March? 7 Α They would have -- or subsequent to 8 -- the timeframe I am referring to is subsequent to 9 Ms. Nabors's interview with Ms. Petitt and the day 10 of this notification. 11 Okay. Other than those two discussions in 0 March of 2016, did you ever have any email 12 13 discussion -- email communication or discussion with 14 Captain Graham about the possibility of referring 15 Ms. Petitt to a Section 15 process? 16 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Asked and 17 answered. 18 THE WITNESS: Prior to Ms. Nabors's 19 interview? 20 BY MR. SEHAM: 21 Yes. 0 22 I did not have any discussion with him via 23 He may have raised it in an interview, but I email. 24 don't recall specifically.

That is not something you would remember,



Q

one of your -- if your -- Captain Graham -- let me 1 2 strike that. 3 Captain Graham -- what is his 4 position? He is the vice president of flying --5 well, he is currently the senior vice president of 6 7 flight operations. But at the time, he was the vice 8 president of flying operations and the chief pilot. 9 And if he had, prior to March of 2016, raised the possibility of referring Ms. Petitt to a 10 11 Section 15 process, that is not something you would 12 remember? 13 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Asked and 14 answered. You are badgering the witness. 15 No. It was so long ago I THE WITNESS: 16 just don't -- I don't remember what the exact 17 sequence of events was. 18 MR. SEHAM: I would like to note I think 19 you are creating an incorrect record there. 20 I want the record to note that my tone of 21 voice is very moderate. And at worst, there 22 was an asked and answered issue. 23 I really reject and frankly resent the 24 characterization of badgering.

MR. BISBEE: For the sake of the record, I



1 agree that your tone was modest. It was the 2 substance of your question that I considered to 3 be badgering. MR. SEHAM: I appreciate that. 4 BY MR. SEHAM: 5 6 Do you know what Dr. Faulkner's role was 7 in the Section 15 determination process leading up 8 to the March 17th letter? I know his role as the director of health 9 services, and he has a role in the Section 15 10 11 process. 12 But do you know what participation he 0 13 engaged in prior to March 17th? 14 Α No. 15 Do you know whether Phil Davis had any 16 role in the Section 15 determination reflected in 17 Dickson Document 2? 18 The chief pilot always has a role. 19 yes, he certainly was involved in communication to 20 Ms. Petitt. I don't know if he was in the 21 consultations with Captain Graham or not. 22 I'm going to refer you, again, to our first exhibit -- Dickson 1 -- and ask you to turn to 23 24 Page 8 and the response to Interrogatory Number 16.



25

And reading from the second sentence,

1 it says, "Subject to the foregoing and without 2 waiving the same, the decision to refer complainant for Section 15" --3 4 I'm sorry. Where are you? 5 0 Oh, it is Interrogatory Number 16. 6 16. Okay. I am sorry. Α 7 And I'm starting at the second line 0 8 towards the end beginning with "Subject to." 9 So it reads, quote, Subject to the forgoing and without waiving the same, the decision 10 11 to refer complainant for a Section 15 evaluation was 12 a result of complainant's behavior during her 13 meeting with Kelley Nabors on March 8, 2016. 14 My question is: Does that response 15 that I just read to you -- does that -- is that 16 consistent with your understanding as to why 17 Ms. Petitt was referred for a Section 15 process? 18 Α Yes. 19 Were you aware of anything in March of 20 2016 in terms of Ms. Petitt's flight performance 21 that would have warranted a Section 15 referral? 22 Α No. 23 Were you aware of anything in terms of her 24 overall conduct at work that would have warranted a



25

Section 15 referral?

1	A No.
2	Q Could you describe your overall
3	understanding of the Section 15 process?
4	A It has been a long time since I have
5	referred to the specific contractual provisions.
6	But it essentially provides for a medical review of
7	a pilot to ensure that they are able to hold a first
8	class medical certificate.
9	In the contract, there is a provision
10	for a company medical examiner to be appointed and
11	then a pilot medical examiner and then a neutral if
12	it gets to that point.
13	Q And you refer to the fact that this is
14	in terms of the final determination, it is a flight
15	department decision?
16	A The decision to conduct the Section 15
17	ultimately lies with flight operations.
18	Q And in terms of in terms of mental
19	health issues, have you been involved in any prior
20	decisions prior to that of Ms. Petitt to refer
21	someone to a Section 15
22	A It is I mean, have been other cases.
23	Yes.
24	Q Can you give me an estimate of how many



cases?

1 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Calls for 2 speculation. 3 THE WITNESS: I don't remember. It is, 4 again, probably a handful. 5 BY MR. SEHAM: 6 Can you -- without naming names, can you 7 describe the circumstances relating to any of these 8 Section 15 referrals? 9 I don't remember any other particulars. 10 Can you identify any person at the company 0 11 who might have better knowledge of prior Section 15 12 cases? 13 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Calls for 14 speculation. 15 THE WITNESS: I mean, certainly Captain 16 Graham, Chris Puckett, O. C. Miller 17 potentially. 18 BY MR. SEHAM: 19 Are you familiar with the aviation 20 rulemaking committee on mental health? 21 Not -- I'm not familiar with that Α 22 particular arc. 23 Okay. What is the initial role of the DHS 24 in the Section 15 process as you understand it? 25 Α The DHS in Section 15 -- again, I would



1 have to refer to the contractual provisions. 2 But, in general, the DHS is 3 responsible for providing advice to the decision 4 maker -- in this case, Captain Graham -- about, you know, any relevant medical information that might be 5 6 germane to making the decision. 7 And once the process kicks off, the 8 DHS needs to select the company medical examiner --9 0 Okay. 10 -- to actually execute that portion of the 11 Section 15 --12 Is the DHS supposed to make a threshold 0 13 determination on his own as to whether there is a 14 mental health issue? I don't recall. I would have to refer to 15 Α 16 the contract. 17 And are there any limitations on the -- in 18 the mental health context, are there any limitations 19 on what the CME can investigate? Not that I'm aware of. If it is -- if it 20 Α 21 is germane to -- if the CME says that he or she 22 needs it to complete a full evaluation, then I 23 believe that we would provide that. 24 I want to make sure I got a 0 Okay.



complete answer to a prior question.

1	I had asked you were you aware of
2	anything in Ms. Petitt's work performance work
3	performance or conduct at I'm sorry. Strike
4	that.
5	Were you aware of anything in terms
6	of Ms. Petitt's conduct at work that warranted a
7	Section 15 referral in your mind?
8	A No.
9	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Overbroad. Asked
10	and answered.
11	BY MR. SEHAM:
12	Q Then the reason I wanted to bring that up
13	again is to be more specific.
14	You were at a meeting with her face
15	to face in January concerning some safety issues
16	that she raised. Correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Was there anything in her comportment
19	during that meeting that in your determination
20	warranted a Section 15 referral?
21	A No.
22	Q Now, are there any in terms of the
23	initiation of a Section 15 mental health evaluation,
24	are there any contractual safeguards that you recall
25	that are supposed to be observed?



1 None that I recall. 2 0 Okay. But would you agree with me that 3 this -- a Section 15 referral is a referral of some 4 concern to the pilot in terms of the potential 5 threat to his or her career? 6 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Calls for 7 speculation. Lacks foundation. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I would agree with 9 that. BY MR. SEHAM: 10 11 So would you agree with me that -- well, 0 12 strike that. 13 I want to refer you again to Document 14 And I think I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 15 9, Interrogatory Number 20 at the bottom. Tell me 16 when you have caught up to me. 17 Α Okav. Got it. 18 It reads -- Interrogatory Number 20, 19 "Identify all persons involved in Respondent's 20 decision to have Kelley Nabors interview the 21 complainant in March 2016." 22 Response to Interrogatory Number 20, 23 "The decision to refer Complainant's equal 24 opportunity concerns to Delta's human resources

department was made by Captain Jim Graham in

consultation with Jim Puckett. Ms. Nabors was 1 2 selected as the individual to interview Complainant 3 by her supervisor." 4 And did I read that correctly? 5 Α Yes. 6 Did you have any role in the -- well, you 0 7 know what. Strike that. 8 Let me ask: Is that your 9 understanding, that the purpose of Ms. Nabors's interview of Ms. Petitt was to investigate 10 11 Ms. Petitt's equal opportunity concerns? 12 Α Yes. 13 Did Ms. Petitt -- isn't it true that 14 Ms. Petitt never requested an equal opportunity 15 investigation? 16 Objection. Lacks foundation. MR. BISBEE: Calls for speculation. 17 18 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 19 BY MR. SEHAM: 20 Okay. Can you explain, if Ms. Petitt did not request an EEO investigation, why would the 21 22 company have initiated one? 23 Because we could not ignore her report and 24 the discussion in my office with respect to some 25 events that she described in training. And I



1 | believe she used the word "harassment" at one point.

And so we had to -- we had a line of followup activity that was associated with investigating those allegations. And so that is why we had to bring in the HR experts.

Q So were you part of that determination process that EEO should be involved?

A I had delegated the followup from the meeting to Captain Graham, and we came back -- and he came back with a proposal to divide the followup activity into the safety issues that Karlene had raised and then the matters that we just discussed with respect to harassment and unequal treatment.

And then there was a third line of activity that was associated with Delta policies and procedures.

Q Okay. What were the issues that she raised with respect to harassment and unequal treatment?

A The one I remember specifically related to an instructor and his -- the way that he had conducted some training and then his -- you know, his interactions with her in the training environment.

O What was the name of the instructor?



I believe it -- well, Tom Albain is the 1 2 one name that I remember. There may have been 3 others. And what were the other harassment or 4 unequal treatment issues that you recall? 5 6 I don't recall. Α 7 With respect to any issues related to Tom 0 8 Albain, what was Delta's ultimate conclusion? 9 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Calls for 10 speculation. Lacks foundation. 11 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 12 BY MR. SEHAM: 13 But your feeling at the time was that they 14 were significant enough that they warranted 15 investigation? 16 Absolutely. She brought them forward, and 17 we took them very seriously. 18 Okay. And what were the -- what were the 19 safety issues that she raised? 20 There was -- it was difficult to -- we had 21 to spend some time unpacking what all the safety 22 issues were. One of the most important that I do 23 remember was around reporting culture and being able



to bring safety issues forward.

24

25

And there were other concerns about

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- how Delta was developing its safety management
 system.
 - Q Okay. Was it -- was it your understanding at the time of your meeting with Karlene Petitt that having a reporting culture was a requirement of -- under federal aviation standards?
 - A Yes.
 - Q So you recall reporting culture issues and SMS system issues. And as you sit here right now, you can't recall any of the other safety issues that she raised?
 - A There were issues with respect to flight
 -- flight scheduling concerns that were raised and
 whether we were compliant with federal aviation
 regulations.
 - I'm sure there were some other issues raised, but those are the ones that I remember.
 - Q And did those flight scheduling issues also concern whether Delta was complying with federal aviation regulations that were --
 - A Yes.
 - Q Now, who was charged with following up on Ms. Petitt's -- the safety issues that she raised?
- A Well, again, Captain Graham -- I charged him with taking the results or the -- Karlene's



report and the meeting in my office -- following up with her directly to make sure that we understood the issues because we weren't able to get into all of them in a tremendous amount of detail in a 90-meeting in my office.

So he was charged with following up and basically categorizing the issues for followup, also, subsequently, on the safety issues consulted with our corporate safety and compliance department, which has overall responsibility for SMS at Delta.

Q Do you recall whether there were any final determinations by Delta as to the validity of the concerns that Ms. Petitt had raised?

MR. BISBEE: Objection. Overbroad.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.

BY MR. SEHAM:

O What was the determination?

A Well, the determination was that, you know, we have a culture of continuous improvement. But there are always opportunities to improve your performance.

And so we eventually -- we sought the assistance of an outside auditor. We felt that would be a healthy process for us to go through at that point in time. And the catalyst for that --



1 frankly, I think we would have done it anyway. 2 But certainly a catalyst for it was 3 the meeting with Karlene. 4 Did you at any time reach a determination 5 that any of the compliance issues that she had raised during her meeting with you or in her safety 6 7 report had been raised by her in bad faith? 8 Α No. 9 Did you make a determination at any time 10 that any of the compliance concerns that she raised 11 during her meeting with you were without any 12 validity? 13 Α No. 14 Who is -- and she also gave you a -- part 15 of the presentation was that she gave you a written 16 safety report. Correct? 17 Α Yes. 18 All right. And did you throw that out, or 0 19 did you read it? 20 No. I read it. Α 21 Did you read the entire document? 0 22 Α Yes. 23 Who was employed first at Delta? You or 24 Captain Graham? 25 Α Captain Graham.



Would you describe your relationship as 1 2 that of being friends? 3 Α Yes. 4 Did you play some role in putting --5 placing Mr. Graham in his management position? 6 Α Yes. 7 Does Delta have work rules that govern how 0 8 a pilot is supposed to conduct him or herself at 9 work? 10 Α Yes. 11 And is a pilot subject to discipline if he 0 violates those rules? 12 13 After a certain point, yes. Are you familiar with the concept of 14 15 progressive discipline? 16 Α Yes. 17 And what is that? Is that a concept 0 18 applied by Delta in the context of its relationships 19 with its individual pilots? 20 Α Yes. 21 And what does the term "progressive 22 discipline" mean to you? 23 It means that, if a pilot violates, you 24 know, a norm -- it may or may not involve something 25 that is specifically enumerated in the pilot



1 contract. 2 But, generally, we will -- you know, 3 unless it is something egregious like lying, 4 cheating, stealing, falsifying records, something 5 like that, then you would start off with perhaps 6 maybe a verbal counseling or a written letter of 7 counsel and then a letter of warning and 8 potentially, you know, unpaid time off from work. 9 And then you could get eventually all 10 the way up to termination. 11 Now, a pilot is entitled to grieve acts of 12 discipline pursuant to the -- pursuant to the 13 processes of the collective bargaining agreement? 14 Α Yes. 15 And is there a certain threshold 16 requirement in terms of the severity of the 17 discipline? 18 I don't recall. I think that is correct, 19 but I just don't recall. 20 Well, to be more specific, can you grieve 21 under a warning if you are a pilot? 22 Α I mean, I don't see why not. But you don't know --23 24 Α I don't know for sure. That doesn't 25 happen very often. Or that doesn't -- I don't



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 recall that ever occurring.

- Q Okay.
- A It is not to say that it couldn't.
- Q You used the term "letter of counsel." Is a letter of counsel considered a disciplinary act?
 - A In the strict definition of the contract, it is not considered discipline. There is -- and that has evolved over time.
 - And I'm not sure that the Airline
 Pilots Association and the company would necessarily
 agree in every case on where that line is.
 - Q If a pilot complains that there is a co-worker at Delta who is trying to harm him or her, is it Delta's procedure to investigate and interview the person who committed the alleged harm?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And are you familiar with Delta's policies
 -- well, does Delta ever perform a look-back of
 pilot records to check on their use of flight
 privileges and sick leave and things of that nature?
 - A From time to time.
 - Q Under what circumstances would the company -- Delta -- perform such a look-back?
- A It would usually be associated with something that has come to light, maybe if they were



1 not available for a trip or not available for 2 reserve duty, looking at what their practice had 3 been prior to that. 4 But it is not something that we are 5 doing on a systematic basis. It would generally be 6 as maybe one of these issues has come to light. 7 Did Delta ever conduct a look-back of 8 Ms. Petitt's work history? 9 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Foundation. 10 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 11 BY MR. SEHAM: 12 Was Ms. Petitt ever disciplined by Delta? 0 13 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Foundation. 14 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 15 BY MR. SEHAM: 16 Prior to -- okay. Are you familiar with Delta's ethics policy? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 0 What does that consist of? 20 Essentially consists of, you know, we 21 can't -- when we are representing the company, we 22 have got to make sure that we are, you know, 23 comporting ourselves as a representative of the 24 company, not a representative of ourselves.

We have got to make sure that our



1 relationships with vendors are aboveboard, not 2 accepting gifts above a certain monetary value. 3 Things like that. 4 And does Delta have an open door policy? 5 Α Yes. 6 How would you -- what is your 0 7 understanding of Delta's open door policy? 8 Α The open door policy is that all the 9 executives, leadership is available to our front line employees, that we want to hear from our front 10 11 line people. 12 Is there -- and that includes pilots, that 0 13 they are welcome to report to management? 14 Yes. Yes. 15 Are they -- is it mandatory that they 16 report to a certain level of management? 17 Α No. 18 So a rank and file pilot could send an 19 email directly to you? 20 Yes. And often do. Α 21 There is nothing wrong with a pilot doing 0 22 that? 23 There is nothing wrong with it. Α 24 Okay. Could a pilot -- similarly could a 0 25 pilot send an email to Ed Bastian?



1	A Yes.
2	Q Is there anything wrong with that?
3	A Nothing wrong with it.
4	Q Do you refer to Ed Bastian in your
5	well, I don't in your conversations or emails
6	with him, do you refer to him as Mr. Bastian or Ed?
7	A I normally refer to him as Ed.
8	Q Is that common usage to your knowledge,
9	that subordinates Mr. Bastian refer to him as
10	Ed?
11	A At the headquarters, yes. Among the front
12	line, typically, they will use a more formal term of
13	address using Mr. Bastian. But it certainly varies.
14	Q Now, on January on January 28, 2016,
15	you and Captain Graham and Captain Davis had a
16	meeting with Ms. Petitt. Correct?
17	A On January 28, 2016?
18	Q Correct.
19	MR. BISBEE: And you were saying Davis,
20	Graham
21	MR. SEHAM: You know, strike that.
22	MR. BISBEE: I had the same thought at the
23	same time.
24	BY MR. SEHAM:
25	Q Did you, Captain Graham and yeah. You,



Captain Graham, and Ms. Petitt have a meeting on 1 2 January 28, 2016? 3 Α Yes. 4 What was the purpose of that meeting? 5 Α The purpose was to review Karlene's report 6 that she had prepared and, you know, to hear her 7 concerns. 8 0 Okay. Would you agree that she had been 9 trying to set up this meeting for a few months? 10 I don't know how long it was, but I do 11 know it was -- the preparations go back to at least 12 to November of the previous year. Yes. 13 Do you know what her combined Delta and 14 Northwest seniority date is? 15 I don't remember. Α 16 Would it surprise you to hear that she has 0 17 over 20 years of seniority? 18 Α No. 19 Were you aware at the time of your meeting 20 that she had been a director of training at another 21 airline in her previous career? 22 Α I don't recall. 23 Do you know what her background is in 24 terms of her career? 25 Α I know that she had been instructor in the



STEPHEN M. DICKSON PETITT vs DELTA AIR LINES

I know she has written some 1 training department. 2 I know that she has spoken at industry 3 forums on various issues. You knew all of these things that you just 4 5 listed at the time of your meeting? 6 Yes. Α 7 Was this meeting something you were doing 8 out of obligation, or did you expect to obtain 9 valuable input from Ms. Petitt? 10 I expected to obtain valuable input. 11 Did you at that time understand her to be 0 12 a competent pilot? 13 Α Yes. 14 You knew at that time -- correct? -- that 15 she took a special interest in aviation safety 16 issues? 17 Α Yes. 18 And you knew at the time she was actually 19 seeking a PhD in aviation safety at Embry-Riddle? 20 I don't recall that detail. Α 21 So you say you did read Ms. Petitt's 0 22 safety report. Correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 Now, did you make any notes on it? 0 25 Α No.



1 Would you agree that she raised some 2 serious compliance issues? 3 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Vaque. Overbroad. 4 5 THE WITNESS: She raised some important 6 issues. 7 BY MR. SEHAM: 8 And important issues relating to 9 compliance with federal aviation standards. 10 Correct? 11 Same objection. It calls for MR. BISBEE: 12 a legal conclusion. 13 THE WITNESS: I think most of the issues 14 centered around culture and execution. Again, 15 primarily reporting culture. 16 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 3 was marked for identification and is 17 18 attached hereto.) 19 BY MR. SEHAM: 20 I've just handed you a document that is titled at the top "Assessment of Delta Air Lines 21 22 Flight Operations Safety Culture." And it is --23 when I say Bates stamped, that is the numbers with 24 the C at the beginning. 25 It is Bates stamped C00178 to C00220.



1	And once you have had a chance to look at it
2	MR. BISBEE: This is the version that you
3	produced to us. Right?
4	MR. SEHAM: Correct.
5	MR. BISBEE: Okay. Was it Bates and it
6	may have been. Was it Bates numbered in the
7	production that we received?
8	MR. SEHAM: Maybe not because we were
9	we have to deliver you a stick basically.
10	MR. BISBEE: This document is in our
11	production, just a non-Bates numbered version
12	of it?
13	MR. SEHAM: I don't know if we produced
14	unnumbered documents. I think we were going to
15	deliver the documents once they had all been
16	Bates stamped.
17	MR. BISBEE: Okay.
18	MR. SEHAM: And I have been out of the
19	office for three days.
20	MR. BISBEE: So this particular document
21	we don't have yet until now?
22	MR. SEHAM: With the numbers you have
23	this document. It is just that you don't have
24	it with the numbers at the bottom.
25	MR. BISBEE: That is what I was trying to



1 ask. Okav. 2 MR. SEHAM: Yeah. Correct. 3 BY MR. SEHAM: 4 Would you agree with me that this is the safety report that Ms. Petitt gave to you on 5 6 January 28th? 7 Α Yes. 8 MR. BISBEE: You have had a chance to look 9 through the whole document? THE WITNESS: Well, I am not -- I don't 10 11 recall this -- certainly the first portion is. I'm not sure -- let's see. And it has been a 12 13 long time since I have looked at this. 14 I don't remember the appendices. But, 15 yes, certainly the material up front here 16 appears to be the report that we discussed. 17 BY MR. SEHAM: 18 Would you agree me that in aviation a 19 large portion of incidents and accidents are 20 attributable to pilot fatigue? 21 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Overbroad. 22 THE WITNESS: No. I would not agree with 23 that. 24 BY MR. SEHAM: 25 Would you agree with me that pilot fatigue



1	is an issue of significant operational concern?
2	MR. BISBEE: Same objection. It is also
3	vague.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes. It is a risk that has
5	to be continuously mitigated.
6	BY MR. SEHAM:
7	Q And under the federal regulation, a pilot
8	who is excessively fatigued has an obligation to
9	remove himself from his assigned flight. Correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Would you agree that there is a certain
12	tension between preventing flying preventing
13	pilots from flying while fatigued and maintaining
14	Delta's schedule?
15	MR. BISBEE: Objection.
16	THE WITNESS: Safety has always got to
17	take top priority. So if a pilot calls in
18	fatigued, that is dispositive. We deal with
19	the we deal with everything else afterwards.
20	BY MR. SEHAM:
21	Q Are pilots ever pressured to disregard
22	their fatigue strike that.
23	Are pilots ever pressured by Delta
24	management or Delta scheduling to continue with a
25	flight notwithstanding the fact that they have



1 expressed that they are fatigued?

MR. BISBEE: Objection.

THE WITNESS: No. The pilots tend to put much more pressure on themselves. If anything, we have to make sure that they are not leaning too far forward.

So in any particular case -- you know, can you point to a case where there may have been a conversation about the impact of the schedule?

I'm sure that that happens. You are dealing with human beings. Systemically, absolutely not.

BY MR. SEHAM:

Q When you say there might have been a discussion, you mean a discussion along the lines of a scheduler saying, "If you call in fatigued, we have to cancel a flight and lose a million dollars"?

A Well, probably wouldn't say that. Or a gate agent or anyone whose job is made more difficult by the circumstance -- that is something we have to continuously manage on an enterprise of our size.

Q Has Delta ever disciplined a pilot for calling in fatigued?

A No.



1	Q Has Delta ever warned a pilot given an
2	oral warning for calling in fatigued?
3	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Vague and
4	overbroad. You are asking if any Delta
5	employee has ever issued a nonwritten document?
6	BY MR. SEHAM:
7	Q To your knowledge, has a
8	A To my knowledge, no.
9	Q Are representations that a pilot makes
LO	concerning his fatigue ever subject to challenge by
L1	Delta?
L2	A No.
L3	Q Are you familiar with the federal aviation
L4	regulations that pertain to a carrier's obligations
L5	as they relate to pilot fatigue?
L6	A Yes.
L7	Q What are those obligations?
L8	A We have a fatigue risk management program
L9	that we have set up. We continue to develop it and
20	improve it. And it is coordinated and approved by
21	the FAA.
22	Q Once it is approved by the FAA, then you
23	have an obligation to the FAA to comply with your
24	program. Correct?



A

Yes.

1	Q Delta is required under the federal
2	aviation regulations to have a fatigue training
3	program. Correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Does Delta have such a program?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Could you describe what that program
8	consists of?
9	A You know, at various points in both in
L0	our new hire training and also in our quarterly
L1	recurrent training, we will review the topics and
L2	the human factors issues associated with how to
L3	manage your own rest and how to make sure that we
L4	are fit and ready to fly.
L5	It is not something that is done
L6	every cycle, but it is done periodically in support.
L7	Q So Delta has an education and awareness
L8	program relating to fatigue. Correct?
L9	A Yes. And we also work very closely with
20	the central air safety committee at the Airline
21	Pilots Association because they will also deliver
22	some of this messaging as well.
23	Q And part of that and that education and
2.4	awareness training program is required to be



implemented by the FAA?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. It actually has to update that
3	program every two years. Correct?
4	A I don't recall what the timeframe is.
5	Q To the extent that there are updates,
6	every update has to be approved by the FAA.
7	Correct?
8	A I don't know.
9	Q And part of the program is to increase the
10	pilots' awareness of the dangers of fatigue?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And to also do educate a pilot that he
13	is encouraged to withdraw from flight operations if
14	he feels fatigued?
15	A Yes.
16	Q I'm going to ask you to turn to Dickson
17	Exhibit 3 and Page 17 of the report, which would be
18	Bates stamped C00194.
19	MR. BISBEE: This the nicest paper I have
20	ever seen an exhibit
21	MR. SEHAM: Yeah.
22	MR. BISBEE: It feels like my resume.
23	Lovely.
24	BY MR. SEHAM:
25	Q I'm going to refer you back to the section



where it says "Fatigue." I'm going to read just the first paragraph.

Quote, Inverse assignment. Said pilot was called at 11:00 p.m. on the east coast and given an inverse assignment where a scheduler booked a positive space, two-leg connection from Florida to Seattle on said pilot's personal time with 45 minutes to report for a Hong Kong flight on company time.

The wake up call was five hours after scheduling called with the assignment. Said pilot was afraid to turn it down for reason of retaliation; as said pilot sat in class where the manager said, quote, Never call in fatigued at Delta. That is the other F-word and said pilot had already experienced numerous forms of harassment. Closed quote.

My question -- well, let's avoid the profanity perhaps. For a pilot manager to tell a pilot, "Never call in fatigued at Delta. That is the other F-word" -- would you agree with me that that would be noncompliance with Delta's FAA-approved training program related to fatigue?

A Yes.

Q Do you recall who the pilot was who was



1	subject to that comment by the manager?
2	A No.
3	Q So you have no recollection of ever having
4	anyone interview this pilot?
5	A Not personally.
6	Q Did you ask Ms. Petitt during the meeting,
7	"Who is this pilot?"
8	A I don't recall.
9	Q So you don't have any knowledge of Delta
10	investigating this reported violation of its
11	training program?
12	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Foundation.
13	Misstates witness's testimony.
14	THE WITNESS: With respect to this
15	particular phrase, no. But that is not
16	consistent with certainly with my direction
17	and the way we want to run
18	BY MR. SEHAM:
19	Q Well, would you agree I'm sorry. I
20	didn't mean to interrupt. Were you finished?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Would you agree that a manager making
23	these statements to a pilot would be a violation of
24	Delta's training program related to fatigue?
25	A On its face, yes.



1	Q Did you at that time have any reason to
2	believe that Ms. Petitt was reporting this incident
3	in bad faith?
4	A No.
5	Q Have you since this time since the time
6	of your meeting ever come to the conclusion that
7	Ms. Petitt made this report in bad faith?
8	A No.
9	Q Do you know a pilot named Jerry Harrott?
10	A No.
11	(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 4 was
12	marked for identification and is
13	attached hereto.)
14	BY MR. SEHAM:
15	Q I'm handing you a document we have marked
16	as Dickson Exhibit 4, and it is an email thread, it
17	states, from Jerry Harrott to Stephen Dickson.
18	MR. BISBEE: I will just note this is not
19	Bates numbered. Has this been produced?
20	MR. SEHAM: No. As I say, we have not
21	delivered these documents yet.
22	BY MR. SEHAM:
23	Q Take your time to review the email thread.
24	MR. BISBEE: This looks like this reads
25	front to back is that correct? for his



1 review purposes. 2 MR. SEHAM: Yeah. The only thing I'm 3 asking him with respect -- I'm not going to go 4 into the details of it. I am just asking him whether this is -- if 5 6 he recognizes this is as an email exchange he 7 had with the referenced pilot just to confirm 8 its authenticity. 9 MR. BISBEE: So you are providing an email that has not been produced and asking for 10 11 authenticity to be inquired about in this 12 deposition? 13 See, we had -- the date for MR. SEHAM: 14 our document production was Monday. We emailed 15 you a response just as you had -- well, 16 actually you all got a two-week extension. 17 We gave you our response saying, as you 18 all stated, we will be producing these 19 documents because we didn't get anything. Even 2.0 with the two-week extension, we didn't get 21 anything. 22 MR. BISBEE: Well, we produced 25,000 23 pages of material. 24 MR. SEHAM: No, no. We didn't get

anything even after the two-week extension; so



5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

- we produced the document response with an email to you explaining that we were still Bates stamping.
 - And as soon as the Bates stamps were done, because that was the order of the tribunal, we would be providing the documents. We haven't produced -- we haven't physically delivered to you any documents yet.
 - MR. BISBEE: I'm just saying you could have provided us with exhibits that had not been exchanged in this case prior to the deposition. But I appreciate the explanation.
- Can you explain where the document came from? I don't see your client's name on it.

 It has not been produced by Delta.
 - MR. SEHAM: No, I can't. I'm asking this witness to authenticate this exchange.
- MR. BISBEE: This doesn't have
- 19 Mr. Dickson's --
- MR. SEHAM: I'm asking this -- and we are getting very close to a Rule 30 coaching here.

 I'm asking Mr. Dickson this question, whether he can authenticate this email exchange.
- MR. BISBEE: I'm trying to understand what this document is.



It is entirely permissible given that it 1 2 has never been sent to Delta in this case for 3 your representation. I am in no way trying to 4 coach the witness and nor will I ever do so. But I will represent my client especially 5 6 under circumstances like this. 7 MR. SEHAM: May we proceed with the 8 questioning of the client? 9 MR. BISBEE: Have you had a chance to review the email, Mr. Dickson? 10 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 Then, yes, you may. MR. BISBEE: 13 BY MR. SEHAM: Can you authenticate that this is an 14 15 actual email exchange you had with a Mr. Harrott, a 16 pilot at Delta Air Lines? 17 Α Yes. 18 What does SMS stand for in the context of 19 flight operations? 2.0 Α Safety management system. 21 What does SMS consist of? 0 Well, it is a way to manage safety risk 22 Α systematically in terms of -- rather than in a 23 24 prescriptive way. In other words, more performance 25 based rather than rules based.



1	And it has got four components:
2	Safety policy, safety promotion, safety risk
3	management, and safety assurance.
4	Q At the time of your meeting with
5	Ms. Petitt, the company had already adopted an SMS
6	program?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And that SMS program that existed at that
9	time in January of 2016 had been approved by the
10	FAA?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Would you agree with me that, pursuant to
13	federal aviation standards, that Delta had an
14	obligation to conform and comply with the terms of
15	the SMS program that the FAA had approved?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Now, I would like you to turn to Page 19.
18	MR. BISBEE: You are back on Exhibit 3?
19	BY MR. SEHAM:
20	Q Of Dickson Exhibit 3, which is Bates
21	stamped C00196.
22	A Okay.
23	Q You will see there is a bolded quote at
24	the top that reads, quote, The FAA also cautions
25	that the SRM process should be embedded in the



1 organization's operational processes that are used 2 to provide -- provided product and services and not 3 separate or distinct -- I'm sorry. You know what? 4 I'm going to start from scratch here. Ouote, The FAA also cautions that the 5 6 SRM process should be embedded in the organization's 7 operational processes that are used to provided --8 with a D at the end -- product and services and not 9 separate or distinct process. FAA 2009. Closed 10 quote. 11 My question is: What is SRM? What 12 does that refer to? 13 Safety risk management. 14 Does Delta have a safety risk management 0 15 process? 16 Α Yes. 17 Did it have such a process in January of 0 18 2016? 19 Α Yes. 20 Can you describe it? 0 21 Well, in terms of executing safety risk Α 22 management, we are taking all of our safety data 23 that is coming in, whether it is FOQA data or --24 COURT REPORTER: FOOA data?

THE WITNESS: I am sorry.



25

FOQA.

1 MR. BISBEE: All capital? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 Or pilot ASAP reports or FAA reports, 4 audit information, training information -taking that -- and it goes into our data 5 6 analysis group with flight safety and flight 7 operations together. 8 And we track and trim that information, 9 identify what the emerging threats are. 10 then that goes to our threat management group, 11 which is comprised of leaders across 12 disciplines within flight operations to 13 determine what policy or procedure changes may 14 need to be put in place to mitigate that threat or to make sure that we continue to have a 15 16 positive trend. And then the final -- those 17 18 recommendations are developed out of the threat 19 management group for the -- and presented to 20 the flight ops directors. And actions come out 21 of that, whether it is training communications, 22 policy changes/adjustments to be able to make 23 sure that we -- that we manage that risk.

And it may or may not involve departments

outside of flight operations, depending on, you



24

1 know, what the interfaces with a particular 2 issue are. 3 BY MR. SEHAM: 4 Now, are you aware that Delta sets forth six reasons at a minimum that mandate that an SRM 5 6 process should be performed? 7 Α I don't remember the number of reasons, 8 but there are certainly some criteria. 9 Okay. And to give you a concrete example, would open access to the flight deck such that an 10 11 unstable person was unable to enter the flight 12 deck -- would that be a hazard requiring the 13 initiation of an SRM process? 14 Α Yes. 15 Is it true that the FAA directs that all 16 levels of management must actively promote and 17 provide leadership to foster a positive safety 18 culture? 19 Α Yes. 20 And is it true that managers at your -- so 21 are all levels of managers at Delta actively engaged 22 in promoting and fostering a positive safety 23 culture? 24 Α Yes. 25 0 So, for example, what do you -- in the two



1 years leading up to your retirement, what were you 2 doing --3 MR. BISBEE: Objection. BY MR. SEHAM: 4 -- with respect to the promotion of a 5 6 positive safety culture? 7 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Overbroad. 8 THE WITNESS: Well, I was, you know, 9 ultimately responsible for the safety of our 10 flight operations. 11 I was making sure that we were managing 12 change effectively, you know, improving the 13 technology, the tools on the flight deck, 14 making sure we continue to improve our training 15 programs, benchmarking around the industry to 16 see what manufacturers -- other operators were 17 doing and ensuring that we were measuring and 18 continuing to see improving trends in our core 19 safety metrics. 20 BY MR. SEHAM: Does the CEO Ed Bastian have any role in 21 0 22 the promotion of flight operation safety culture? 23 Α Yes. 24 What is his role? 0 25 Α His role is -- he is on our board of



directors. We have a board of directors safety and 1 2 security committee. 3 And, you know, we review our safety 4 performance on a regular basis with the safety and 5 security committee. And as we have events show up, 6 we are showing them our historical trends. 7 But ultimately, you know, in his role 8 as a CEO, Ed is the safety leader of the company. 9 Does Delta examine data gathered from 10 everyday operations? 11 Α Yes. 12 Do you attempt to isolate trends that 0 13 might be precursors to incidents and accidents and 14 then take steps to mitigate the risk? 15 Α Yes. 16 I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 4 of 17 the Document 3, Bates stamped 00181. 18 I'm starting from the Alaska Okav. 19 pilot reference. It says Alaska pilot, in 20 parenthesis, 2015. Quote, They told us they --21 Do you see where he is? MR. BISBEE: 22 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 23 MR. BISBEE: Okay. 24 BY MR. SEHAM:

Quote, They told us they valued our



Q

opinion and, if we saw anything -- in italics --1 2 that could be improved or were doing wrong, to 3 please let them know. Closed quote. Alaska 4 encourages new hire employees to bring forward 5 issues. 6 Then it goes on to say, quote, 7 Statements from Delta senior flight operations 8 management indicating essence of Delta culture 9 include, quote, If there is a better way, Delta would already be doing it. 10 11 Quote, Stop writing emails. There is 12 nothing you can say that they don't know already. 13 Ouote --14 MR. BISBEE: I think you read that one slightly wrong. 15 16 MR. SEHAM: I will read it again. 17 BY MR. SEHAM: 18 Ouote, Stop writing emails. There is 19 nothing you can say that they don't already know. 20 Closed quote. 21 Ouote, You should stop all this 22 writing and drink more beer. Closed quote. 23 Quote, At Delta we have the power to 24 do what we want. Closed quote.



25

Quote, You are not the first person

STEPHEN M. DICKSON PETITT vs DELTA AIR LINES

who gets multiple retaliatory line checks. Closed 1 2 quote. 3 With respect to these quotes, do any 4 of them give you concern in terms of compliance with 5 SMS safety culture? 6 Yes. But I have never heard any of this. Α 7 This is not a message that we convey. 8 0 But if -- you said you read Ms. Petitt's 9 safety report. Correct? 10 Α Yes. 11 So you did read her report that Delta 0 12 senior flight operations management were making 13 these statements. Correct? 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. Would you agree with me that these 16 statements are not in compliance with the Delta SMS 17 program? 18 Yes. Yes. Α 19 Did you conclude at any time that 20 Ms. Petitt was reporting these statements in bad 21 faith? 22 Α No. 23 Did you ask her at any time who were the 24 sources of these statements? 25 Α I don't recall.



1	Q Do you recall whether there was any
2	investigation by Delta into the sources of these
3	statements?
4	A There was definitely recalibration on
5	among to make sure that we were delivering a very
6	supportive message, which I believe we have. But
7	there may have been some anecdotes that we had to
8	address.
9	Q My question was more specific, though.
LO	Are you aware whether Delta made any
L1	effort to track down the identity of the individuals
L2	who made the quoted statements?
L3	A Yes.
L4	Q They did make an effort?
L5	A Yes.
L6	Q Do you know who conducted that
L7	investigation?
L8	A I don't recall. Well, it would have been
L9	Captain Graham.
20	Q Okay. Do you know whether Captain Graham
21	ever asked Ms. Petitt who made these statements?
22	A I don't recall.
23	Q Do you recall Captain Graham's conclusions
24	as to whether these statements had been made or not?



A

I don't recall.

1 This last comment, "You are not the first 2 person who gets multiple retaliatory line checks" -have you ever heard that, that concept of a 3 4 retaliatory line check? 5 Α No. 6 Do you recall whether any disciplinary 0 7 action was taken against any of the persons who made 8 these statements -- this statement? 9 Α I don't recall. 10 I would like you to turn to Page 5. 11 MR. BISBEE: Before we move on, Mr. Seham, 12 what time do you want to take lunch? Because, 13 if not, I'm going to suggest a break if you 14 want to go, like, another hour or something. 15 It is 11:45 right now. If you want to have 16 lunch at 12:00, I can --17 MR. SEHAM: We can have lunch at 12:00. 18 MR. BISBEE: Does that work for you, Mr. Dickson? 19 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm fine. 21 BY MR. SEHAM: Okay. I'm going to ask you -- I've 22 23 already asked you to turn to Page 5. 24 If you go about a third down the

page, it starts with the bold print, "A330 pilots



are not confident on the aircraft." 1 2 Open quote, A senior check airman 3 determined that pilots did not hand fly their 4 aircraft because they lacked understanding and 5 confidence; thus were fearful to engage -- to 6 disengage the automation. 7 Open parenthesis, A330 check airman, 8 personal communication February 12, 2015. Closed 9 quote. 10 Do you know who this check airman 11 was? 12 Α No. 13 Did you ever ask Ms. Petitt who the check 0 14 airman was? 15 Α No. Did you ever draw a conclusion that 16 17 Ms. Petitt was making this report in bad faith? 18 Α No. 19 Would you agree with me that this 20 statement, if accurate, reflects a failure in 21 Delta's training program? 22 Α No. 23 You don't agree with that? 0 24 Α No. 25 Q So it is acceptable from Delta's



perspective that they avoid hand flying because they
lack understanding and confidence?

A So your question -- you are asking me if I think that is acceptable?

Q Yeah. If it is acceptable.

A No. I think this is one individual's opinion.

Q I'm asking you, if that is the fact, assuming as a factual premise that Delta pilots are, in fact, not flying -- not engaging in hand flying because they lack understanding and confidence -- if that is a fact, would you agree with me that that would reflect a failure in Delta's training program?

A If that is a fact, that is an area particularly with newer, more automated aircraft where we would want to make sure they had that confidence. Absolutely.

Q Okay. But, of course, you would like it. But if they come out of training and they don't have the understanding and confidence to engage in hand flying, would you agree with me that that is a failure of Delta's FAA-approved training program?

A I would say it is an opportunity for improvement. Certainly. No, I would not --

Q That is not my question. Are you saying



that would be acceptable in --1 2 Α No. 3 0 Okay. So then you would agree with me 4 that that would reflect noncompliance with 5 FAA-approved training programs, that pilots don't 6 have the confidence to engage in hand flying. 7 It is a higher level than compliance. Α Ιt 8 is an undesirable outcome. So we hold ourselves --9 we are talking about managing safety risk as a higher bar than simple compliance. 10 11 Would you agree with me that, under your 0 12 training programs that are approved by the FAA --13 Delta has an obligation to train their pilots on how to operate the aircraft via --14 15 To have basic proficiency of the airplane. Α 16 Absolutely. 17 Thank you. I'm going to refer you Okay. 0 18 to the next paragraph, which reads, "The author --19 lack of information sharing. 20 "The author, said pilot, continually 21 receives questions from other airline pilots as to 22 how to operate the aircraft, procedural questions, 23 line questions, et cetera. 24 "Why don't these pilots know?

better yet, why is there not a formal system with



1 processes in place to answer these questions?" 2 Do you see that reference? 3 Α Yes. 4 Now, I think it has been established Delta 5 has an obligation under federal aviation regulations 6 to adequately train its pilots for flight 7 operations. Correct? 8 Α Yes. 9 And would you agree with me that Ms. Petitt in this report is communicating to Delta 10 11 that Delta's pilots are not adequately trained? 12 As far as information sharing? Is that Α 13 what --14 Yes. Yes. 0 15 I think she is alluding to the fact Α Yes. 16 that we can improve our processes for making sure 17 that our pilots are informed about emerging safety 18 risks in the operation and actually events that are 19 going on in the line. 20 Let's look at bullet points that follow. The first bullet point reads, quote, At 300 degrees 21 22 ILS 16L, thrust went to idle at 300 degrees. A/S 7K 23 below ref period. 24 I'm sorry. 300 degrees should be 25 300 feet.



STEPHEN M. DICKSON PETITT vs DELTA AIR LINES

1	A	Right.
2	Q	Excuse me.
3		Engaged TOGA, T-O-G-A, momentarily,
4	landed ir	T/D zone but with full aft stick to
5	achieve r	normal T/D attitude. We didn't know why and
6	lucked ou	ıt. Any idea?
7		MR. BISBEE: When you are saying "TD," it
8	is T	C, slash, D?
9		MR. SEHAM: Touchdown zone. Yeah.
10	BY MR. SE	HAM:
11	Q	Closed quote.
12		Now, what is ILS?
13	A	Instrument landing system.
14	Q	What does 16L refer to?
15	A	That is runway 16 left.
16	Q	Can you explain the reference to A/S 7K
17	below ref	?
18	A	Airspeed seven knots below your normal
19	final app	proach speed.
20	Q	What is TOGA?
21	A	That is takeoff/go-around mode. It is
22	essential	ly maximum throttle position.
23	Q	And why would a pilot engage TOGA
24	momentari	.ly?
25	A	Usually if they were going to abandon the



1 approach and take the airplane around. 2 Now, the pilot states, quote, Landed in 3 T/D zone with full aft stick to achieve normal T/D 4 attitude. Closed quote. 5 Is that a source of concern for you 6 as described? 7 Α Yes. 8 Why would that be a source of --0 9 Well, it indicates that the airplane was -- because it was below the final approach airspeed 10 11 that -- in order to arrest the descent for the 12 landing, that they were at -- they were at maximum 13 control authority. 14 The pilot concludes, quote, We didn't know why and lucked out. Any idea? Closed quote. 15 16 Would you agree that that 17 communication conveys a degree of helplessness? 18 Yes. Α 19 0 And --20 I mean, I don't know what was in the 21 author's mind exactly, but I can surmise that. 22 0 It conveys a sense of a lack of 23 operational control. Correct? 24 Α Yes.

And are you comfortable with a pilot



0

1 expressing these sentiments about his own flight
2 competency?

A Yeah. We would want to know -- I mean, I don't know -- this particular event -- you know, I would want to know if we had an event like this happened. I would hope that the pilot would have reported it and that we would have followed up on it with our normal process.

Q Why would you want to follow up on it?

A Because we would want to see if there is any technical issues with the airplane. We would want to see if there is any -- anything that could be addressed through systems awareness communication or, you know -- and then also to make sure that the other pilot was also monitoring the flight path of the airplane in an active way.

Q Okay. Did you ask Ms. Petitt to identify the pilot involved?

A No.

Q Did you follow up in any way in terms of investigating --

A I did not personally follow up.

Q Did anyone else follow up in terms of investigating the facts of that bullet point?

MR. BISBEE: Objection. Foundation.



1 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 2 BY MR. SEHAM: 3 The next bullet point states, quote, On 4 T/O, got hook up to A/S in 30 degree right bank when 5 accelerating at 3,500 feet. Thrust lock observed. 6 Alpha floor suspected but not observed. 7 Hook may have just been on the side. 8 PNF captain, in parenthesis. Not sure what 9 happened. 10 Do you see that reference? Yeah. 11 Α 12 T/O means take off? 0 13 Α Yes. 14 Would you agree me that takeoffs and 15 landings are generally considered the most vulnerable part of flight operation? 16 17 Yes. Α 18 And A/S is air speed. Correct? 0 19 Α Yes. 20 Does the hookup described here present an 21 operational concern? 22 Α Yes. 23 And here it means -- the hookup signifies 24 here that the airspeed is too slow. Correct? 25 Α Yes. With a bank angle that is on the



1 | airplane, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q In the aircraft, there is a depiction of a hook on the airspeed indicator that comes up to the airspeed when the aircraft is operating too slowly.
 - A Yes.
 - Q And then the thrust locks. Correct?
- 7 A Yes.
 - Q And then the effective of the alpha floor is that the plane then goes to max power?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q And that is something that happens automatically without -- beyond the pilot exercising control.
- 14 A Right. Correct.
 - Q Now, when the pilot reports that the alpha floor is suspect, does that present a concern for you?
 - A Yeah. Alpha floor is not a desirable situation to be in, but it is an automated recovery mode that is in the Airbus flight deck architecture.
 - Q When alpha floor is suspected but not observed, is there any potential for having an aircraft stall?
 - A There is a potential for either a stall or an overspeed, depending on how the recovery is done.



So it is something that is -- that is very unique to the Airbus and can -- you know, a lot of times, when it comes up, it can startle the pilot. So it is hard for them to go back and reconstruct exactly what happened.

Q When a pilot says, "Alpha floor suspected but not observed," does that present a concern for you?

A Yes.

Q What concern is that?

A Well, you might execute an inappropriate recovery procedure if you don't recognize that you are in an alpha floor condition.

Q Is it possible here, with respect to this incident, that these facts reflect inadequate training of that pilot?

A More likely a momentary loss of situational awareness. But certainly -- I mean, these things you don't see very often. They can surprise you.

So if you were trained on something, you know, a year or two earlier and you encounter a situation, sometimes there can be a momentary loss of awareness there. And they might not notice right away what the indications were.



1	Q In this case, perhaps the pilot might have
2	needed refresher training?
3	A Possibly. And I presume that would have
4	happened if the if this had been reported through
5	the ASAP process.
6	Q Did you ask Ms. Petitt to identify the
7	pilot?
8	A No.
9	Q Did you follow up in any way?
10	A I did not personally follow up.
11	Q Do you know if anyone else followed up in
12	any way in terms of
13	A In terms of these overall safety concerns,
14	this is part of Captain Graham's followup on that
15	aspect of the report.
16	Q Okay. All right.
17	MR. SEHAM: Off the record.
18	(A recess was taken, and the
19	deposition continued as
20	follows:)
21	BY MR. SEHAM:
22	Q Before I resume with the safety report, I
23	think and tell me if I recollect incorrectly.
24	But you testified that Captain Graham went to EO,
25	human resources, labor relations, and legal for



1 guidance.

A I know, at some point, consulted with legal as we would on a lot of issues like this. And then I neglected to mention the director of health services was also -- Dr. Faulkner was also involved.

Q With respect to these different organizations, can you name persons at all? Can you name a person in -- the persons in EO with whom he consulted?

A Well, I know Kelley Nabors for sure. I don't know if her superiors were involved. And I know in labor relations it was Chris Puckett. And legal -- I don't know the precise individuals.

O In HR?

A I don't remember. It would have been our HR generalist, I believe, at the time. Topically, when you have something like this where there has been, you know, some sort of harassment or, you know, an interaction like that, they would be involved.

 $\label{eq:But they may have already referred it} \\ \text{to EO. I'm just not sure.}$

Q So you don't really have a name for -- within HR?

A No. No.



1	Q And how is it
2	A I know it is not our current team. I just
3	don't remember who it was at the time.
4	Q So is it fair to say that the only the
5	only names you can give me in terms of with whom
6	Graham consulted would be Nabors, Puckett, and
7	Faulkner?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay.
10	A I know for a fact they were involved.
11	Q And you can't recall anyone else by name?
12	A No.
13	Q I'm going back to the safety report.
14	MR. BISBEE: You are still on Page 5?
15	MR. SEHAM: Yeah.
16	MR. BISBEE: Okay.
17	BY MR. SEHAM:
18	Q I want to refer you to that third bullet
19	point that reads, quote, Do you know any good check
20	airmen out there on the Bus that are open to ideas?
21	I ran into a problem a couple of days ago and would
22	like to bounce an idea for a change off somebody.
23	Thoughts?
24	Now, did I read that correctly?
25	A Yes.



Q And then is check airmen a management position at Delta?

A It is an extension of the leadership team. But they are line pilots who are doing the flight standardization, line checks, and operating experience on the airplane. Not a formal management position.

Q Do you have a person on each -- for each fleet type or equipment type accessible to provide information to pilots about operations?

A Yes.

Q Does it present any concern to you that the -- this pilot is putting his message out to the general public as opposed to going within management?

A It is -- it would be better to go to the subject matter experts on the fleet, whether it was an instructor or a line check pilot. But this is not -- I mean, pilots are always communicating with each other on issues. This is not particularly unusual.

Q Did you have any concerns with that third bullet point, the reference to running into a problem a couple of days ago and wanting to bounce an idea for change off somebody? Did that present



1 any concerns for you?

A Not specifically. Again, pilots are always talking about, you know, conferring with each other on best practices and, you know, overcoming operational, you know, issues.

So there are certainly -- you know, it is impossible to know everything about the systems on the airplane. And there are some check airmen and some technical pilots who may have particular focused areas of expertise where you might be able to get a question answered.

Q And the next -- did you follow up with Ms. Petitt and ask her who that pilot was?

A I did not. This would have been part of the safety followup.

Q And the next bullet point reads, quote, I have got an Airbus question for you. This came up on a SID out of AMS. And I am not sure if the autopilot was just being sloppy or not. The situation is -- dot, dot, dot. That is where the quote ends.

Now, what does SID stand for?

- A Standard instrument departure.
- Q And then AMS would be Amsterdam?
- A Yes.



1 What does it mean for an autopilot to be 2 sloppy? 3 Α Well, I mean, I don't think -- well, maybe 4 it was not controlling air speed very well, or maybe 5 there were excursions in pitch. You know, something 6 that seemed out of the norm to a particular 7 individual. 8 It may have been due to the way that 9 that particular departure was designed, or it may have been a technical issue on the airplane. 10 11 hard to say from looking at this. 12 It is potentially suggestive of flight 0 13 operation problems? 14 It is something that we would want to look 15 into. Yes. 16 And did you ask Ms. Petitt for the name of this individual? 17 18 I did not. Α 19 And did you ever -- with respect to both 20 the third and fourth bullet points, did you ever 21 have a basis for concluding that these 22 communications were being passed on in bad faith by 23 Ms. Petitt? 24 Α No. 25 Q The last bullet point reads, "During OE,



the wheels fell off when, on my first leg, Albain 1 2 told me to go vertical speed on the descent into 3 I was high and fast, and that just made it 4 WTF." worse. And OE is -- would that be operating 5 6 experience? 7 Α Yes. 8 0 And DTW would be Detroit? 9 Α Yes. 10 And WTF, based on your life experience 11 which I share, would that -- would you understand 12 that to mean "what the fuck"? 13 Α Yes. 14 And OE is a training event. Correct? O 15 Α Yes. 16 And OEs are typically conducted on live 17 revenue flights? 18 Α Correct. 19 So more likely than not there were 20 passengers onboard the flight that is referenced 21 here? 22 Α Yes. 23 And in your experience in the airline 24 industry, what does it mean -- the expression, the wheels fell off? 25



1 I can't speculate as to what this 2 individual -- I mean, I don't know what -- what he 3 or she meant. 4 Well, when you read it, was your 5 understanding that things operationally went off 6 track? 7 Objection. MR. BISBEE: 8 THE WITNESS: Not -- it may have been the 9 individual got task saturated. It could have 10 been a lot of things meant by that. 11 BY MR. SEHAM: Well, reading it in the context of the 12 0 13 entire bullet point, which refers to going in high 14 and fast into Detroit, did this communicate -- this 15 bullet point -- that there was an operational safety 16 issue on this flight? 17 It could have been. I don't know to what Α 18 There certainly were some work -- appear to 19 be some workload management challenges. 20 What does it mean to go vertical speed or 21 -- what does it mean to go vertical speed on 22 descent? 23 It is the vertical navigation mode of the 24 aircraft for -- not typically a mode that you would



be in.

1 When would you invoke that as a strategy? 2 Α Well, sometimes -- I believe this probably 3 was an Airbus; although I'm not a hundred percent 4 sure. But if you are not in the lateral 5 6 navigation mode, you will be in -- you know, you 7 won't be in a -- you would either be in an open 8 descent or vertical speed. 9 So it is something where the 10 primary -- it is not going to control the airspeed 11 of the aircraft except within limits. And it is 12 just going to try to climb or descend the airplane 13 at a certain rate, whatever that maybe. 14 And it could cause you to -- a distraction. 15 It could cause you to miss an altitude 16 speed restriction or an airspeed restriction.

Q When descent is high and fast, that creates a potential for overshooting the runway.

Correct?

A Well, it depends on what phase of flight. But, yeah, overshooting the runway or missing an altitude restriction -- you know, it can definitely cause some workload issues.

And managing your energy approaching the airport is always something that is a very high



17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

emphasis item. 1 2 And you have never flown the A330. 3 Correct? 4 Α No. Are you knowledgeable of the best 5 6 practices for flying the A330? 7 I have flown the A320 series, and it is Α 8 very similar. So I would say, in general, yes. 9 Are there -- to your knowledge, on the A330 are there altitude protections and vertical 10 11 speed on that aircraft? 12 Α Yes. 13 Okay. So coming in -- so coming in high and fast using vertical speed could lead to an 14 15 unstable approach? 16 Α Yes. 17 And the pilot could inadvertently fly 18 through an assigned altitude. Correct? 19 Α Yes. 20 Now, do you know who Tom Albain is? 21 I know -- I mean, I don't know him Α personally. But as a result of Karlene's report, I 22 23 am familiar with the name. 24 Okay. You have never spoken to him 25 personally?



_	
1	A No.
2	Q Have you ever emailed or otherwise
3	communicated with him?
4	A No.
5	Q Have you ever read any reports submitted
6	by him?
7	A No.
8	Q But he is a I'm not sure if you said
9	he is a line check airman and simulator instructor.
LO	Correct?
L1	A Well, I'm not sure what his current status
L2	is. I know he had been an instructor at one time.
L3	I don't know if he still is or not.
L4	Q Reading that bullet point, did it present
L5	any concern to you that Mr. Albain might have been
L6	giving the pilot faulty procedural instructions?
L7	MR. BISBEE: Objection.
L8	THE WITNESS: No. It may have been a poor
L9	instructional technique or an ill-timed
20	directive that may have increased the situation
21	awareness of the pilot.
22	But it is not you know, per se, going
23	into vertical speed is not necessarily a bad
24	thing. It depends on the circumstances.



1 BY MR. SEHAM:

- Q Do you know whether or not Mr. Albain's instruction was consistent with the applicable training manual for the A330?
 - A I don't know.
- Q Was that something that anyone on the management side was tasked with investigating?
 - A I don't know.
- Q Would you agree with me that Ms. Petitt, in conveying that last bullet point concerning the OE during which the wheels fell off -- did you understand her to be communicating her concern that faulty instructions were being given to the pilot involved by Mr. Albain?
 - MR. BISBEE: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: I took the statement at face value. You know, there could be a lot of reasons why something like this -- and, you know, the results of what actually occurred here are not stated. But it was something that we needed to look into.

23 BY MR. SEHAM:

Q Well, in terms of understanding what
Ms. Petitt was -- you read this report, and you had



1	a long meeting with her.
2	Did you understand Ms. Petitt's
3	intent was to bring to your attention a problem with
4	flight instruction?
5	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Calls for
6	speculation.
7	THE WITNESS: Again, I took this at face
8	value, and I don't recall specifically
9	discussing this particular bullet point.
10	BY MR. SEHAM:
11	Q Did it present a situation that merited
12	further investigation?
13	A All the safety all the issues brought
14	up we needed to follow up on. Yes.
15	Q All the safety issues brought up by
16	Ms. Petitt?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And this was this is a safety issue,
19	this last bullet point?
20	A Could be.
21	Q Do you have any basis either then or now
22	for concluding that Ms. Petitt brought this to your
23	attention in bad faith?
24	A No.
25	Q Now, check airmen and simulator



STEPHEN M. DICKSON PETITT vs DELTA AIR LINES

1 instructors -- they have to perform their 2 instruction duties pursuant to an instructor 3 training manual. Correct? 4 Α Yes. And that instructor training manual has to 5 0 6 be approved by the FAA. Correct? 7 Α Yes. 8 And a carrier is not permitted to deviate 0 9 from its training and instruction manuals without permission from the FAA. Correct? 10 11 We would want -- we would want to Α Yes. follow whatever we have committed to the FAA. 12 13 Are you aware -- prior to receiving this 14 report, were you aware of any prior complaints about 15 Mr. Albain's job performance at Delta? 16 Α No. 17 What is the -- what is the required amount 0 18 of time for the oral portion of an -- actually, 19 strike that. 20 What is an LOE? 21 It is a line oriented evaluation. Α 22 0 And is there an oral portion of an LOE? 23 Α Yes. 24 And what is the required amount of time 0 25 for the oral portion of an LOE?



I don't remember. I don't think it is 1 2 specifically defined. I don't remember. 3 0 What is the required amount of time for 4 the -- they use the term -- use the term "brief" as it applies to an LOE. Correct? 5 6 Yes. Α 7 Is there a required time component for the 0 8 brief? 9 Α Yes. What is that? 10 0 11 Usually, for an LOE, it is a one-hour Α 12 brief. 13 And is an instructor allowed to decrease 14 that allotment of time without authorization from 15 the FAA or upper management? 16 Α Should not. 17 What is the process that flight operations 18 would follow if someone was alleged to have 19 falsified training records? 20 Α We would need to investigate that. 21 Now, who would be responsible for 0 22 investigating that? Well, we would -- I mean, ultimately, the 23 24 managing director of flight training and the 25 individual fleet that was involved -- are we talking



1 about training records? 2 Yes. 0 3 Yes. They would need to investigate it, 4 and we would also have our quality assurance and compliance group look into it as well. 5 6 I would like to refer you to Dickson 7 Document 3, the safety report, bottom of Page 5, 8 Bates stamp 182. And starting with the reference to 9 training standards, it reads, "Training standards. 10 A training check airmen left a message for the two 11 pilots to show up to a recurrent training session 10 12 minutes prior to that event. 13 "Both students were new to the 14 aircraft. Both had been on the aircraft less than a 15 The captain had failed day one and required vear. 16 additional training prior to this event. One of the 17 pilots showed up at the prescribed time and waited 18 for an hour and a half for the instructor." 19 Does that present any concerns to 20 you? 21 If true, yes, it does. Α 22 0 Would that indicate noncompliance with 23 Delta's training instructor manuals? 24 Α Yes. 25 Q Now, it goes on to say, quote, The same



instructor did not give the required oral or the 1 2 walk-around training presentation. FAA-approved, 90 3 minutes scheduled. 4 Does that also present a concern for 5 you? 6 Yes, if true. Α 7 Okay. 0 8 Α Yes. 9 Would that also constitute a noncompliance 10 with Delta's FAA-approved training manuals? 11 Yes, it would. Α 12 Do you know who the instructor was 0 involved in this situation? 13 14 Α I do not. 15 Did you ask Ms. Petitt who the instructor 0 16 was? 17 I don't remember. We may have discussed Α 18 I don't remember. it. 19 Do you have any basis even then at the 20 time of the meeting or now to assert that Ms. Petitt 21 was communicating this information in bad faith? 22 Α No. 23 Going down to the fourth line of that 24 second paragraph on Page 6, it reads, quote, The instructor sat in the back of the simulator and 25



texted the entire session and did not observe the 1 2 pilots. Does that present a concern for you? 3 If true, yes. 4 Α Would that also be a violation of Delta's 5 0 6 training manual? 7 Α Yes. 8 Do you have any basis for concluding that 9 either then or now that Ms. Petitt was communicating this fact in bad faith? 10 11 Α No. Is the failure to debrief after a training 12 0 13 event of this nature also noncompliant? 14 If it occurred, yes, it is a concern. What does it mean to be -- in the context 15 0 16 of training, what does it mean to be special 17 tracked? 18 Normally -- well, that verbiage would Α 19 indicate that there had been some performance issue 20 and training normally -- you know, it could be a 21 check ride failure. 22 It could be some other performance 23 issue that would then lead to a more frequent 24 training cycle to make sure that they were still

maintaining their qualifications and proficiency at



1	desired levels.
2	Q So there would be additional training?
3	A Not necessarily. It could be greater
4	frequency, not a you know, inside the normal
5	recurrent cycle.
6	Additional training within the
7	context of a training program, not necessarily
8	something that would generate special tracking
9	because the curriculum allows for a certain amount
10	of additional training days.
11	So it depends on where it happens and
12	whether it is a continuation training event or
13	initial training event.
14	Q So I guess I am not sure I follow that.
15	So special tracking would add add
16	additional training to that individual pilot's
17	curriculum?
18	A In a broad sense, yes.
19	Q In your career, in your long career as a
20	pilot, have you ever felt anxious going into a
21	training event?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Why would you feel anxious?
24	A It is like a sporting event. You know,

you want to have a certain amount of -- you want to



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 be on your game, and you want to do your best.

And so it is, you know, a certain amount of anxiety, not tension. But anxiety is generally -- you know, it can lead to a little bit better performance.

Q I think of the analogy. I have a lot of family in theater. They say acting is easy. It is just the audition that is hard.

A Yeah.

Q Does training bring, in your experience, an additional source of pressure because there is a sense you have to really ring the bell, really do it just right because someone is observing?

MR. BISBEE: Objection.

15 BY MR. SEHAM:

O I am sorry. Your answer was?

A Well, no, my answer is of course. You want to do everything -- you want to be perfect every time. Having said that, we realize there is no perfect notice. We all make mistakes.

The important thing is do we act in a timely fashion to mitigate the mistakes. So things can go well even though there are a few errors as you are completing the check ride.

Q What are the consequences of failing the



1 | training event?

2.0

A It depends. There can be additional training provided. Again, that is defined in our -- in our various programs.

And then up to a point, you can have a recheck to get over that particular gate. And then ultimately we hope that that additional training is going to put the pilot in a position so that, when they have their final qualification event, that they will successfully complete the program.

If they are not able to, you know, then we have a process that we go through. We conduct a flight operations review board and determine what the best prescription and course of action is from that point going forward.

Q Well, can failure to satisfactorily complete training ultimately lead to the pilot losing his or her job?

A It could in an extreme case.

Q How frequently does that happen, that a pilot loses his or her job because of training issues?

A Very infrequent.

Q Has that happened during your tenure at



1 Delta? 2 Α Yes. Less than five? More than ten? Can you 3 4 give me an estimate? 5 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Calls for 6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: It is not -- it is not a 8 large number. You know, the -- and it tends to 9 be associated with certain points in a pilot's 10 career. But it is a very small number. 11 BY MR. SEHAM: I would like to draw your attention to the 12 0 13 last sentence above Appendix A on Page 6, Bates 14 stamp 183. It reads, "When a pilot questioned what 15 they had done wrong, he stated, 'Nothing.'" 16 I am sorry. Let me go back a little 17 earlier. 18 I am sorry. Where are you? Α 19 Yeah. I'm a little lost too. I'm going 20 to look at the second paragraph on the page. 21 So down here at two? Α 22 The second paragraph at the top of 0 No. 23 the page. 24 Oh, okay. Got you. Α 25 Q It says -- I'm going to read from where it



1 says, "The instructor sat," a little less than 2 halfway through.

It says, "The instructor sat in the back of the simulator and texted the entire session and did not observe the pilots. He did not debrief the pilots but stated both pilots were to be special tracked.

"The captain was already required due to his earlier failure. When a pilot questioned what they had done wrong, he stated, 'Nothing. But at Delta, we have the power to do what we want.'" Closed quote.

It goes on to say, "Note: A pilot reported this change of events, and the response will follow in this report."

Are you troubled by that reference -when a pilot questioned -- raised a question about
being special tracked, are you troubled by the
response, "Nothing" -- in other words, you have done
nothing wrong. "But at Delta, we have the power to
do what we want."

A Again, if this, in fact, occurred, I would be troubled by any one of our leaders saying something like that.

Q Why would you be troubled by that?



1 Because it seems -- because it seems 2 arbitrary. It is not following our normal training protocols. And I think most importantly it is not 3 4 serving the pilot well. Could a pilot in that circumstance reach 5 6 the conclusion that they were being targeted in some 7 manner? 8 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Calls for 9 speculation. Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: I think you could conclude a 10 11 lot of different things. It is not where I 12 would want one of our instructors to be. 13 BY MR. SEHAM: 14 And the pilot who was being told, 15 "Nothing, but at Delta we have the power to do what 16 we want" -- that was Ms. Petitt. Correct? 17 I'm sorry. Say that again. Α 18 The pilot involved in this incident was 19 told, quote, When a pilot -- or to whom this phrase 20 or part of the paragraph refers. 21 When a pilot questioned what they had 22 done, he stated, "Nothing, but at Delta we have the 23 power to do what we want" -- but the affected pilot 24 here was Ms. Petitt. Correct?

MR. BISBEE: Objection. Lacks foundation.



1 I don't know. THE WITNESS: 2 BY MR. SEHAM: 3 Did you ask Ms. Petitt who this pilot was? 4 Α I don't remember. And was someone tasked with tracking down 5 0 6 who this pilot was? 7 Α Yes. 8 0 Who was that? 9 It would have been part of the -- part of 10 Captain Graham's followup. 11 If a pilot identifies himself as not 12 having been adequately trained, what is Delta's 13 obligation? 14 We have to -- well, we need to meet with 15 that pilot and see what the issues are and determine 16 an appropriate remedy to make sure that they are 17 comfortable in the airplane. It may be -- there are 18 a lot of different outcomes potentially that are 19 possible there. 20 But would you agree that inaction is not 21 an acceptable response? 22 Α That's correct. 23 That is an obligation that arises under 24 the federal aviation regulations -- correct? -- to 25 ensure that pilots are adequately trained.



1 It is our responsibility to ensure pilots 2 are adequately trained. Yes. 3 Do you know the name Mike Doyle? 0 4 Α Yes. 5 What position does he hold at Delta? 0 6 He is currently the chief line check pilot Α 7 on the A350. 8 What other positions has he held with the 9 company -- or what was his position in 2016? 10 I don't remember. 11 Okay. Is he -- to your knowledge, is he 0 12 sometimes referred to as Popeye? 13 Α Yes. 14 Why is he referred to as Popeye? 0 15 From the cartoon. Α 16 Does he have large forearms, or he smokes 0 17 a pipe or --18 No. He was just a Marine. That was just Α 19 his call sign in the Marines. 20 It could be the French connection. 21 He might say he has large forearms. 22 don't know. 23 I'm going to refer you to the 24 paragraph on Page 8, Bates stamp 185, which reads, 25 "Report checking event. Said pilot reported the



- 1 above training event concerning the falsifying of 2 records and texting to three employees, scheduler, 3 manager, and ended up speaking to the fleet training 4 captain, who asked said pilot what pilot wanted in 5 light of this event. 6 "Said pilot stated, quote, To return 7 to training immediately to know that I'm safe. 8 Closed quote. "The fleet training captain's 9 10 response was, quote, We don't have time for that. 11 We will bring you back in three months, and you can do whatever you want. Text me to remind me. Closed 12 13 quote. 14 Now, does this -- assuming the 15 factual accuracy of this paragraph, does that 16 present a concern for you? 17 I'm going to object to this MR. BISBEE: 18 as being vaque and lacking foundation in 19 particular because it is talking about the 20 above training event. 21 There is no context to know what that is 22 referring to here. BY MR. SEHAM:
- 23

25

Assuming that it is what we have been discussing before --



1 MR. BISBEE: Assuming it is the one from 2 two pages prior? 3 MR. SEHAM: Correct. BY MR. SEHAM: 4 5 Does this paragraph present a concern to 0 6 you? 7 Α Yes. 8 Can you express why it presents a concern? 0 9 Α I would expect more diligent followup and 10 support of the pilot. 11 And do you know who the fleet training 0 12 captain for the A330 was at this time? 13 I don't remember. 14 So you don't remember ever speaking to him 15 about this incident? 16 Α No. 17 This is also something that Mr. Graham 0 18 would have been charged with investigating? 19 Α Yes. Yes. 20 Did you ever have any basis for concluding 21 that Ms. Petitt had brought this up in bad faith? 22 Α No. 23 What does AQP stand for? 0 24 Α Advanced qualification program. 25 Q And under Delta's AQP, it is required that



1 there be instructor training. Correct? 2 Α Yes. 3 0 Are you aware whether there was followup 4 training for the instructor referenced in the 5 paragraph that we just reviewed? 6 Not specifically aware in this case. Α 7 But would you agree there should have been 8 if the facts were established? If these -- if this is factual, there 9 10 should have been some -- some followup with the 11 instructor certainly, whether it was -- rise to the 12 level of training or counseling or, you know, 13 something moving him into a different role, you 14 know, all of those things certainly could have been 15 a consideration. 16 The fleet training captain in that 17 reported incident -- should he have reported that 18 incident to his superior? 19 Α Yes. 20 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Vaque. 21 incident are you referring to? Specify what 22 incident you are talking about for the witness. 23 The one that the witness and I MR. SEHAM:

THE WITNESS: So you are asking me if the



have been discussing.

24

fleet training captain should have reported 1 2 that to the managing director of flight training. 3 BY MR. SEHAM: 4 That a pilot was saying that he or she 5 6 didn't think that he or she was safe. 7 Α The fleet captain has the authority to 8 handle that within his or her fleet; so it would not 9 necessarily have required consultation. 10 And how about the issue of an instructor 11 not conducting an oral and texting during a --12 during a simulator check? If the fleet training 13 captain became aware of that, should he have 14 reported to his superior? 15 Α Yes. 16 Have you ever -- are you familiar with the term "self-disclosure" as it relates to Delta's 17 18 relationship with the FAA? 19 Α Yes. Voluntary disclosure. 20 Voluntary disclosure? 0 21 Uh-huh. Α What does that term refer to? 22 0 23 It just refers to, if we have a systemic 24 violation or even if we think we do within a 24-hour

period, we will disclose that to the FAA.



They will consider that in their --1 2 in any enforcement action or follow up with us. 3 then we have a requirement to put a corrective 4 action in place to correct that deficiency. 5 As a result of Ms. Petitt's safety report, 6 were there any voluntary disclosures to the FAA? 7 I don't recall. I don't believe there Α 8 were. We referenced the term "stall." What is a 9 10 stall in terms of aircraft operations? 11 Just loss of sufficient flying air speed Α 12 for the airplane to continue to fly. It can't 13 generate enough lift. So the plane -- as a result of a stall, 14 15 the plane can start falling out of the sky? 16 If it is not the properly dealt with, yes. Α 17 So a stall could have fatal consequences? 0 18 Α Yes. 19 Is there training given to pilots on how 20 to handle a stall as a component of Delta's 21 FAA-approved training program? 22 There is -- there is developing training. 23 The current existence of the flight -- or the 24 current flight simulator technology across the



industry does not allow that.

1 We have been in a multiple-year 2 program to address that. So it depends on the fleet 3 that you are on right now. 4 Right. So, in other words, there are stall procedures that are equipment specific? 5 6 And, again, traditionally it has Α Yes. 7 been not stall. It has been approach to stall 8 because the flight simulators can't -- have not 9 historically been able to replicate an actual stall. Well, whether it is in a stimulator or 10 11 not, there -- strike that. 12 Irrespective of whether the simulator can recreate a stall, Delta has training on how to 13 14 address a stall? Α 15 Yes. Yes. 16 And they have training that is specific to 17 each aircraft type? 18 Α Correct. 19 And that would have been true in 2015 and 20 2016? 21 Α Yes. 22 If Delta's training department had failed 23 to provide one of its pilots stall training for the 24 aircraft that he was operating, that would be noncompliant with its FAA-approved training manual. 25



1	Correct?
2	A Yes. We would need to correct that.
3	Q If you can turn to Page 9 of Exhibit 3,
4	Bates stamped 186. The first bullet point reads,
5	"Pilot writes a blog post how to perform a stall
6	recovery to educate other pilots after AF477" in
7	parenthesis, BEA2012, closed parenthesis" was
8	pulled from the ocean due to the fact this pilot
9	never received stall training on the A330 aircraft."
10	Did I read that correctly?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Do you know what this reference is to
13	AF477?
14	A Yes.
15	Q What is that?
16	A It is the Air France 447 accident over the
17	Atlantic, which resulted in a complete loss of the
18	airplane and everyone on onboard.
19	Q I am sorry? And everybody onboard?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Did that relate to a stall? That
22	accident?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And here there is a reference, "Due to the
25	fact that this pilot never received stall training



on the A330 aircraft." 1 2 Is that a source of concern to you, 3 that a pilot didn't receive stall training for her A330 aircraft? 4 5 If that, in fact, occurred, yes. Α 6 Did you conduct any further investigation 0 7 into that issue? 8 Α I did not. 9 And you -- Mr. Graham was appointed to 10 conduct --11 Α Yes. 12 With respect to that bullet point, did you 0 have any basis then or now for asserting that 13 14 Ms. Petitt brought that issue up in bad faith? 15 Α No. 16 Now, are you familiar with the blog 17 post -- or were you familiar with a blog post that 18 Ms. Petitt posted with respect to stall recovery 19 after AF447? 20 Α No. 21 Are you aware of Ms. Petitt getting a 0 22 counseling letter in her file as a result of a blog 23 post related to stall recovery? 24 Α No. 25 0 If you could turn to Page 12.



1 If you are on Page 12, Bates stamp 2 189, I want to bring your attention to the reference 3 here. 4 Ouote, Training manuals are in error and continue to be so. The A330 manual, despite 5 6 notification, stated how to identify a stall on the 7 A330 was through the stick shaker. Even after 8 notification, this error remained in the manuals for 9 well over a year. Closed quote. Now, do you have any knowledge about 10 11 the A330 and the appropriateness of using a stick --12 stick shaker to identify a stall? 13 Yes. Α 14 Is that the appropriate meaning, to use --0 15 Α No. 16 0 No. Okay. 17 So this reference is correctly 18 identifying a deficiency in the training manual used 19 at Delta at that time? 20 Α Again, if it was -- I haven't seen that 21 exact provision. But if that was in there, it was 22 incorrect. 23 And you didn't personally follow up on 24 this issue?



No.

Α

1 And that would be Captain Graham, again, 2 who was to follow up? 3 Δ Yeah 4 Do you know whether there are any changes 5 in the A330 manual with respect to stall issues 6 after your meeting with Ms. Petitt? 7 Α I know there have been changes just because the state of the industry has changed since 8 9 In other words, the -- all the training around unusual altitudes and stalls have been 10 11 updated and improved. 12 Would you agree, if that had been the 0 13 case, that the stick shaker approach was -- had been 14 identified in the Delta manual for the A330 as a means to identify a stall, that that would be 15 16 noncompliant with Delta's obligations under the 17 federal aviation regulations? 18 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Vaque. You are 19 talking about at the time. Right? Because here it suggests, after some period of time, 20 21 that was fixed. So do you mean looking 22 backward whether it would be? 23 MR. SEHAM: Yes. 24 BY MR. SEHAM:



At that time.

0

That would be something that would 1 Yes. 2 need to be corrected. 3 0 Do you have any basis either now or then for concluding that this issue was raised by 4 5 Ms. Petitt in bad faith? 6 Α No. 7 I'm going to draw your attention to Page 8 13, Bates stamp 190. There is a reference after --9 under "Process of training pilots. 2010. Training processes" -- excuse me. "Training process failures 10 11 from one particular pilot exemplifies process 12 failures through the entire program. Appendix J." 13 The first bullet point reads, "Not 14 providing training materials until the final hour 15 prior to training." 16 Is that a source of concern for you 17 if that -- if that was -- had been the practice? 18 Α If it had been the practice, that Yes. 19 would be a --20 And that would be noncompliant with 21 Delta's training manuals. Correct? 22 Α Yes. And, again, you did not investigate that 23 24 yourself personally?



No.

Α

1	Q That would have been Captain Graham?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Did you have any basis then or now for
4	concluding that Ms. Petitt brought that issue up in
5	bad faith?
6	A No. I mean, I don't know if it is
7	helpful, but I don't think any I didn't view any
8	of this as it was brought forward as it being in bad
9	faith.
10	Q Okay.
11	A It all required investigation and followup
12	to determine, you know, the factual nature and to
13	put any corrective actions in place.
14	Q And since that time you said all of
15	this. You are indicating Document 3, the safety
16	report.
17	So even today, as you sit here, would
18	you agree that there is no basis for concluding that
19	any of her reports were based on bad faith?
20	A I have never concluded that.
21	Q Okay. If I could direct your attention to
22	the second to the last paragraph that begins
23	"December 2015."
24	A Okay.
25	Q "December 2015. Five years later, a



17-year Airbus pilot upgraded to a captain position on the Boeing. This was a first-time captain checkout. This particular pilot learned the plane on a flash drive at home. There is nobody to ask questions. Then a training instructor reviewed the tests so all pilots would be assured to pass it electronically."

Is that last sentence that I read, that a training instructor reviewed the test so all pilots would be assured to pass it electronically -- is that consistent with Delta's training manual?

MR. BISBEE: I would object to that as being vague. It may be my lack of understanding. I am not sure what that is referring to, review a test so that it would be assured to pass it electronically.

MR. SEHAM: That was a violation of Rule 30. You have to be more concise, raise the objection that it is vague but not coach this witness, which is what you just did.

MR. BISBEE: I'm in no way coaching the witness. I'm stating a valid objection to the use of a document, Counsel.

BY MR. SEHAM:

Q Do you understand that last sentence?



A That a training instructor reviewed the test? Is that the sentence you are referring to?

O Yes. Correct.

A I understand the words on the page. I'm not sure -- I can't say what it means.

Q If an instructor, prior to an examination that is required as part of Delta's training manual, goes through the questions before the examination is provided to the pilots and tells them the answers before the examination, would you agree that that is a violation of Delta's training manual?

A If that occurred in that fashion, yes.

Q This would also be something that Captain Graham was charged with following up?

A Yes.

Q If you could turn the page and go to Bates stamp number 191. I'm going to draw your attention to halfway down the page where it starts with the bold lettering, "From simulator to flight line. The instructor pilot mentioned falsifying training records for an event he did not conduct in addition to disregarding his duty as an evaluator, was subsequently removed from simulator training during the transition to Atlanta.

"However, that same instructor is now



a line check airman and has carried his behavior to 1 2 the flight line." 3 Do you know -- did I read that 4 correctly, first of all? 5 Α Yes. 6 Do you know to whom that is referring? 0 7 Which instructor pilot? 8 Α I'm not certain. 9 0 Okay. Do you know -- you did not 10 investigate this issue either personally? 11 Α Not personally. 12 Captain Graham was charged --0 13 Α Yes. 14 If I could direct your attention to Page 15 16, Bates stamp number 193, specifically less than 16 halfway down that begins with "Captain emergency." 17 No. Hold on. 18 The two paragraphs beginning Yes. 19 with the subtitle "Captain emergency," which reads, 20 "Captain emergency. Shortly after departure, a flight lost their auto flight system. The captain 21 22 continued to fly to Atlanta. 23 "He requested a block altitude and 24 flew in RVSM airspace. Then upon arrival, not when the issue began, he declared an emergency because 25



1 ATC would not provide a block altitude for the 2 arrival phase. 3 "The training department used this as 4 an example of workload management and created a 5 The problems with this video were many, and 6 the author can only suspect the lack of SMEs 7 involved, did not understand the ramifications of 8 what happened, and how the video created a liability 9 for Delta. "An FAA advisory, FAA 2013, and Delta 10 11 have encouraged hand flying due to loss of hand 12 flying skills. However, this training video stated 13 that hand flying is an emergency procedure. 14 "Secondly, the pilot flew in RVSM 15 airspace without required equipment, thinking that 16 requesting a block altitude would alter that 17 requirement. Legally, it did not." 18 So did I read that much correctly? 19 Α Yes. 20 0 And then ATC stands for what? 21 Air traffic control. Α 22 And SME -- is that a subject matter Q 23 expert? 24 Α Yes. And RVSM -- what does that refer to? 25 Q



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

altitude.

Restricted vertical separation minimums, I 1 Α 2 believe. 3 0 As read to you, and assuming the facts are 4 accurate, do these facts -- are they a source of 5 concern? 6 They would be. Α Yes. 7 Why would they be a source of concern? 8 Α Well, because an airplane flying in RVSM 9 airspace would need to maintain a very precise

So, again, it gives the impression that we are going to place operational requirements above safety requirements. It probably would have been a better decision to turn the airplane around and get it repaired.

- Q A better decision. But also would you agree that providing that there was no problem at that originating airport --
 - A As far as we know.
- Q Yeah. Assuming that is the case, that, in fact, is the requirement, that you return to that airport or the closest airport rather than traveling several hours to a destination. Correct?
- A Yes.
 - Q That is the source of your concern, that



this could reflect elevating completion of the 1 2 schedule over safety. So I don't know the context behind this 3 4 one. I don't remember this particular event. that is something that we would have needed to look 5 6 at. 7 And would you agree with me that, for the 0 8 A330, hand flying is not an emergency procedure? 9 I would agree with that. 10 MR. BISBEE: Before we go on to the next 11 one, it might be a good time for a short break. 12 MR. SEHAM: Sure. 13 (A recess was taken, and the 14 deposition continued as 15 follows:) BY MR. SEHAM: 16 17 Sir, is there a master training Okav. 0 18 disk that is distributed to all pilots for review on 19 a quarterly basis? 20 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Vaque. 21 THE WITNESS: There is quarterly 22 continuing qualification training. Yes. It is 23 not always a disk. 24 BY MR. SEHAM: 25 Q Okay. But there is a fairly standardized



1 -- a training product that goes to all pilots? 2 Α Yes. 3 And that is -- that is common -- or 4 material common to all types of equipment with subcomponents that are particular to particular 5 6 equipment? 7 That's correct. 8 And during the period of the first quarter 0 9 of January, would you have at some point reviewed this quarterly disk as part of your own currency 10 11 requirements? 12 Α Yes. 13 Do you recall during that time that the disks would have extended material presented by 14 15 Steve Dempsey? 16 We have had Captain Dempsey on there from I don't remember if it was -- if it 17 time to time. 18 comports with that timeframe or not. 19 But you recall -- you recall reviewing 20 master disks that had -- in, say, 2015 that would 21 have had Steve Dempsey as a presenter? 22 Α Yes. 23 MR. BISBEE: Objection. 24 BY MR. SEHAM:

Do you retain those disks after you have



Q

1 done your training? 2 I typically did. 3 0 All right. So you could have -- if it 4 were Steve Dempsey making these references that -using -- treating hand flying as an emergency 5 6 procedure in a video during this time, you could 7 have looked back and reviewed his presentation in 8 that regard. Correct? 9 Α Yes. Do the FARs, federal aviation regulations, 10 11 provide for flight duty maximums for pilots? 12 Α Yes. 13 What are they? 0 14 Well, under the current regulations, they 15 vary depending on what the start of the duty day is 16 and the acclimated time zone of the pilot. 17 What hours count towards those 0 Okav. 18 maximums? 19 Are you referring to duty days or flight 20 hours. 21 Flight hours? 0 The block time that the pilot flies are 22 Α 23 part of that -- part of that flight hour 24 calculation. 25 Q Okay. For duty time, what are the



different time -- hours that add up to total duty 1 2 time? 3 The duty time is when the pilot reports 4 for flight duty and then when they are released after the last flight. 5 6 So if a pilot is deadheading to an 7 assigned flight, that deadheading would count 8 towards his duty time? 9 If the pilot is deadheading, yes. That deadheading that is at the conclusion 10 11 of all flight assignment and just taking him home --12 that would not count towards duty time? 13 Not in most cases, no. Α 14 But duty time taking you to the first 15 assignment would count? 16 And a deadhead would count. 17 And those requirements for treating duty 0 18 -- treating duty time as including that deadhead to 19 your flight assignment -- that is a federal aviation 20 regulatory requirement. Correct? 21 Α That's correct. 22 And that is designed to promote the 23 operational safety of the aircraft?



That's correct.

And Delta has engaged in scheduling that

Α

0

24

- 1 violates the requirement that deadheading be treated 2 as duty time. Correct? 3 Not that I'm aware of. 4 Isn't it true that the complainant advised 5 you on January 28th that Delta was failing to treat 6 deadheading time as duty time for the purposes of 7 computing daily and weekly flight time limits? 8 Α Yes. Yes. That was in here, and that was 9 something that we would have investigated. You don't know what the result of that 10 11 investigation was? 12 I don't remember. Α 13 What is a green slip? 0 14 A green slip is a way where a pilot can 15 volunteer for overtime flying. 16 If a pilot does not meet -- in other 17 words, would you agree that the part of the FARs 18 that address these duty limits would be FAR117? 19 Α Yes.
 - Q And would you agree with the statement that, if a pilot does not meet FAR117 on a regular trip, they should be allowed to fly a trip on a green slip?
 - A I'm not sure I understand your question.
 - Q In other words, if you would be -- and



21

22

23

24

1	here I am a little diffident.
2	Is it appropriate to use the term
3	"illegal" for the pilot that once he hits his
4	duty limit, that he would be considered illegal to
5	continue flying?
6	A If you are well, are you asking whether
7	it is a green slip or a normal
8	Q Yeah. I am backing away from that
9	question and taking a different approach. Let's
10	see. I'm going to fumble here a little bit; so be
11	patient with me.
12	If a pilot if a proposed flight
13	assignment would take a pilot beyond his 117 limit,
14	it would be illegal for the pilot to accept that
15	flight assignment. Correct?
16	A It would be illegal for us to award it to
17	the pilot. It would be illegal for him or her to
18	accept it.
19	Q And that illegality would not change,
20	whether this was an assigned flight or a green slip.
21	Correct?
22	A No.
23	Q It would be a violation either way?
24	A Yes.
25	Q I want you to turn, please, to Page 18 of



1 the safety report. Exhibit 3, Bates stamp 195. And 2 look at the second paragraph, if you would. 3 It says, quote, One example of many. 4 A captain met a pilot on an aircraft late one night 5 with inclement weather and multiple aircraft malfunctions after he commuted to Narita the same 6 7 day of flight to get that green slip. He had 8 traveled six hours to make that commuter flight to 9 fly to Narita for the same day trip that night." 10 Does that present any concern for 11 you? 12 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Vaque. 13 THE WITNESS: You know, the pilots are 14 responsible for managing their rest on their 15 own time. And, you know, this is not something 16 that we actively track. 17 But if we become aware of it, it is 18 something that we would want to -- we would 19 want to make sure they are well rested and plan 20 accordingly. 21 BY MR. SEHAM: 22 Assuming the truth of these stated facts, 23 would you agree that Delta would not want its pilots to be engaged in that practice? 24

You know, it depends on -- it is very



Α

1 situationally dependent. I can't opine one way or 2 the other.

Q Did you share or transmit Ms. Petitt's report -- Exhibit 3 -- to anyone?

A I did not. I know -- well, I did not.

Q Well, with whom did you have any communications related to Ms. Petitt and the safety issues she raised in the period of January through the end of March 2016?

MR. BISBEE: You are asking other than the lawyers in relation to this case obviously.

MR. SEHAM: I'm including lawyers. If you want to direct him not to answer that portion because -- but I am --

MR. BISBEE: I'm not sure why you have to include lawyers in your question. I'm going to instruct you not to answer to the extent that you had conversations with attorneys related to this issue.

MR. SEHAM: I just want to make it clear.

I think we got another Rule 30 issue here. I'm not asking about the content of discussions.

I'm asking about the persons. And whether the fact that he had a discussion with an attorney is not --



1 MR. BISBEE: About this litigation, I'm saving, because it is work product also. 2 3 MR. SEHAM: I'm not talking about the 4 litigation. I'm talking about the safety 5 issues that she raised January through 6 March 2016. 7 And my position is -- I'm kind of 8 astonished. But we are not going to -- any 9 dispute between us --10 MR. BISBEE: I don't think you heard my 11 objection. I said I assume you are not asking 12 about communications with lawyers in connection 13 with this litigation. 14 MR. SEHAM: Okay. Well, I misunderstood 15 that -- well, I understood that to mean that 16 the witness was being told not to reference 17 whether he had had meetings or discussions with 18 attorneys. I'm not going to content. 19 I'm going to whether -- to the identity of 20 persons with whom he spoke. 21 MR. BISBEE: As you have just phrased it, 22 I'm not instructing him not to answer. 23 MR. SEHAM: Okay. Thank you. So that was 24 just a misunderstanding. A mutual



misunderstanding. Okay. Great.

BY MR. SEHAM: 1 2 The question, again: With whom did you 3 have any communications -- I'm not asking about 4 content. Just persons relating to Ms. Petitt and 5 the safety issues she raised in the period of 6 January 1 through the end of March 2016. 7 Α The safety issues would have been Captain 8 Graham. What was the timeframe? 9 The first quarter of 2016. So January 1, 2016 to March 31, 2016. 10 11 I personally discussed it with Captain Α 12 Graham, and he was the only one that I talked to 13 during that time period. 14 But Mr. Bastian also made inquiries about 15 Ms. Petitt and the safety issues she was raising 16 during this period. Correct? 17 Objection. Lacks foundation. MR. BISBEE: 18 Misstates prior testimony. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't remember. 20 BY MR. SEHAM: 21 Now, is it your testimony that harassment issues reported by Ms. Petitt in her safety report 22 23 were not investigated by you? 24 Not by me personally. Α

And were they investigated by Captain



0

1	Graham?
2	A Captain Graham categorized those
3	separately. The safety issues were followed up on.
4	And then the other issues with respect to events in
5	training and other things that were more of a HR
6	nature he handled with HR and he
7	Q And the statements that you said were
8	you characterized it as being arbitrary statements
9	by the instructor pilot. Is that a flight
10	operations concern, or is it a harassment concern or
11	both?
12	A Both.
13	Q So would that have been investigated by
14	flight operations?
15	A In terms of helping to establish the facts
16	and then the followup with that particular
17	individual, yes.
18	Q So you believe Captain Graham would have
19	investigated that?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Can you tell me what an inverse assignment
22	is?
23	A An inverse assignment is the
24	essentially the last step in trip coverage where the

pay is similar to a green slip, but it is not a



1 voluntary. It is an involuntary assignment in 2 reversing the order for the pilot. 3 0 And normal FAR117 duty time crediting 4 would apply to an inverse assignment? 5 Α Yes. 6 How about the reference to management 0 7 representatives telling pilot trainees that fatigue 8 was an F word? Is that something that was 9 investigated by EO, or was that a flight safety 10 issue? 11 I think that would have been part of the 12 -- part of Captain Graham's safety investigation. 13 And any form of retaliation against pilots 14 for reporting safety concerns would be noncompliant 15 with Delta's SMS program. Correct? 16 Absolutely correct. 17 I think, before, you described 18 Ms. Petitt's report as a catalyst for obtaining an 19 outside review of Delta's practices. 2.0 Α Yes. 21 In the aftermath of receiving Ms. Petitt's

Q In the aftermath of receiving Ms. Petitt's safety report, did Delta make any changes in its policies or training materials?

A Yes.

22

23

24

25

Q What changes were made?



1	A We put a number of process improvements in
2	place, invested in and some of this was already
3	in process. But we invested in new analytic
4	technology.
5	We were able to hire resources within
6	our flight safety department from MITRE with some
7	expertise that we were lacking in-house to help with
8	analyzing and being even more proactive about
9	transfers, all in the interest of continuous
10	improvement.
11	But that those were outcomes that
12	came out of that external safety audit.
13	Q Where were these new analytic I don't
14	know if they are techniques or processes.
15	A One of them is Vistair, which has just
16	recently been implemented.
17	Q How would you spell that?
18	A V-I-S-T-A-I-R. It is a corporate safety
19	reporting system.
20	We also I don't remember exactly
21	what the timeframe was. We made upgrades to our
22	ASAP reporting platform to make it more user
23	friendly as well.
24	Q So the Vistair and the ASAP
25	improvements is that in furtherance of SMS



1 | compliance?

A SMS and -- yes. Certainly. Among other things.

Q Were those changes, in part, promoted by Ms. Petitt's report of SMS issues?

A Yes.

Q You also refer to hiring new resources and new expertise. Could you elaborate on that?

A We had -- we had identified a need for -the airline had gotten so large and so complex, so
many different fleet types, so many different
theaters of operation that we knew we needed to -and also, with newer aircraft, we were getting much
more -- and we were upgrading the fleet, getting
much more data off -- the airplane pilots were
putting in many thousands of more reports.

So we had the reports and the data coming in, but our ability to be able to synthesize all of that information and be able to track and trend it to make sure that we were focusing on the right safety risks had -- needed to be improved.

So this was -- this helped us move along in that direction. And it continues to this day.

Q What were the subject matters that you



were focusing on in terms of this new expertise? I
understand what you described. The complexities,
large airline, lots of fleet flight types, lots of
theaters of operation.

But were there specific subject matter goals in terms of adding these new human resources?

A Well, we start with our highest level safety metrics that are reported to the board, and we have several categories of flight safety instances that we will -- that feed that.

And then underlying that are the issues that we were working on in flight operations to mitigate. And they vary by fleet type and by the nature of the kind of flying that the pilots were doing and, frankly, the experience level of the pilots.

The risks that we see on the 717 or the MD-88 are not the same that we see on the 777 or the A330. So what this does is -- you know, the unstable approach, for example. You know, you tend to see certain types of performance on certain fleet types because of the nature of the operating environment and the experience level of the pilots and the architecture of the aircraft.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

So what it did was it helped us focus those fleet teams on the issues where they could devote their attention to be able to make sure that we continue to improve our safety performance year after year.

- Q And to some extent, were these new resources dedicated to improving training?
 - A Of course. Yes.
- Q And was that the impetus in that direction -- was that contributed to by Ms. Petitt's reports related to training issues?
 - A It certainly didn't hurt.
- Q I had asked you previously -- or issued questions about Section 15. It appears you didn't have in-depth knowledge about it. But let me just ask one question.
- You are familiar, within the Section

 15 review process, that there is a role for a person
 referred to as an NME?
- A Yes.
- Q What is an NME? What does that stand for?
- 22 A Neutral medical examiner.
- 23 Q And how is that NME selected?
- 24 A The NME is -- again, I would have to refer 25 to Section 15 to be able to tell you with certainty.



1	But at a high level, the NME is
2	agreed upon between the CME, who does the initial
3	assessment, and the PME, who the pilot will bring
4	in.
5	Q That is what I was looking for.
6	Do you know has that ever been a
7	situation in the past that you are aware of when the
8	CME and the PME could not reach an agreement on who
9	would be the NME?
LO	A I'm not aware. I wouldn't say that it
L1	hasn't happened, but I'm not aware of it.
L2	Q How would that be resolved if there was an
L3	impasse between the CME and PME?
L4	A I mean, we would ultimately have to work
L5	through the process to be able to get a neutral
L6	medical examiner because the process has to have
L7	some sort of resolution at some point.
L8	If the medical people were not able
L9	to get together, then we would want to sit down and
20	work through that issue with ALPA.
21	MR. BISBEE: Do you want me to grab you a
22	bottle of water?
23	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
24	(A recess was taken, and the
25	deposition continued as



1	follows:)
2	BY MR. SEHAM:
3	Q Are you aware of any Delta policy or
4	provision in the collective bargaining agreement
5	that would provide that, if the CME and PME were in
6	disagreement, that the pilot would lose their right
7	to have an NME review?
8	MR. BISBEE: Objection.
9	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware, but I haven't
10	researched it.
11	BY MR. SEHAM:
12	Q Are you aware of any provision in terms of
13	Delta policy or collective bargaining agreement that
14	would provide that, in the event of an impasse
15	between the CME and the PME with respect to NME
16	selection, that the CME report would become the
17	default report?
18	A I don't recall. It has been a long time
19	since I have looked at those provisions.
20	Q Do you know an aviation consultant named
21	Peter Morgan?
22	A I have met Peter before. Yes.
23	Q Have you ever had any conversations with
24	him about Karlene Petitt?
25	A No.



1 Are you -- there are people with whom you 2 are acquainted at Embry-Riddle? Professors or 3 staff? 4 Α Yes. Have you ever had any discussions with any 5 0 6 of them relating to Ms. Petitt? 7 Α No. 8 You are aware, at least today as we sit 9 here, that she is pursuing a PhD in aviation safety at Embry-Riddle? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Do you have within the Delta legal system 0 13 -- within the in-house Delta legal department, is 14 there someone who is specifically dedicated as your 15 point of contact? 16 I mean, if I have any, you know, issues, 17 you know, obviously, we would talk to the general 18 counsel. 19 0 Who is that? 20 Α Peter Carter. 21 And how frequently do talk to Chris 0 22 Puckett? 23 Well, Chris is not in our legal department. Chris is in labor relations. 24 25 Q I see.



Τ	A So if you are talking about labor
2	relations, that is Brendan Brannon. And Chris
3	Puckett works for him. I see Chris, you know, every
4	couple of weeks, maybe ten days or every two weeks
5	in passing. But I don't have regular formal
6	meetings with him or anything.
7	Q Is he someone that you go to for legal
8	advice?
9	A No.
10	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Overbroad.
11	BY MR. SEHAM:
12	Q Is he someone that Captain Graham goes to
13	for legal advice?
14	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Lacks foundation.
15	Also overbroad. Calls for a legal conclusion.
16	THE WITNESS: Chris is our is involved
17	with our contract administration portion of
18	labor relations. So he is at the working level
19	with the ALPA contract administration group.
20	So he is certainly a liaison and part of
21	the process that Captain Graham presides over.
22	BY MR. SEHAM:
23	Q Have you had any communications over the
24	last few years with Dr. Faulkner?
25	A Dr. Faulkner gave me my last couple of



1 flight physicals. 2 Do you ever talk to him -- aside from your 3 own personal medical evaluation, do you ever discuss 4 employment issues or other pilots with Dr. --5 Α No. 6 No. Is there some other -- is 0 7 Dr. Faulkner an employee of Delta, or is he --8 Α No. 9 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Calls for a legal 10 conclusion. 11 BY MR. SEHAM: 12 To the best of your knowledge, he is an 0 13 independent contractor? 14 Α Yes. 15 Do you know whether he has business 0 16 dealings with other entities other than Delta? 17 Α I do not. 18 MR. BISBEE: Objection. 19 BY MR. SEHAM: 20 You don't know. 21 Are there different levels of 22 security threat recognized in Delta's operations? 23 Α Yes. 24 0 Is there sort of an enumeration? Level 1, 2, 3, 4? 25



1 A Yes.

Q Is there a -- is there some level of security threat -- well, actually, let me -- to the extent you can, can you give me some background, including -- what is the hierarchy?

Is zero the worse threat? Is four the worse threat? If you could just give me your understanding of the hierarchy.

A Level one is the most significant and would imply an immediate potential breach of the cockpit. The cockpit has already been breached. Level two is -- essentially the flight deck gets locked down.

Level three would be there is some kind of disturbance in the back of the airplane.

That is generally how it would run.

Q Okay. In the position that you had over the last -- was it 12 years?

A (The witness nodded.)

Q Is there some numerical trigger that you would always be advised of? Would you always be advised of a level one? Always be advised of a level two? I'm kind of fading a little bit, but do you understand my question?

A Yes. Typically, a level one would be a



5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- significant event that would -- you would get a
 notification across the whole corporation to all the
 key responders.
 - So I would get a page from the ops center on that. I don't recall specifically. But that's not the case with the lower levels. Those would generally be handled within the -- on the bridge of the ops center with all the departments.
 - Q So even if it was flight -- a flight operations issue, if something fell into category two or three, you may not be --
 - A Not necessarily, not in real-time. I mean, at some point --
 - Q At some point.
 - A -- it would be in the incident followup.

 But in real-time, it would be handled within the

 line operations portion -- the current operations

 portion of Captain Graham's area. They would be the

 ones who would initially respond.
 - Q Actually, I was less -- I was not intending to focus on real-time notification.
 - A Okay.
- 23 Q So let me rephrase it.
- Whether it is level one, two, or
 three, if it is a -- if it is a flight operations



safety issue, would you be notified at some point? 1 2 Α Yes. It would be in the incident -- in 3 the daily incident report that comes from the OCC 4 duty pilot. And I would get a regular feed on that every morning. 5 6 Did you ever see a reference to Ms. Petitt 0 7 in any of these --8 Α No. 9 If there were a pilot reported to be interfering in a live flight being insubordinate 10 11 with the senior pilot, what category would that fall 12 under? 13 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Vaque. 14 Overbroad. Calls for speculation. 15 THE WITNESS: Are you talking about the 16 crew members actually in the control positions 17 on the aircraft? 18 BY MR. SEHAM: 19 0 Correct. 20 Again, that -- I don't know if you can be more -- can you be more specific? You used the term 21 22 "insubordination." 23 Someone acting irrationally and not 24 following directives from a more senior pilot.

Same objections.



MR. BISBEE:

THE WITNESS: It depends. It depends on the degree. You know, if you had someone who was blatantly disregarding standard procedures or putting the flight at risk to the point where the other pilot had to assume control -- those types of things would show up.

And we would -- presumably, the pilots would fill out an ASAP report. And that would be handled through that -- through that process.

BY MR. SEHAM:

Q Well, if the facts involved a pilot reporting that another pilot had posed a threat to the safety of operation during a live flight.

MR. BISBEE: Same objections.

THE WITNESS: Again, if true -- if it is being alleged that we have a pilot who is operating unsafely, then that is -- you know, that is something I would want to know about that we would need to address.

BY MR. SEHAM:

Q If the report from the other cockpit crew members had been this pilot, because of her irrational behavior, posed a threat to flight operations, that would have fallen -- that should



fall under either one, two, or three of security
risks?

MR. BISBEE: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I don't think it would -- well, now, I understand your previous question.

I don't think that would fall into security risks, per se, because that is usually a passenger or somebody in the cabin who is trying to penetrate the flight deck.

Now, if you had someone who was trying to crash the airplane or -- you know, you got this whole hierarchy of the action. So without knowing the particular circumstances, it would be very difficult to say whether you would get into that kind of situation or not.

BY MR. SEHAM:

Q Well, let me try to be more specific about my hypothetical. If a check airman was on a flight conducting a line check and identified one of the pilots to be a threat to the safety of the operation, would you -- would you expect to be notified of that incident?

MR. BISBEE: Same objection. Vague and overbroad and continues to call for speculation.



1 Not necessarily. THE WITNESS: 2 BY MR. SEHAM: Who would be notified of that? 3 0 4 Objection. Foundation. MR. BISBEE: THE WITNESS: Well, again, it depends on 5 6 the circumstances. 7 BY MR. SEHAM: 8 Are you aware that First Officer Petitt 9 was required by Captain Phil Davis to report her off duty activities to him? 10 11 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Foundation. 12 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 13 BY MR. SEHAM: 14 Is that a common practice for chief 15 pilots, to instruct their subordinate pilots that 16 they must report their off duty activities? 17 Objection. MR. BISBEE: 18 THE WITNESS: No. It is not a common 19 practice. 20 BY MR. SEHAM: 21 When you met -- was it January 18th? 0 22 When you met with Ms. Petitt on 23 January 28th, it was scheduled for 90 minutes, I 24 think you said? I don't recall. I seem to -- I think it 25 A



STEPHEN M. DICKSON PETITT vs DELTA AIR LINES

was scheduled for an hour. It may have been 1 2 scheduled for two hours, but I believe the meeting 3 was about 90 minutes long. 4 Do you recall that there was a change in 5 the time allocated for the meeting? 6 Α No. 7 Do you know who Kathy Abbots is? 0 8 Α Yes. 9 0 Who is she? She is the -- I don't know her job title, 10 11 but I have known her for a number of years. She is 12 a human factors person at the FAA. 13 Do you recall Captain Graham saying at the 14 meeting with Ms. Petitt that, "We work very closely 15 with Kathy Abbots"? 16 I don't recall that. 17 Have you ever used the term or are you 0 18 familiar with the term "carve out" as applied to 19 compliance with Delta policies? 20 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Compound. 21 THE WITNESS: I don't remember using that 22 term. 23 BY MR. SEHAM: 24 Have you ever heard it used? 0 25 Α I am familiar with the term, in general,



- Q Have you ever heard it as applied to Delta policies?
- 4 A No.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Since the time of Ms. Petitt's safety report, are you aware of any changes in Delta's policy related to the treatment of deadheading under FAR117?
- 9 A No.
 - Q Do you remember the -- do you have any recollection of the company coming to a conclusion as to whether deadheads were not -- were improperly not being credited towards 117 calculations?

MR. BISBEE: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember a specific finding to that effect. But it certainly -- we are always looking at our systems and how we are capturing that information.

BY MR. SEHAM:

- Q Do you recall any determinations by the FAA with respect to whether Delta had violated FAR117 with respect to its treatment of deadheading?
- A I don't recall.
- Q When you met with Ms. Petitt, was there any representation by either you or Mr. Graham that



1 you would get back to her about her presentation? 2 Α Yes. 3 What was that representation? 4 When we concluded the meeting, I wanted to Α come out of it with a specific action plan. 5 6 were unable to do that because of the -- just how 7 the meeting played out. 8 So I asked Captain Graham to follow 9 up with Karlene so that we could make sure that we had the issues identified and categorized for 10 11 appropriate followup within the organization. 12 0 Did you follow up with Captain Graham to 13 see if he had followed up with Ms. Petitt in that 14 regard? 15 Α Yes. Yes. 16 0 And had he? 17 Α Yes. 18 What did he tell you about his followup 0 19 with Ms. Petitt? 20 Just that it occurred. Α 21 Your meeting with Ms. Petitt -- was it 0 22 recorded? 23 Α No. 24 At the end of the meeting with Ms. Petitt, 0 25 you stated to Captain Graham that we should make --



1 I'm sorry. 2 You stated to Ms. Petitt, "We should 3 make you an instructor." Do you recall stating that 4 to Ms. Petitt? I don't recall saying that. 5 Α 6 Is it possible you might have said that? 0 7 Objection. Calls for MR. BISBEE: 8 speculation. 9 THE WITNESS: I just don't remember. 10 BY MR. SEHAM: 11 Okay. Mr. Graham, Captain Graham, said, 0 12 "We should make her part of the ambassador program." 13 Do you recall him making that statement? 14 I don't recall it. 15 What is the ambassador program? 0 16 It is just a program by which we will --Α 17 we have pilots that go out on marketing events. And 18 they are in the community doing various -- various 19 activities. Sometimes with schools. Sometimes 20 with, you know -- again, associated with other 21 marketing events. 22 Do you recall saying to Ms. Petitt at the 23 outset of your meeting with her on January 28th

that, "Some people like to sit in the back of the



room and throw spit wads"?

24

I don't recall that, but that is something 1 2 I have said before. 3 Were you referring to her? 4 Α No. When you -- did you read the report before 5 6 the meeting or sometime after the meeting? 7 I don't believe we received the report Α 8 before the meeting. 9 0 Okay. So we were -- we were digesting most of 10 11 the content -- or a lot of the content afterwards. 12 And what was your overall view of the 0 13 report after you completed reading it? 14 My overall view was there was a lot of 15 information here. We had to go back and organize it 16 in a way that it would be -- that we would be able 17 to have the appropriate focus and follow up on the 18 areas that had been brought forward. 19 But we had -- you know, we had to 20 take it very seriously and methodically work through 21 at each point because there were anecdotes mixed in 22 with -- you know, with other material that we had to 23 parse out. 24 Who organized the meeting, the



January 28th meeting?

1 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Vaque. 2 MR. SEHAM: I'm sorry. You know, strike 3 that. BY MR. SEHAM: 4 In terms of organizing the elements of the 5 report, who did that? 6 7 Objection. MR. BISBEE: 8 THE WITNESS: Are you talking about in 9 terms of the followup afterwards? BY MR. SEHAM: 10 11 0 Yeah. Yeah. 12 I tasked Captain Graham with the followup. Α 13 And he came back within a few days, maybe a week 14 with -- and had organized everything into the safety 15 concerns, the people concerns, and the procedural 16 and policy issues. 17 So there was a document created with that 18 breakdown? 19 I don't remember a document. 20 So that -- in terms of organization, is it your testimony that he just orally presented these 21 22 divided categories? 23 We have a huddle every couple of weeks; so he told me how he was going to identify all the 24 25 issues, but I didn't see a level of detail behind



1 that. 2 So it was just oral communication? 0 3 Α Yes. 4 Did you ever speak to O. C. Miller about 5 the report? 6 Did I speak to O. C.? Α 7 0 Yes. 8 Α I don't recall. 9 Were you aware that Ms. Petitt was attempting to schedule a meeting with Richard 10 11 Anderson to discuss her report? 12 I don't recall. Α 13 Would that have been a problem from your 14 perspective? 15 MR. BISBEE: Objection. 16 It is not a problem, per se. THE WITNESS: 17 It is not the most effective way to proceed, 18 but it is not a problem. 19 BY MR. SEHAM: 20 Okay. Forgive me if I asked this, but did 21 you -- you never got a copy of Ms. Nabors's report. 22 Correct? 23 Α No. 24 Do you know how long after the drafting of 0 25 the March 17th Section 15 letter -- how long it took



1	Delta to remove Ms. Petitt from duty?
2	A No.
3	Q Should that removal from duty have been
4	immediate once it was determined that she should be
5	referred to a Section 15
6	MR. BISBEE: Objection.
7	THE WITNESS: It should have been in close
8	proximity. Yes.
9	BY MR. SEHAM:
10	Q Are you aware that several weeks after
11	Ms. Petitt received the Section 15 letter that she
12	was asked to give a safety presentation to
13	divisional leaders?
14	A She was asked to give a presentation.
15	Yes.
16	Q Who asked her to give that presentation?
17	A Captain Graham.
18	Q Were you consulted with respect to that
19	decision to
20	A Yes.
21	Q And why did you why was that decision
22	made?
23	A Because we were taking the report
24	seriously. We wanted to follow up on all of the
25	issues that had been raised.



1	Q Is it fair to stay you considered
2	Ms. Petitt a valuable source of information
3	concerning compliance issues?
4	A I consider any pilot a valuable source of
5	information.
6	Q Who were the divisional leaders to whom
7	she was assigned to talk?
8	A I can't say. Well, let's see. It would
9	be certainly the managing director of flight
10	training, the managing director of flight standards,
11	and the managing director of more than likely the
12	managing director of line operations.
13	There may have been I don't know
14	how large the meeting was. There may have been
15	fleet representatives as well.
16	Q Given that she had already been referred
17	for a Section 15 evaluation based on mental health
18	concerns, did you have any reluctance to authorize
19	her meeting with the divisional leaders?
20	A I did not.
21	Q Why did you not?
22	A I felt that in that in that
23	environment, she was perfectly capable of making the
24	points that needed to be made.



25

And in any case, you know, we didn't

know what the -- we didn't want to wait for the
whole process to run its course. We felt that she
could play a valuable role in continuing to help us
improve the performance of the division.

But I would say that about any pilot who had raised concerns.

- Q Who is the manager of the SMS program?
- A It would have been Bunty Ramakrishna, who works for Chuck Schramek within our -- he is the director of quality assurance and compliance.
- Q Did you attend the meeting with the divisional leaders?
- A No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 14 Q Why did you not?
 - A Because I didn't want to -- I didn't want the debate or the discussion to be chilled by my presence. I don't think it would have been. But that has been my normal practice, is to let the team come up with their ideas.
 - Q And the SMS manager did not attend that meeting with the divisional leaders. Correct?
 - A I don't know if he was there or not, he or she were there or not. I don't remember. I believe flight safety was there, but I -- again, I don't know for sure.



As you sit here today, do you have any 1 2 concerns with respect to Ms. Petitt's continued 3 flight operations for Delta? 4 Α No. Do you know if anyone in the company ever 5 0 6 apologized to her for charting her through this 7 process, the Section 15 process? 8 Α I don't know. 9 I think you testified before you have never had any dealings with Dr. Albain. 10 11 Α No. You don't know how many pilots have been 12 0 13 sent to Dr. Albain? 14 Α No. 15 Have you been involved in other AIR21 0 16 complaints? 17 Α No. 18 Do you know what Section 18 of the 19 collective bargaining agreement between ALPA and 20 Delta provides? 21 Speaking off the cuff, I think that is our Α 22 termination process, I believe. I'm not sure. 23 You are not sure? 24 Α I'm not sure. I don't remember. 25 0 I referenced the NME before. Did you have



STEPHEN M. DICKSON PETITT vs DELTA AIR LINES

any involvement with the issue of NME selection in 1 2 the context of Ms. Petitt's Section 15 process? 3 Α No. 4 Do you agree with me that the FAA has final authority over a pilot's medical 5 6 certification? 7 Objection. Calls for a legal MR. BISBEE: 8 conclusion. THE WITNESS: I will say that the -- I 9 mean, certainly a pilot needs to have a valid 10 11 FAA medical to be able to fly. Beyond that --BY MR. SEHAM: 12 13 Well, I'm talking about first class 14 medical. And I don't know what the collective 15 bargaining agreement provides. 16 But in the abstract, presumably Delta 17 could have a higher standard than the FAA. But in 18 terms of whether a pilot retains his or her first class medical certificate, that would be an FAA 19 2.0 determination? 21 Α Yes. 22 Is it Delta's policy to weigh in with the 23 FAA as to whether a pilot should retain his or her 24 first class medical --

MR. BISBEE: Objection.



1	THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.
2	MR. BISBEE: If you are going to go
3	through exhibits, it might be a good time to
4	take a short break.
5	MR. SEHAM: Sure.
6	(A recess was taken, and the
7	deposition continued as
8	follows:)
9	BY MR. SEHAM:
10	Q So up until your retirement, you worked
11	fairly frequently with the FAA's Atlanta CMO office?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Are there any former Delta employees
14	employed there?
15	A Yes. I don't pretend to know all their
16	names. But, yes, there are some.
17	Q Rough estimate of how many?
18	MR. BISBEE: Objection.
19	THE WITNESS: No idea. I can tell you
20	among the principal inspectors that we deal
21	with on you know, each fleet there are
22	probably, you know, a handful or so. Maybe
23	five. Four or five.
24	BY MR. SEHAM:
25	Q Have you ever had any discussions with the



1	with representatives of ALPA the Air Line
2	Pilots Association regarding Ms. Petitt?
3	A No.
4	Q Other than the putting to one side
5	Ms. Petitt's grounding arising from the Section 15
6	process, has Ms. Petitt to your knowledge ever been
7	suspended from flight duties?
8	A Not that I'm aware of.
9	Q I'm not sure if I asked this or not.
10	Are you aware of Ms. Petitt having
11	any flight performance problems during her tenure
12	with the company?
13	A No.
14	Q And if you could are you aware of
15	Delta's social media policy?
16	A At a high level. I have not reviewed it
17	in a while.
18	Q Do you know if there is anything in that
19	social media policy that prohibits an employee from
20	identifying themselves as a Delta employee?
21	MR. BISBEE: Objection.
22	THE WITNESS: I believe that all of our
23	external representations have to be cleared if
24	we are representing ourselves as employees.



BY MR. SEHAM: 1 2 Would you agree that the grounding of Ms. Petitt pursuant to the March 17, 2016 Section 15 3 4 letter would have resulted in the loss of her 5 proficiency? 6 MR. BISBEE: Objection. 7 THE WITNESS: Are you asking if -- I will 8 just say any pilot who is off of flying for a 9 while is going to have to rebuild their 10 proficiency. 11 BY MR. SEHAM: 12 The placement of the complainant in 0 13 Section 15 status pursuant to the March 17, 2016 14 letter resulted in the complainant's loss of known 15 crewmember access. Correct? 16 Α Yes. 17 Do you know whether -- to the best of your 18 knowledge, has Ms. Petitt ever had a training 19 failure? 20 Α I don't know. I have not gone through her 21 training records. 22 I just want to clarify. The complainant 23 was requested by Delta to give a safety presentation 24 to five divisional leaders after she was removed

from service pursuant to the March 17, 2016 Section



STEPHEN M. DICKSON PETITT vs DELTA AIR LINES

1	15 letter. Correct?
2	MR. BISBEE: Objection.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	BY MR. SEHAM:
5	Q Delta's SMS requires a positive safety
6	culture and mandates an anonymous reporting system
7	to be in place. Correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And safety culture as mandated by SMS
10	requires the following cultures: Reporting, just,
11	flexible, informed, and learning. Correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Delta has conducted three safety culture
14	surveys since 2016. Correct?
15	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Foundation.
16	THE WITNESS: We have conducted safety
17	culture surveys. I don't remember how many.
18	BY MR. SEHAM:
19	Q Would you agree that the results
20	identified that flight operations management may be
21	lacking in safety culture?
22	MR. BISBEE: Objection. Foundation.
23	THE WITNESS: No.
24	BY MR. SEHAM:
25	Q The question relates to pay practices. A



1 reserve pilot receiving a trip -- sort of a trip by 2 process would be paid the reserve line rather than 3 the value of the reserve line in addition to the 4 trip that is flown. Correct? 5 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Vague. Lacks 6 foundation. 7 THE WITNESS: That is correct. Unless the 8 flying exceeds the reserve quarantee. 9 BY MR. SEHAM: 10 Is Delta required to self-disclose any 11 falsification of FAA documents by an instructor 12 pilot in its employ? 13 MR. BISBEE: Objection. Calls for legal 14 conclusion. 15 THE WITNESS: Depends on the 16 circumstances. 17 MR. SEHAM: I'm going to ask for another 18 10 minutes. It may be -- it may be that, after 19 that 10 minutes, I will say we are done. 20 MR. BISBEE: No problem. 21 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification and is 22 23 attached hereto.) 24 BY MR. SEHAM: 25 Are you going to be returning to flight



1 operations for some other airline? Do you have 2 plans to --3 Δ No. I will not. 4 0 Is that an age restriction issue? 5 Α No. 6 If you don't mind, what is your current 0 7 age? 8 Sixty-one. Α 9 0 I'm 56. All right. 10 I have no other questions MR. SEHAM: 11 other than I want to thank you because I got a 12 real education from you today. So I appreciate 13 it. 14 Unless you have questions --15 MR. BISBEE: No redirect. We are going to 16 mark the transcript confidential pursuant to 17 the protective order. 18 I will just note that the protective order 19 states that confidential information, quote, 20 shall be used solely for purposes directly 21 related to the prosecution or defense of this 22 proceeding and shall not be used for any other

purpose whatsoever and shall not be used in any

manner in connection with any other claim,

demand, suit, action, or proceeding.



23

24

2.0

I just want to have the record clear on that. Otherwise, the witness will read and sign.

MR. SEHAM: Then I would like to make some comment. I think the order is directed towards designating appropriate portions of the deposition. I think we just used a couple of documents that related to confidential issues.

We would take as noncompliant with the tribunal's order if, as with the documents we received, there is an indiscriminate classification of this entire deposition as confidential.

MR. BISBEE: And we disagree. We believe the entirety of the deposition will be confidential. Among other things, it covers policies, procedures, confidential business information, safety practices, succession, planning, and a most of other issues that can be dealt with later.

We would note, if there is a challenge to the confidential designation, there is a procedure in the order itself. And no sort of publication or otherwise bringing to public any of the information from the deposition can be



1 done unless that procedure is followed. 2 But I think that can be dealt with at a 3 later time, but there are consequences for 4 violating the protective order. MR. SEHAM: I understand. I understand 5 6 what you have told us you are going to do. Our 7 request is that, in order to avoid an undue 8 burden on the tribunal, that the respondent 9 identify those portions of the deposition which are properly classified as confidential and 10 11 provide its rationale. 12 That is our request. If the respondent --13 and we think conforming to that request is 14 required by the tribunal's order. I understand 15 that -- and it appears to be the intent of the 16 respondent not to honor that request and to 17 classify the whole deposition as --18 MR. BISBEE: For right now --MR. SEHAM: 19 I wish you wouldn't interrupt 20 me. 21 MR. BISBEE: I thought you were done. 22 apologize. 23 MR. SEHAM: And I don't know what --24 actually, could you read back the last thing?

(Whereupon, the court reporter



1 read back as requested.) 2 MR. SEHAM: As I was about to complete --3 to designate the entire deposition as 4 confidential, that that was the last word. I think that is probably enough said, that we 5 don't think that is in compliance with the 6 7 tribunal's order. 8 MR. BISBEE: And I apologize for 9 interrupting you. If the explanations provides an undue burden on the tribunal, we disagree. 10 11 There is no undue burden with confidential 12 designations. 13 For right now, we are designating the 14 entire transcript as confidential. We have not 15 refused to heed your request. We can look at 16 the transcript if you consider it necessary to 17 do so. 18 But in the interim, any disclosure of 19 testimony from this designation we believe would be a violation of the protective order, 2.0 21 and we would seek remedies consistent with 22 that. I think there is nothing more on our end 23 for right now. 24

(The deposition was adjourned at 3:43 p.m.)



1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF GEORGIA:
4	COUNTY OF FULTON:
5	
6	I hereby certify that the foregoing
7	transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption,
8	and the questions and answers thereto were reduced
9	to typewriting under my direction; that the
LO	foregoing Pages 1 through 212 represent a true and
L1	correct transcript of the evidence given upon said
L2	hearing.
L3	And I further certify that I am not of kin
L3 L4	And I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the
L4	or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the
L4 L5	or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties;
L4 L5 L6	or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in any ways interested in the result of
L4 L5 L6 L7	or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in any ways interested in the result of said case. The witness reserved the right to read
L4 L5 L6 L7	or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in any ways interested in the result of said case. The witness reserved the right to read and sign the transcript.
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8	or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in any ways interested in the result of said case. The witness reserved the right to read and sign the transcript. This, the 13th day of November 2018.
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8	or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in any ways interested in the result of said case. The witness reserved the right to read and sign the transcript. This, the 13th day of November 2018.
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in any ways interested in the result of said case. The witness reserved the right to read and sign the transcript. This, the 13th day of November 2018.



1 2	Reference No.: 2957534
3	Case: PETITT vs DELTA AIR LINES
4	
5	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
5	I declare under penalty of perjury that
6	I have read the entire transcript of my Depo-
0	sition taken in the captioned matter or the
7	same has been read to me, and the same is
,	true and accurate, save and except for
8	changes and/or corrections, if any, as indi-
	cated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
9	hereof, with the understanding that I offer
	these changes as if still under oath.
10	
11	
12	Stephen M. Dickson
13	
14	NOTARIZATION OF CHANGES
15	(If Required)
16	
17	Subscribed and sworn to on the day of
18	
19	, 20, before me,
20	
21	(Notary Sign)
22	
23	(Print Name) Notary Public,
24	
25	in and for the State of



1	Reference No.: 2957534
	Case: PETITT vs DELTA AIR LINES
2	
3	Page NoLine NoChange to:
4	
5	Reason for change:
6	Page NoLine NoChange to:
7	
8	Reason for change:
9	Page NoLine NoChange to:
10	
11	Reason for change:
12	Page NoLine NoChange to:
13	
14	Reason for change:
15	Page NoLine NoChange to:
16	
17	Reason for change:
18	Page NoLine NoChange to:
19	
20	Reason for change:
21	Page NoLine NoChange to:
22	
23	Reason for change:
24	
	SIGNATURE:DATE:
25	Stephen M. Dickson



1	Reference No.: 2957534
	Case: PETITT vs DELTA AIR LINES
2	
3	Page NoLine NoChange to:
4	
5	Reason for change:
6	Page NoLine NoChange to:
7	
8	Reason for change:
9	Page NoLine NoChange to:
10	
11	Reason for change:
12	Page NoLine NoChange to:
13	
14	Reason for change:
15	Page NoLine NoChange to:
16	
17	Reason for change:
18	Page NoLine NoChange to:
19	
20	Reason for change:
21	Page NoLine NoChange to:
22	
23	Reason for change:
24	
_	SIGNATURE:DATE:
25	Stephen M. Dickson

